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## The Cost of Doing Nothing: A Summary

For the past ten years, maintenance and capacity for highways have been funded through bond proceeds. Today, all bonding programs have been exhausted. Funding levels, compared to the last decade, will decline $50 \%$, leaving nothing for new construction.

## HIGHWAY FUNDING BASICS

Vehicle registration fees have been frozen since 1985. The state and federal fuel taxes have not changed since 1991 and 1993, respectively. In 1991, the cost per gallon was \$1.30. The state fee was $\mathbf{1 5 . 3} \%$ of the gallon price. At $\mathbf{\$ 3 . 7 0}$ a gallon, the state fee is $\mathbf{5 . 4} \%$ of the gallon price.

## INFLATION

The state highway fund is a flat fee which does not keep up with inflation. Since 1991, the Consumer Price Index has increased almost 70\%. Over the same period, the Highway Cost Index has increased over 100\%.

## FINANCING VS. FUNDING

The Texas Legislature has relied on special, limited financing to fill in the highway funding gaps. Now that these options are exhausted, the state is left with $50 \%$ of the previous decade's average letting amounts.

| Bond Type | Amount | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Texas Mobility Fund | \$6.2 B | Initial dedicated tax spent or obligated for contracts. |
| Proposition 14 | \$6.0 B | All spent or dedicated for current contracts. |
| Federal Stimulus | \$2.2 B | All spent or dedicated for current contracts. |
| Proposition 12 | \$5.0 B | All spent or dedicated for current contracts by 2014. |

## FUND 6 DEBT AND LONG TERM OBLIGATIONS

The state highway fund debt and long term obligations reach almost $\$ 1.3 \mathrm{~B}$ a year. This does not include more recent mega projects in which revenue is dedicated for 4 years or more.

| Debt and Long Term Obligations | Annual <br> Cost | Remaining Total Debt and Long <br> Term Obligations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Prop 14 Debt | $\$ 400 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 9.0 \mathrm{~B}$ |
| Pass-Through Toll Debt | $\$ 200 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.3 \mathrm{~B}$ |
| Current Design-Build and CDA Obligation | $\$ 200 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 1.9 \mathrm{~B}$ |
| Future Design Build and CDA Obligations 1 | $\$ 500 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.0 \mathrm{~B}$ |
| Total | $\$ 1.3 \mathrm{~B}$ | $\$ 15.2 \mathrm{~B}$ |

## DIVERSIONS

From 1985 to 2011, diversions have cost the Highway Fund over $\$ 10$ billion. Today's diversions are $\$ 700$ million annually, or $21 \%$ of TxDOT/DMV Fund 6 appropriations.

## Highway Funds in Decline after 2013

| Amount to Contract (Billions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Award Type or Location | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13* | 14* | 15* | 16* | 17* |
| State Let | \$3.90 | \$3.80 | \$4.40 | \$5.20 | \$3.70 | \$2.80 | \$2.70 | \$3.30 | \$3.50 | \$3.70 | * | \$2.80 | \$2.80 | \$2.40 | \$2.40 |
| Local Let and Other Obl. (CDA and DB) | \$0.10 | \$0.30 | \$0.20 | \$0.20 | \$0.10 | \$0.70 | \$2.90 | \$0.90 | \$0.50 | \$1.00 | * |  |  |  |  |
| Totals** | \$4.00 | \$4.10 | \$4.60 | \$5.40 | \$3.80 | \$3.50 | \$5.60 | \$4.20 | \$4.00 | \$4.40 | \$7.00 | \$2.80 | \$2.80 | \$2.40 | \$2.40 |

*Projected using current federal highway trust fund revenue amounts plus estimated $\$ 1.5$ billion from recent $\$ 2$ billion identified funds (with $\$ 500$ million going to right of way and engineering costs). Projected amount of local let and other obligations are unknown.
${ }^{* *}$ Source: Public funds from TxDOT Finance Division.

## OTHER FACTS

- Texas needs a minimum of $\mathbf{\$ 6}$ billion a year to slow deteriorating pavements and address mobility.
- The 2030 Committee Report in 2011 reported the need for an annual investment of $\$ 9.9$ billion to maintain road and bridge conditions and congestion at 2010 levels. In 2014, there will be only $\$ 2.8$ billion available for project awards. (TTI)
- Congestion will cost the Texas economy an average of $\$ 20$ billion per year over the next 15 years (rising from about $\$ 10.8$ billion now to almost $\$ 30$ billion in 2025). (TTI)
- If nothing is done, congestion will cause commuter delay in urban and metropolitan regions to double in 15 years from 37 hours per year to 74 hours per year. (TTI).
- The 37 hour increase in delay will cost the average household an additional $\$ 800$ per year. (TTI)
- Not including damage due to energy sector traffic, pavement maintenance requires a minimum investment of $\$ 1.75$ billion per year. Under the current funding scenario, pavement quality will decline $30 \%$ by 2022 .
- In Texas, under funding maintenance will increase the cost for pavement preservation and restoration by $\$ 6.5$ billion over the next 10 years. (CTR)
- Bad pavements cost motorist $\$ 343$ per year. (TRIP)
- Accidents cost $\$ 948$ per year for each Texas resident. (TRIP)
- The estimate of the annual cost of serious traffic crashes in Texas, in which roadway design was likely a contributing factor, is approximately $\$ 6.5$ billion. (The cost of serious crashes includes lost productivity, lost earnings, medical costs and emergency services.) (TRIP)
- For every $\$ 1$ spent on transportation, $\$ 6$ in economic benefit is received. (TTI)
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## Lettings and Awards 2003-2017

The Chart shows highway construction awards from 2003 through 2017 with future years based on current projected revenue for TxDOT as of April 2012.

Funds for new project awards will be approximately half of the previous year's amounts, without considerations for the impact of inflation. Projected funds would only meet maintenance needs.

From the 2030 Committee Report of 2011, the amount available is only:

- $30 \%$ of the needs to be economically competitive; and only
- $40 \%$ of the needs to maintain the worst acceptable condition.

The amount available is less than $20 \%$ of the original 2030 Committee Report recommendation.

## Past and Projected Awards
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## 2030 Committee Report to the Texas Transportation Commission 2011

## 2030 Committee Report to the Texas Transportation Commission 2011

The Texas Transportation Committee charged the 2030 Committee with developing a forecast for alternative levels of service for the four elements of the Texas transportation system-pavements, bridges, urban mobility and rural connectivity-along with analyzing potential sources of transportation revenue and determining the economic effects of under-investing in the system.

The following summaries show the hidden cost of doing nothing-the cost consumers do not realizeand compare those costs to fees for transportation. The Report discusses the impact of those funds on the condition of the system and congestion.

The charts show 3- to 7-fold savings to the household when transportation funding is based on the size and use of the system.

The 2030 Committee 2009 and 2011 Reports can be found at the following link. http://texas2030committee.tamu.edu/

## Average Annual Household Transportation Costs <br> 2030 Committee Report 2011



Going from left to right, annual user fee increases translate to savings to the household of 3 to 7 fold. Going from the current average annual fees of $\$ 232$ to $\$ 406$, and increase of $\$ 174$, saves the household \$1096.

Household costs include taxes and fees and vehicle use and maintenance costs. Vehicle use and maintenance costs includes the extra time, fuel and oil needed as a result of traffic congestion as well as detours around closed bridges and additional vehicle operating costs, such as new tires and other maintenance costs that result from rough roads and bridges.

## WHERE WE ARE AND WHERE WE ARE GOING?

GRADE F: Unacceptable Conditions-With expected funding over the next 10 years, road and bridge conditions will get worse, congestion will increase, and people and freight will encounter travel problems in rural areas. The Committee deemed the trend associated with the current revenue estimates as "Unacceptable Conditions," receiving a failing grade of "F."

The future appears to consist of one trend-road quality deterioration and mobility decline that will result in unacceptable conditions for Texans.

- Funding (in 2010 dollars) will decrease as fuel-efficient vehicles contribute lower tax revenues per mile of travel.
- Road and bridge conditions, urban traffic congestion, and connections between rural communities will worsen.
- Texans will pay more for transportation beginning in the next few years. The taxes and fees paid will be low, but total transportation costs will go up.
The 2030 Committee developed three alternatives to the unacceptable conditions forecast that will result from the current policies. Each adheres to the principle of "get as much use out of the current system and the current funding levels as possible."

GRADE D: Worst Acceptable Conditions-This scenario represents the conditions that are the worst acceptable values for each of the four system elements, with a focus on preserving the enormous investment already made in the transportation system infrastructure:

- Pavement and bridge maintenance increases to slow the decline in conditions between 2011 and 2020. After 2020, the pavement conditions will hold steady at a level much worse than 2010 conditions. Under this scenario, 30 percent of pavements will have fair, poor or very poor conditions, and 5 percent will have very poor conditions in 2035. The surface area of deficient bridges will comprise slightly more than 3 percent of the bridge system in 2035, although approximately 7 percent of the smaller off-system bridges will have this rating (those not maintained by TxDOT).
- Urban congestion will grow at a rapid rate. Congestion will be better than the current Unacceptable Conditions Scenario but will more than double to an average of 85 hours of extra travel time per urban commuter by 2035.
- Major rural highway connectivity improvements will add enough roadway lanes to alleviate only the most heavily traveled sections of the Texas Trunk System. Additional high traffic volume rural roads would be addressed by 2035 .
Additional funding would keep infrastructure conditions at a level that would not penalize Texans as much as the Unacceptable Conditions Scenario. Road quality deterioration would be slowed, and a significant number of deficient bridges could be addressed, resulting in this Worst Acceptable Conditions Scenario. Congestion would grow at a rate that has been seen only in economic boom times, but this would go on for 25 years and severely hamper the state's economic growth.

GRADE C:Minimum CompetitiveConditions-Texashas successfully maintained its transportation infrastructure in a condition at least equal to or better than that of its peer states and metropolitan regions, but the Worst Acceptable Conditions Scenario does not provide this level. The Minimum Competitive Conditions Scenario improves each of the four transportation system components:

- The percent of very poor pavements would drop from 5 percent in the Worst Acceptable Conditions Scenario to 2 percent in 2035.
- The number of deficient bridges would be identical to the Grade D Scenario.
- Urban regions would have congestion levels better than at least half of the U.S. regions with similar populations, but the average urban area delay will be 57 hours in 2035.
- Additional high traffic volume rural roads would be addressed by 2035.

GRADE B: Continue 2010 Conditions-Under this scenario, the transportation system conditions experienced in 2010 would be maintained throughout the period from 2011 to 2035. The percentage of deficient pavements and bridges would hold at 2010 levels. The urban and rural road networks would have the same high traffic volume levels as in 2010. (Billions of \$2010)

| Period | System Element | Scenarios |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F <br> Unacceptable Conditions | D <br> Worst Acceptable Conditions | C <br> Minimum Competitive Conditions | Continue 2010 Conditions |
| 2011 to 2015 | Pavement | \$5.80 | \$10.60 | \$10.80 | \$14.50 |
|  | Bridge | \$2.30 | \$2.70 | \$2.70 | \$2.90 |
|  | Mobility | \$18.10 | \$16.50 | \$32.40 | \$30.60 |
|  | Rural | \$0.00 | \$0.80 | \$1.50 | \$1.60 |
|  | Total | \$26.20 | \$30.60 | \$47.40 | \$49.60 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 to 2019 | Pavement | \$5.10 | \$10.10 | \$10.30 | \$13.60 |
|  | Bridge | \$1.80 | \$2.20 | \$2.20 | \$2.40 |
|  | Mobility | \$13.70 | \$15.30 | \$17.30 | \$27.50 |
|  | Rural | \$0.00 | \$0.70 | \$1.20 | \$1.30 |
|  | Total | \$20.60 | \$28.30 | \$31.00 | \$44.80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 to 2035 | Pavement | \$9.90 | \$39.50 | \$40.30 | \$46.80 |
|  | Bridge | \$7.30 | \$8.60 | \$8.60 | \$9.40 |
|  | Mobility | \$36.00 | \$64.20 | \$85.50 | \$114.50 |
|  | Rural | \$0.00 | \$2.70 | \$4.70 | \$5.10 |
|  | Total | \$53.20 | \$115.00 | \$139.10 | \$175.80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 to 2035 Grand Total |  | \$100 | \$174 | \$217 | \$270 |

As shown on the bottom line, total revenue available for pavement and bridge maintenance plus additional capacity is expected to be $\$ 100$ billion from 2011 to 2035. The estimated funding gaps for the other three scenarios will range from $\$ 74$ billion to $\$ 170$ billion from 2011 to 2035.
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## Rider 42 Studies

Rider 42 in appropriations from the 82nd legislative session directed funding for the Texas Transportation Institute to propose the most cost-effective solutions with the highest impact for the 50 most congested corridors in Texas (metropolitan areas).

The following document gives a listing of projects from the February 2012 report to the Texas Transportation Commission that identifies the most cost effective strategies to address congestion in the 4 largest metropolitan areas in the state. The listings shows the annual delay cost per segment of the 50 most congested corridors and the amount of funding still needed as of April 2012.

The Mobility Investment Priorities Project, Early Recommendations Report can be found at the following link. http://www.txdot.gov/about_us/commission/2012_meetings/documents/minute_orders/feb23/3.pdf

## Rider 42 Study

# Mobility Investment Priorities Project Early Recommendations Report by TTII February 2012 Summary 

As a part of the General Appropriations Act, 82nd Texas Legislature, 2011 (H.B. 1, TxDOT Rider 42), the Texas Transportation Institute (TTI) was directed to provide assistance to the metropolitan planning organizations, the TxDOT District offices and other project partners in their development of projects and programs to address mobility concerns and to report to the Texas Legislature and the Transportation Commission. TTI's Early Recommendations Report to the Texas Transportation Commission reviewed this cost of congestion in both time and money. The following tables, from the Early Recommendations Report, recommended projects and identified funds needed for the projects.

TTI is serving as facilitator and coordinator of studies to provide assurance that:

1. Projects addressed have the greatest impact considering factors including congestion, economic benefits, user costs, safety, and pavement quality.
2. The best traffic and demand management principles are being applied to the projects.
3. The funding scenarios take advantage of all feasible options so that public funds provide the greatest "bang for the buck."
4. Public participation in concept development ensures the most inclusive planning process possible.
5. Recommendations are made to the department of transportation at each major decision point for the projects.

The chart below shows delay hours per commuter doubling in less than 15 years for certain metropolitan areas of Texas.

| Delay Hours per Commuter |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Austin | Dallas <br> Fort Worth | Houston | San <br> Antonio |
| 2010 | 33 | 50 | 53 | 30 |
| 2015 | 30 | 59 | 61 | 38 |
| 2020 | 28 | 70 | 67 | 47 |
| 2025 | 35 | 107 | 97 | 58 |

Congestion cost per household will double as well.

| Congestion Cost per Household (2010 dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Austin | Dallas <br> Fort Worth | Houston | San <br> Antonio |
| 2010 | $\$ 610$ | $\$ 1,100$ | $\$ 1,390$ | $\$ 470$ |
| 2015 | 570 | 1,330 | 1,640 | 620 |
| 2020 | 530 | 1,570 | 1,780 | 750 |
| 2025 | 640 | 2,310 | 2,500 | 900 |

Cumulative congestion costs will increase as much as $300 \%$.

| Areawide Total Congestion Cost (millions of 2010 dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Austin | Dallas <br> Fort Worth | Houston | San <br> Antonio |
| 2010 | $\$ 690$ | $\$ 4,370$ | $\$ 4,430$ | $\$ 550$ |
| 2015 | 720 | 5,810 | 6,440 | 770 |
| 2020 | 750 | 7,530 | 8,350 | 1,000 |
| 2025 | 1,050 | 12,500 | 13,190 | 1,280 |

The report describes interim conclusions from the first few months of a two-year project and the early report identifies projects that are likely to meet the standards identified in the Rider.

Exhibit 1. Growth in Transportation Demand and Supply in Texas, Annual Percent Increase from 1970 to 2035


The report references the 2030 Committee Report and states that Congestion in the four largest metropolitan areas will grow slowly over the next three years due to a combination of forces: the relatively slow economic recovery since 2009, the implementation of the last installment of projects funded by Proposition 12, and the comprehensive development agreement projects creating capacity expansions in important corridors. Austin, in particular, was estimated to benefit from state and toll road spending as well as local bond election funding. Beyond 2020, congestion is projected to grow much faster.

The cost of congestion per household in the 2030 Report shows costs increasing between 28 percent and 60 percent between 2010 and 2020 in the three areas with increasing congestion. The total cost of congestion increases by 72 to 88 percent from 2010 to 2020 in the three largest metropolitan areas, and by 9 percent in Austin.

TTI used TxDOT's list of 100 most congested corridors and analyzed the delay and annual cost of delay. The total cost for the top 50 projects alone per year is over $\$ 1.5$ billion.

| $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Rank } \end{aligned}$ | Roadway | County | From | To | Annual Hrs of Delay per mile | Annual Hrs of Delay | Annual Cost of Delay (millions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | IH 45 | HARRIS | SL 8 North | 1H 610 | 484,630 | 4,507,059 | \$98.0 |
| 2 | US 59 | HARRIS | IH 610 West | SH 288 | 440,416 | 2,422,287 | \$52.7 |
| 3 | IH 635 | DALLAS | IH 35E | US 75 | 432,244 | 3,414,730 | \$74.3 |
| 4 | IH 35 | TRAVIS | SH 71 | US 183 | 421,778 | 3,880,359 | \$84.4 |
| 5 | Woodall Rodgers FWY | DALLAS | IH 35 | US 75 | 397,861 | 636,577 | \$13.9 |
| 6 | IH 45 | HARRIS | IH 10 | IH 610 South | 366,486 | 2,858,589 | \$62.2 |
| 7 | IH 45 | HARRIS | IH 610 North | IH 10 | 342,303 | 1,061,140 | \$23.1 |
| 8 | 1H35W | TARRANT | 1H 30 | SH 183 | 339,507 | 1,120,373 | \$24.4 |
| 9 | US 75 | DALLAS | IH 635 | Woodall Rodgers FWY | 337,201 | 3,304,567 | \$71.9 |
| 10 | US 59 | HARRIS | IH 10 | SH 288 | 314,106 | 973,729 | \$21.2 |
| 11 | US 290 | HARRIS | FM 529 | IH 610 | 313,584 | 2,853,617 | \$62.1 |
| 12 | IH 35E | DALLAS | IH 30 | SH 183 | 313,318 | 1,723,248 | \$37.5 |
| 13 | IH 610 | HARRIS | IH 10 | IH 45 North | 303,228 | 1,880,016 | \$40.9 |
| 14 | IH 820 | TARRANT | I35W | SH 183 | 288,238 | 2,219,431 | \$48.3 |
| 15 | US 75 | DALLAS | PGBT | IH 635 | 257,055 | 1,773,680 | \$38.6 |
| 16 | IH 30 | DALLAS | IH 35E | SH 12 East | 254,440 | 2,035,516 | \$44.3 |
| 17 | IH 35E | DALLAS | US 67 | IH 30 | 251,532 | 1,157,045 | \$25.2 |
| 18 | IH 610 | HARRIS | UA 90 | IH 10 | 245,117 | 2,157,029 | \$46.9 |
| 19 | IH 35E | DALLAS | SL 12 | IH 635 | 242,208 | 581,299 | \$12.6 |
| 20 | US 59 | HARRIS | SL 8 | IH 610 West | 235,349 | 1,835,724 | \$39.9 |
| 21 | IH 35W | TARRANT | SH 183 | US 81 | 234,810 | 1,502,785 | \$32.7 |
| 22 | IH 10 | HARRIS | SL 8 | IH 610 West | 205,249 | 1,354,641 | \$29.5 |
| 23 | SL 1604 | BEXAR | SH 16 | FM 471 | 197,021 | 945,701 | \$20.6 |
| 24 | N Lamar | TRAVIS | W 45th Street | W 6th Street | 195,573 | 664,947 | \$14.5 |
| 25 | US 290 | HARRIS | FM 1960 | FM 529 | 187,048 | 785,601 | \$17.1 |
| 26 | IH 45 | HARRIS | SL 8 | IH 610 South | 174,824 | 1,433,556 | \$31.2 |
| 27 | SH 288 | HARRIS | IH 45 | IH 610 | 172,958 | 830,196 | \$18.1 |
| 28 | Westheimer (FM 1093) | HARRIS | SH 6 | Post Oak Blvd | 168,249 | 1,884,390 | \$41.0 |
| 29 | IH 30 | DALLAS | Hampton | IH 35E | 167,825 | 520,256 | \$11.3 |
| 30 | IH 345 | DALLAS | Woodall Rodgers FWY | IH 30 | 162,567 | 227,594 | \$5.0 |
| 31 | IH 10 | HARRIS | IH 45 | US 59 | 161,898 | 242,848 | \$5.3 |
| 32 | IH 635 | DALLAS | SH 78 | IH 30 | 159,692 | 638,769 | \$13.9 |
| 33 | IH 45 | HARRIS | FM 528 / NASA 1 | SL 8 | 157,824 | 1,073,200 | \$23.3 |
| 34 | FM 1960 | HARRIS | US 290 | 1H 45 | 157,776 | 2,161,525 | \$47.0 |
| 35 | IH 10 | HARRIS | IH 610 West | IH 45 | 157,762 | 899,242 | \$19.6 |
| 36 | SL 12 | DALLAS | SH 356 | IH 35E | 154,540 | 726,340 | \$15.8 |
| 37 | SH 360 | TARRANT | SH 183 | 1H 20 | 150,086 | 1,680,962 | \$36.6 |
| 38 | US 281 | BEXAR | SH 1604 | Comal County Line | 149,368 | 1,180,003 | \$25.7 |
| 39 | SL 1 | TRAVIS | US 183 | US 290 | 146,130 | 1,753,560 | \$38.1 |
| 40 | 1H 635 | DALLAS | US 75 | SH 78 | 145,212 | 1,001,962 | \$21.8 |
| 41 | IH 35E | DALLAS | IH 635 | BS 121H | 142,654 | 1,512,130 | \$32.9 |
| 42 | SL 360 | TRAVIS | SL 1 | US 290 | 137,546 | 178,810 | \$3.9 |
| 43 | US 290 | TRAVIS | SL 1 | RM 1826 | 136,493 | 518,673 | \$11.3 |
| 44 | South Lamar/ 1st St | TRAVIS | 0.17 mile west of US 290 | IH 35 | 135,550 | 704,859 | \$15.3 |
| 45 | Bellaire | HARRIS | Puerta Vista Lane | US 59 | 133,919 | 857,082 | \$18.6 |
| 46 | Bissonnet | HARRIS | US 59 | Dairy Ashford | 128,943 | 554,457 | \$12.1 |
| 47 | SL 12 | DALLAS | SH 356 | IH 30 | 117,636 | 352,908 | \$7.7 |
| 48 | IH 35 | BEXAR | Loop 353/ Nogalitos | US 281 | 116,342 | 488,637 | \$10.6 |
| 49 | IH 35 | BEXAR | FM 1518 | SL 1604 | 116,202 | 255,644 | \$5.6 |
| 50 | Culebra Rd (FM 3487) | BEXAR | SH 471 | IH 410 | 115,093 | 379,808 | \$8.3 |

TTI analyzed specific projects to determine the most cost effective strategies for reducing congestion in metropolitan areas. These charts also show the funding needs. (This report was produced prior to the $\$ 2$ billion allocation by TxDOT.) The shortfall for just the metro areas and for the few projects analyzed was over $\$ 30$ billion.

| Summary of Possible Large Projects for Austin Congested Corridors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Corridor | Large Projects | Rider 42 Funding <br> Estimate | Estimated <br> Implementation <br> Funds Needed* | Implementation Timeframe |  |
| 39 | Loop 1 South <br> Managed Lanes | Engineering (preliminary, <br> final and procurement for <br> tolled managed lanes | $\$ 16.5 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 290 \mathrm{M}$ | 2017 |  |
| 4 | IH 35 Study Extension | Expand study limits <br> and scope to address <br> potential managed lane <br> operations and to assess <br> TDM strategies for IH 35 <br> commuters | $\$ 1.2 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 7 \mathrm{M}$ to $\$ 200 \mathrm{M}$ | 2013 to 2019 |  |

All Congested Corridors


Remaining Austin Rider 42 allocation: $\$ 12.78 \mathrm{M}$
${ }^{\star}$ Source of implementation funds unknown.

Summary of Possible Large Projects for Dallas/Fort Worth Congested Corridors

| Rank | Corridor | Large Projects | Rider 42 Funding Estimate | Estimated Implementation Funds Needed* | Implementation Timeframe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12, 17, 29 | IH 30 \& IH 35E | Horseshoe Project | \$100.75M | \$818M (Prop 12, <br> Prop 14 \& MTP) | 2011 to 2016 |
| 12, 16, 17, 29 | Trinity Parkway | Trinity Parkway construction | \$18M | \$1.9B | 2013 to 2030 |
| 12, 16, 17, 29 | IH 30, IH 35E | Project Pegasus | \$0.00 | \$1.7B | 2015 to 2025 |
| 9, 15 | US 75 North | HOV lane improvements and bottleneck removal | \$0.00 | TBD | TBD |
| 16 | IH 30 East | Lane expansion and managed lanes | \$0.00 | \$750M | 2015- |
| 17 | IH 35E South | Southern Gateway expansion of 2 additional mainlanes and managed lanes | \$0.00 | \$1.3B (MTP) | 2015 to 2035 |

TOTAL
\$118.75M
\$6.47B
Remaining Dallas/Fort Worth Rider 42 allocation: \$0.0
*Source of implementation funds noted if known.

| Summary of Possible Large Projects for Houston Congested Corridors |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Remaining Houston Rider 42 allocation: $\$ 29.874 \mathrm{M}$
*Source of implementation funds noted if known.
${ }^{* *}$ To be determined by additional study or design.

Summary of Possible Large Projects for San Antonio Congested Corridors

| Rank | Corridor | Large Projects | Rider 42 Funding Estimate | Estimated Implementation Funds Needed* | Implementation Timeframe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | SL 1604 | Widen expressway to 6 or 8 tolled lanes from US 90 to IH 35 East | \$0.00 | \$770M to \$1.47B <br> (Alamo RMA) | 2013 to 2017 |
| 38 | US 281/SL 1604 | Northern direct connectors | \$6M | \$59M (MPO MTP) | 2014 to 2018 |
| 38 | US 281 | Widen existing freeway | \$0.00 | \$403M to \$703M (Alamo RMA) | 2014 to 2018 |
| 48 | IH 35 Central | Widen to 10 lanes from US 281/IH 37 to IH 410 South | \$0.00 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 335.5 \mathrm{M} \\ & \text { (MPO MTP) } \end{aligned}$ | TBD* |
| 48 | IH 35 Central | PEL study | \$1.0M | TBD** | TBD* |
| 49 | IH 35 Northeast | EIS or EA Study*** | \$13M | TBD** | TBD* |
| 49 | IH 35 Northeast | Widen to 12 or 14 lanes | \$0.00 | \$1.7B (MPO MTP) | TBD* |
| 49 | IH 35 Northeast | IH 35/SL 1604 and IH 35/IH 410 interchange improvements | \$0.00 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 600 \mathrm{M} \text { to } \\ & \$ 900 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{ROW} \end{aligned}$ | TBD* |
| 48, 49 | SL 1604 | Widen freeway from IH 35 to IH 10 | \$0.00 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300 \mathrm{M} \text { to } \\ \$ 400 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{ROW} \end{gathered}$ | TBD* |
| 48, 49 | South IH 35 Bypass | PEL study for IH 410 Southeast, IH 10 East, and SL 1604 Northeast | \$2.5M | TBD** | TBD* |
| 48, 49 | IH 410 Southwest | PEL study for IH 35 bypass | \$0.5M | TBD** | TBD* |
| 48, 49 | IH 35 Alternate Routes | Link to SH 130 in Seguin: IH 10 from SH 130 to $\mathrm{IH} 35, \mathrm{IH} 410$ from IH 10 to IH 35 , and SL 1604 South from IH 10 to US 90 | \$0.00 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 3.2 \mathrm{~B} \text { to } \\ \$ 4.65 \mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{ROW} \end{gathered}$ | TBD* |

## All Congested Corridors

| Transportation Management | Planning and feasibility study to <br> implement traffic management and <br> incident clearance | $\$ 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Parking Management | Planning and feasibility study to facilitate <br> parking management <br> Engineering study to examine regional <br> travel options along the corridors | $\$ 0.3 \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Travel Options | $\$ 0.3 \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{\$ 2 4 . 6 M}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 7 . 4 B}$ to \$10.2B+ROW |  |  |

Remaining San Antonio Rider 42 allocation: \$9.14M
*Source of implementation funds noted if known.
${ }^{* *}$ Project funding and scope to be determined from current or future PEL, EIS or EA study.
${ }^{* * *}$ Alamo RMA's expected time frame for the IH 35 Northeast EA or EIS is 2013-2017.
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## Texas Rural Transportation Plan

The Texas Rural Transportation Plan (TRTP) is the rural component of the Statewide Long Range Transportation Plan (SLRTP). As part of the SLRTP, the TRTP is a blueprint for the planning process in the rural areas that will guide the collaborative efforts between the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT), local and regional decision-makers, and all transportation stakeholders to reach a consensus on needed transportation projects and services through 2035. It is a standalone document, fully consistent with the SLRTP.

TxDOT has identified the draft listing of projects on the following pages.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RTP } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 271 | 564.7 | 171 | Abilene | Howard | US 87 | CONSTRUCT NEW RELIEF ROUTE | IH 20, 4 miles West of Big Spring | FM 700, 3 miles North | 7.5 |
| 1000 | 560.9 | 185 | Abilene | Howard | IH 20 | CONSTRUCT NEW INTERCHANGE | AT US 87 Relief Route on SFR | AT US 87 Relief Route on NFR | 1 |
| 275 | 526.5 | 234 | Abilene | Nolan | US 84 | RECONSTRUCTION, REALIGNMENT, WIDENING, STRUCTURES, SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS AND SURFACING | 5 MILES EAST OF MITCHELL C/L | APPROX 1 MI N/W OF ROSCOE | 2.7 |
| 276 | 461.5 | 346 | Abilene | Taylor | US 83 | WIDEN FROM 2 LANE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED NHS, TRUNK | US 84 | SOUTH OF TUSCOLA | 3.2 |
| 277 | 428.9 | 411 | Abilene | Taylor | US 84 | RECONSTRUCT EXISTING 4-LANE <br> UNDIVIDED TO 4-LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | US 83 | COLEMAN COUNTY LINE | 11.3 |
| 1005 | 384.3 | 485 | Abilene | Jones | US 83 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 | US 277 North of Anson | SH 92 in Hamlin | 17.6 |
| 1004 | 307.9 | 566 | Abilene | Jones | US 83 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 | SH 92 in Hamlin | Fisher County Line | 3.8 |
| 1002 | 298.5 | 573 | Abilene | Stonewall | US 83 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 | Fisher County Line | US 380 | 13.1 |
| 46 | 287.2 | 584 | Abilene | Jones | US 83 | NEW 4 LANE DIVIDED AROUND ANSON (RELIEF ROUTE) | at US 83/277, 2.0 MI N OF ANSON | at FM 2746 \& US 277, 2.0 MI S OF ANSON (BYP | 5.9 |
| 1006 | 285.4 | 587 | Abilene | Stonewall | US 83 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 | US 380 | North of Aspermont | 3.3 |
| 267 | 263.8 | 600 | Abilene | Callahan | SH 36 | CONSTRUCT FULL CLOVERLEAF WITH LEFT TURN REFUGE | AT US 283, 10.616 MI N OF COLEMAN CL | OVERPASS OVER US 283 | 0.5 |
| 1003 | 255.3 | 611 | Abilene | Fisher | US 83 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 | Jones County Line | Stonewall County Line | 1.6 |
| 1001 | 216 | 627 | Abilene | Stonewall | US 83 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 | US 380 | King County Line | 15.6 |
| 268 | 194 | 631 | Abilene | Callahan | US 283 | REHAB AND WIDENING | SH 36 | COLEMAN COUNTY LINE | 10.6 |
| 287 | 498.9 | 286 | Amarillo | Moore | US 87 | RECONSTRUCT AND ADD 2 LANES | BNSF RR OVERPASS | HARTLEY C/L | 10.2 |
| 20 | 434.4 | 400 | Amarillo | Dallam | US 54 | RECONSTRUCT AND ADD 2 LANES | HARTLEY COUNTY LINE | 1 MILE SW OF CHAMBERLIN | 10.2 |
| 23 | 398.3 | 456 | Amarillo | Hartley | US 87 | RECONSTRUCT AND ADD 2 LANES | US 87/US 385 INTERCHANGE | MOORE COUNTY LINE | 13.2 |
| 28 | 391 | 470 | Amarillo | Sherman | US 54 | RECONSTRUCT AND ADD 2 LANES | END OF C\&G AT N C/L OF STRATFORD | OKLAHOMA STATE LINE | 18.6 |
| 290 | 378.8 | 493 | Amarillo | Sherman | US 54 | RECONSTRUCT AND ADD 2 LANES | DALLAM COUNTY LINE | SOUTH CITY LIMITS OF STRATFORD | 7.3 |
| 281 | 375 | 500 | Amarillo | Dallam | US 54 | RECONSTRUCT AND ADD 2 LANES | 1 MILE SW OF CHAMBERLIN | SHERMAN COUNTY LINE | 16.2 |
| 27 | 373.8 | 501 | Amarillo | Sherman | US 287 | RECONSTRUCT AND ADD 2 LANES | DALLAM COUNTY LINE | JCT. US 54 IN STRATFORD | 8.1 |
| 1060 | 363.9 | 506 | Amarillo | Dallam | US 287 | RECONSTRUCT AND ADD 2 LANES | Oklahoma State Line | Dallam County Line | 6.9 |
| 285 | 345.2 | 525 | Amarillo | Hartley | US 54 | RECONSTRUCT AND ADD 2 LANES | MIDDLEWATER | DALLAM COUNTY LINE | 17.8 |
| 24 | 325.8 | 543 | Amarillo | Hartley | US 54 | RECONSTRUCT AND ADD 2 LANES | NEW MEXICO STATE LINE | MIDDLEWATER | 19.8 |
| 22 | 310.5 | 562 | Amarillo | Gray | NR | NEW LOCATION | SH 70, WEST | FM 282 | 2 |
| 21 | 302.8 | 569 | Amarillo | Gray | FM 282 | CONSTRUCT RURAL INTERCHANGE AT FM 282 AND US 60 JUNCTION | FM 750 JUNCTION | 0.4 MILES N. OF US 60 INTERSECTION | 0.1 |
| 1020 | 706 | 12 | Atlanta | Harrison | IH 20 | WIDEN EXISTING 4 LANE INTERSTATE FACILITY TO 6 LANE | LOUISIANA STATE LINE | GREGG COUNTY LINE (TYLER DISTRICT) | 39.2 |
| 1016 | 668.3 | 31 | Atlanta | Upshur | US 271 | WIDEN 2 LANE HIGHWAY TO 4-LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | SH 155 | 0.4 MI NORTH OF GREGG COUNTY LINE | 11.2 |
| 1011 | 655 | 46 | Atlanta | Bowie | US 82 | RECONSTRUCT TO 4 LANE DIVIDED RURAL SECTION | 0.2 MI. W. OF FM 2789 | IH 30 | 4.1 |

Texas Rural Transportation Plan - Preliminary Project Rankings by District

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RTP } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3008 | 652.8 | 53 | Atlanta | Bowie | I-30 | Widen 4-Lane Freeway to 6 Lane Freeway | US 82 | City of Hooks | 12.3 |
| 211 | 642 | 62 | Atlanta | Panola | SH 149 | UPGRADE EXISTING 2 LANE HIGHWAY TO 4 LANE DIVIDED ON EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY | 0.8 MI S. OF SH 315 | US 59 | 1.7 |
| 3007 | 630.2 | 78 | Atlanta | Bowie | I-30 | Widen 4-Lane Freeway to 6 Lane Freeway | BOWIE COUNTY LINE | US 82 | 25.2 |
| 3005 | 629.7 | 80 | Atlanta | Titus | I-30 | Widen 4-Lane Freeway to 6 Lane Freeway | Titus County Line | Morris County Line | 20.4 |
| 1010 | 628.9 | 81 | Atlanta | Bowie | US 82 | RECONSTRUCT TO 4 LANE DIVIDED RURAL SECTION | 1.2 MI E OF FM 992 | 0.2 MI W OF FM 2789 | 4.3 |
| 1014 | 626.1 | 89 | Atlanta | Harrison | US 80 | WIDEN 2 LANE HIGHWAY TO 4-LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | 0.3 MI E OF FM 450 IN HALLSVILLE | FM 968 W OF MARSHALL | 7.7 |
| 1019 | 618.3 | 101 | Atlanta | Bowie | 1H 30 | WIDEN EXISTING 4 LANE INTERSTATE FACIIITY TO 6 LANE | HOOKS | Texarkana MPO Study Area Boundary (FM 2253) | 7.8 |
| 292 | 605 | 120 | Atlanta | Bowie | US 82 | RECONSTRUCT TO 4 LANE DIVIDED URBAN SECTION | FM 992 | 1.2 MI E OF FM 992 | 1.2 |
| 298 | 599.7 | 127 | Atlanta | Harrison | VA | CONSTRUCT 2 LNS CONTROLLED ACCESS TOLL ROAD ON NEW LOCATION (ULTIMATE 4-LANE FACILITY) (TOLL) | 2 MI E OF GREGG C/L@ ET HRGLS), E | NEW TTC 69 | 19 |
| 3006 | 584.3 | 142 | Atlanta | Morris | I-30 | Widen 4-Lane Freeway to 6 Lane Freeway | Morris County Line | BOWIE COUNTY LINE | 7 |
| 210 | 565.4 | 168 | Atlanta | Panola | SH 149 | CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE AT SH 315 | 0.3 MI N. OF SH 315 | $0.8 \mathrm{MI} \mathrm{S}$. | 1.3 |
| 218 | 561.9 | 183 | Atlanta | Titus | US 271 | WIDEN 2 LANE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED WITH FLUSH MEDIAN | 1.5 MI. N. OF BU 271-E | 0.7 MI N OF FM 1734 | 3.6 |
| 1015 | 550 | 203 | Atlanta | Panola | SH 149 | WIDEN 2 LANE HIGHWAY TO 4-LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | RUSK COUNTY LINE | US 79 NW OF CARTHAGE | 13.8 |
| 1017 | 530.1 | 225 | Atlanta | Upshur | SH 154 | RECONSTRUCT EXISTING 2-LANE ROADWAY TO 4-LANE URBAN SECTION (ONE-WAY PAIR THROUGH DOWNTOWN AREA) | US 271 | 0.4 MI W OF FM 852 | 2.5 |
| 1018 | 525.6 | 235 | Atlanta | Upshur | SH 155 | RECONSTRUCT EXISTING 2-LANE ROADWAY TO SUPER 2 SECTION | FM 1002 N OF BIG SANDY | US 271 IN GILMER | 10.8 |
| 204 | 524.3 | 239 | Atlanta | Bowie | US 82 | RECONSTRUCT TO 4 LANE DIVIDED URBAN SECTION | 0.3 MI W OF US 259 | FM 992 | 1.6 |
| 216 | 511.1 | 267 | Atlanta | Titus | US 271 | RECONSTRUCT 2 LANE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | 0.1 MI. N. OF FM 1896 | 1.5 MI N OF BUS. US 271 | 6.2 |
| 217 | 506.8 | 273 | Atlanta | Titus | US 271 | RECONSTRUCT 2 LANE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | 0.5 MI. S. OF FRANKLIN COUNTY LINE | 0.1 MI. N. OF FM 1896 | 5.4 |
| 3075 | 456.6 | 353 | Atlanta | Bowie | US 82 | WIDEN TO 4-LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | Red River County Line | 0.3 MI W OF US 259 | 6.8 |
| 3074 | 455.5 | 356 | Atlanta | Bowie | US 82 | Add Passing Lanes | Red River County Line | O.3 MI W OF US 259 | 6.8 |
| 1012 | 432.6 | 404 | Atlanta | Harrison | SL 390 | WIDEN 2 LANE HIGHWAY TO 4-LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | US 80 W OF MARSHALL | US 59 N OF MARSHALL | 4.1 |
| 305 | 428.5 | 412 | Atlanta | Titus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FM } \\ & 2152 \end{aligned}$ | EXTEND EXISTING FM 2152 FROM 8.9 MILES NORTH OF IH 30 TO FM 71 | END EXIST. FM 2152, 8.9 MI. N. OF IH 30 | FM 71 | 5.6 |
| 220 | 415.2 | 427 | Atlanta | Upshur | SH 155 | RECONSTRUCT TO 4 LANE DIVIDED RURAL SECTION | BIG CYPRESS CK (LAKE O'THE PINES) | US 259 | 1.6 |


| Texas Rural Transportation Plan - Preliminary Project Rankings by District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RTP } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| 297 | 414.8 | 428 | Atlanta | Harrison | VA | CONSTRUCT 2 LNS CONTROLLED ACCESS TOLL ROAD ON NEW LOCATION (ULTIMATE 4-LANE FACILITY) (TOLL) | GREGG C/L 1 MI S OF FM 449, E \& S | IH 20 TWO MILES EAST OF LP 281 | 12 |
| 299 | 404.7 | 441 | Atlanta | Harrison | SL 390 | CONSTRUCT 4-LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY ON NEW LOCATION | FROM US 80 EAST OF MARSHALL | IH 20 | 3 |
| 209 | 398.4 | 455 | Atlanta | Marion | SH 155 | RECONSTRUCT TO 4 LANE DIVIDED RURAL SECTION | FM 729 | BIG CYPRESS CK (LAKE O'THE PINES) | 2.4 |
| 1013 | 384.1 | 486 | Atlanta | Harrison | SL 390 | WIDEN 2 LANE HIGHWAY TO 4-LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | US 59 N OF MARSHALL | US 80 E OF MARSHALL | 5.1 |
| 207 | 357.5 | 515 | Atlanta | Cass | SH 77 | RECONSTRUCT EXISTING 2-LANE ROADWAY TO 4-LANE URBAN SECTION | 0.2 MILE WEST OF FM 251 | 0.3 MILE EAST OF FM 1841 | 0.8 |
| 308 | 311.4 | 559 | Atlanta | Upshur | VA | CONSTRUCT 2 LNS CONTROLLED ACCESS TOLL ROAD ON NEW LOCATION (ULTIMATE 4-LANE FACILITY) (TOLL) | UPSHUR C/L 1 MI EAST OF US 271 | GREGG C/L ONE MILE NORTH OF FM 1844 | 6 |
| 205 | 311.2 | 560 | Atlanta | Camp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FM } \\ & 3535 \end{aligned}$ | CONSTRUCT FARM ROAD ON NEW LOCATION | US 271 | FM 1520 | 2.1 |
| 312 | 654.8 | 47 | Austin | Burnet | US 281 | CONSTRUCT EASTERN RELIEF ROUTE | RM 1431 | SH 71 | 5.1 |
| 1033 | 635.3 | 70 | Austin | Burnet | US 281 | CONSTRUCT EASTERN RELIEVER ROUTE FOR CITY OF BURNET | SH 29 EAST OF BURNET CITY LIMITS | SOUTH OF US 281 OF BURNET | 10 |
| 310 | 583.3 | 146 | Austin | Burnet | US 281 | CONSTRUCT EASTERN RELIEF ROUTE | RM 1855 | RM 1431 | 5 |
| 311 | 564.8 | 170 | Austin | Burnet | US 281 | WIDEN TO ADD CLTL AND SHOULDERS | PR 4 | RM 1855 | 3.5 |
| 1050 | 559.7 | 186 | Austin | Burnet | US 281 | WIDEN 4-12' TRAVEL LANE WITH $16{ }^{\prime}$ CENTER LEFT TURN LANE | RM 2147 | BLANCO COUNTY LINE | 10 |
| 309 | 542.3 | 213 | Austin | Blanco | US 281 | RECONSTRUCT TO 4-LANE DIVIDED | US 290 | COMAL COUNTY LINE | 11.8 |
| 318 | 524.3 | 240 | Austin | Lee | US 290 | WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | BASTROP C/L | NAVARRO STREET | 7.4 |
| 315 | 523.9 | 241 | Austin | Burnet | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RM } \\ & 1431 \end{aligned}$ | REPLACE BRIDGE, WIDEN ROADWAY TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | AT COLORADO RIVER | . | 1 |
| 1041 | 488.7 | 301 | Austin | Burnet | SH 29 | WIDEN 4-12' TRAVEL LANE WITH 16' CENTER LEFT TURN LANE AND | BURNET EAST CITY LIMITS | BERTRAM WEST CITY LIMITS | 5 |
| 1043 | 488.6 | 302 | Austin | Lee | US 77 | CONSTRUCT DIVIDED ROADWAY | SH 21 | FAYETTE COUNTY LINE | 16.1 |
| 1040 | 454.1 | 359 | Austin | Burnet | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RM } \\ & 1431 \end{aligned}$ | WIDEN 4-12' TRAVEL LANE WITH 16' CENTER LEFT TURN LANE AND | MARBLE FALLS W CITY LIMITS | LLANO C/L | 9.7 |
| 1042 | 450.4 | 368 | Austin | Burnet | SH 29 | WIDEN 4-12' TRAVEL LANES WITH 16' CENTER LEFT TURN LANE AND | BERTRAM EAST CITY LIMIT | WILLIAMSON COUNTY LINE | 2.9 |
| 1044 | 447.3 | 372 | Austin | Burnet | SH 29 | WIDEN 4-12' TRAVEL LANE WITH 16' CENTER LEFT TURN LANE AND | BURNET WEST CITY LIMITS | LLANO COUNTY LINE | 9.8 |
| 1037 | 444.4 | 379 | Austin | Gillespie | SH 16 | WIDEN 2-12 FOOT LANES AND ADD 16 FOOT CENTER TURN LANE WITH | FREDERICKSBURG SOUTH CITY LIMIT | KERR COUNTY LINE | 14 |
| 314 | 433.3 | 401 | Austin | Burnet | SH 71 | WIDEN TO ADD CLTL AND 10' SHLDRS | US 281 | BLANCO COUNTY LINE | 13 |
| 1046 | 432.8 | 403 | Austin | Burnet | CR | CONSTRUCT WIRTZ DAM ROAD | RM 1431 3.33 MI W OF US 281 | RM 2147 4.16 MI W OF_US 281 | 3.9 |
| 1045 | 384.6 | 484 | Austin | Lee | SH 21 | CONSTRUCT DIVIDED HIGHWAY | BASTROP COUNTY LINE | BURLESON COUNTY LINE | 20.9 |
| 1035 | 379.6 | 491 | Austin | Llano | SH 29 | ADD CENTER LEFT TURN LANE \& SHOULDERS | RM 2342 | BURNET COUNTY LINE | 17 |


| Texas Rural Transportation Plan - Preliminary Project Rankings by District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RTP} \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| 1038 | 359.7 | 512 | Austin | Burnet | US 183 | RECONSTRUCT TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | LAMPASAS C/L | RM 963 | 11 |
| 1032 | 358.2 | 514 | Austin | Blanco | US 281 | CNST WEST JOHNSON CITY RELIEF ROUTE | N OF RM 1323 | US 290 | 16 |
| 1039 | 340.3 | 529 | Austin | Burnet | US 183 | RECONSTRUCT TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | RM 963 | WILLIAMSON C/L | 10.3 |
| 1031 | 331.3 | 538 | Austin | Lee | US 290 | RELIEF ROUTE AROUND GIDDINGS | US 290 WEST | US 290 EAST | 6 |
| 127 | 314.3 | 553 | Austin | Llano | SH 71 | UPGRADE TO SUPER 2 | 0.1 MILES EAST OF CR 307 | 0.1 MILES WEST OF CR 308 | 2.6 |
| 319 | 309.6 | 563 | Austin | Llano | SH 71 | UPGRADE TO SUPER 2 \& ADD LTL'S | 0.216 MILES EAST OF CR 308 | 1.909 MILES EAST OF CR 309 | 4.7 |
| 125 | 308.8 | 564 | Austin | Gillespie | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { FM } \\ 3477 \end{array}$ | CONSTRUCT NEW LOOP AROUND FREDERICKSBURG (BARON'S CREEK) | US 290 SE OF FREDERICKSBURG | US 87 NW OF FREDERICKSBURG | 10 |
| 320 | 300.1 | 570 | Austin | Llano | SH 71 | UPGRADE TO SUPER 2 \& ADD LTL'S | 0.241 MILES WEST OF CR 303 | 0.194 MILES WEST OF CR 307 | 7.4 |
| 1047 | 279.9 | 593 | Austin | Blanco | RM 32 | ADD 10 FT SHOULDERS | US 281 | COMAL COUNTY LINE | 7 |
| 1036 | 269.3 | 598 | Austin | Gillespie | RM 965 | WIDEN TO 2-12 FOOT LANES \& 10 FOOT SHOULDERS | FREDERICKSBURG NORTH CITY LIMIT | LLANO COUNTY LINE | 15 |
| 1049 | 265.3 | 599 | Austin | Blanco | US 290 | CONST INTERCHANGE | AT US 281 SOUTH |  | 0.5 |
| 1048 | 252.7 | 614 | Austin | Blanco | RM 165 | ADD 10 FT SHOULDERS | BLANCO CITY LIMITS | RM 2325 | 7 |
| 1030 | 226.9 | 622 | Austin | Llano | SH 71 | CONSTRUCT TRUCK ROUTE | SH 71 S | SH 71 N | 8.9 |
| 2001 | 220.3 | 625 | Austin | Mason | SH 71 | Upgrade to Super 2 | San Saba CL | Llano CL | 1.9 |
| 2000 | 220.3 | 626 | Austin | Mason | SH 71 | Upgrade to Super 2 | McColloch CL | San Saba CL | 4.6 |
| 1034 | 189.7 | 633 | Austin | Llano | RM 965 | WIDEN 2-12 FOOT LANES AND 10 FOOT SHOULDERS | SH 16 | GILLESPIE COUNTY LINE | 8.5 |
| 321 | 643.2 | 60 | Beaumont | Jasper | US 96 | WIDEN AND RECONSTRUCT TO FOUR LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | FM 1007, SOUTH | 0.8 MI N OF RE 255 | 3.2 |
| 322 | 595.2 | 132 | Beaumont | Jasper | US 96 | WIDEN AND RECONSTRUCT TO FOUR LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | SABINE CO/L, SOUTH | FM 1007 | 2.2 |
| 329 | 574.1 | 157 | Beaumont | Tyler | US 69 | CONSTRUCT NEW LOCATION 4 LANE DIVIDED FACILITY | FM 1013 | 1 MI SOUTH OF BLACK CREEK | 5.5 |
| 224 | 573 | 158 | Beaumont | Tyler | US 69 | CONSTRUCT NEW LOCATION 4 LANE DIVIDED FACILITY | 1.5 MI NORTH OF US 190 | FM 1013 | 9 |
| 225 | 567.9 | 165 | Beaumont | Tyler | US 69 | CONSTRUCT NEW LOCATION 4 LANE DIVIDED FACILITY | 0.1 MI SOUTH OF BLACK CREEK | HARDIN COUNTY LINE | 5.1 |
| 323 | 566.4 | 167 | Beaumont | Jasper | US 96 | WIDEN AND RECONSTRUCT TO FOUR LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | 0.8 MI N OF R 255, SOUTH | 0.3 MI N OF R 255 | 0.5 |
| 325 | 545.6 | 210 | Beaumont | Jasper | US 190 | WIDEN HIGHWAY TO SUPER2 STANDARD | 1.6 MI E OF SH 63, EAST | NEWTON COUNTY LINE | 5.2 |
| 324 | 544 | 212 | Beaumont | Jasper | US 190 | WIDEN HIGHWAY TO SUPER2 STANDARD | TYLER COUNTY LINE | From Tyler Co/L to SH 63 | 11.5 |
| 223 | 518.5 | 254 | Beaumont | Tyler | US 69 | CONSTRUCT NEW LOCATION 4 LANE DIVIDED FACILITY | 0.9 MI SOUTH OF RR 255 | 1.5 MI NORTH OF US 190 | 8.8 |
| 331 | 505.4 | 275 | Beaumont | Tyler | US 190 | WIDEN HIGHWAY TO SUPER2 STANDARD | WOODVILLE | JASPER COUNTY LINE | 14.2 |
| 330 | 482.7 | 310 | Beaumont | Tyler | US 190 | WIDEN HIGHWAY TO SUPER2 STANDARD | POLK CO/L, EAST | From Polk Co/L, East to 3 Mi W of US 69 | 8.3 |
| 326 | 439.6 | 391 | Beaumont | Newton | SH 87 | WIDEN HIGHWAY TO SUPER2 STANDARD | N OF SH 12, SOUTH | ORANGE COUNTY LINE | 3 |
| 327 | 413.1 | 430 | Beaumont | Tyler | US 69 | RECONSTRUCT EXISTING 2 LANE HIGHWAY TO 4 LANES DIVIDED | JASPER COUNTY LINE | 1.35 MI SOUTH OF RR 255 | 7.6 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { RTP } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 221 | 402 | 448 | Beaumont | Jasper | US 69 | RECONSTRUCT EXISTING 2 LANE HIGHWAY TO 4 LANES DIVIDED | ANGELINA COUNTY LINE | TYLER COUNTY LINE | 1.4 |
| 222 | 286.3 | 585 | Beaumont | Tyler | FM 92 | CONSTRUCT NEW LOCATION 2 LANE FACIIITY | 2.6 MI N OF US 190 | RR 255 | 8.5 |
| 1074 | 513.1 | 262 | Brownwood | Eastland | IH 20 | Improve alignment | At Ranger Hill |  | 2.5 |
| 1076 | 503.8 | 281 | Brownwood | Brown | US 84 | Replace railroad underpass with overpass and approaches | At South Orient Railroad |  | 0.1 |
| 246 | 494.1 | 291 | Brownwood | Brown | US 183 | Build 4 lane divided facility | 0.55 MI. SOUTH OF FM 218 | MILLS C/L | 1.3 |
| 1077 | 485.6 | 306 | Brownwood | Comanche | US 67 | Build 4 lane divided facility | 1.625 mi . W of the Erath C/L | Near CR 319 | 7.2 |
| 1075 | 483.4 | 309 | Brownwood | Lampasas | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FM } \\ & 2657 \end{aligned}$ | Widen roadway | 0.1 MI. S OF CR 4744 | Burnet C/L | 1.9 |
| 248 | 463.9 | 342 | Brownwood | Lampasas | US 183 | Build 4 lane divided facility | 8.53 MI. NORTHWEST OF LAMPASAS | 1.92 MI. NORTHWEST OF LAMPASAS | 6.6 |
| 333 | 451.4 | 364 | Brownwood | Brown | LP | CONSTRUCT 4 LANE DIVIDED RURAL ON NEW LOCATION (N PART OF LP | SH 279 E | US 183 | 3.2 |
| 334 | 451.4 | 364 | Brownwood | Brown | LP | CONSTRUCT 4 LANE DIVIDED RURAL ON NEW LOCATION (NE \& SE LEG) | US 183 E \& S | US 84/183 AND FM 2126 | 3.5 |
| 1070 | 451.4 | 364 | Brownwood | Brown | LP | CONSTRUCT 4 LANE DIVIDED RURAL ON NEW LOCATION | US 67/377 S | US 84/183 AND FM 2126 | 1.6 |
| 249 | 443 | 382 | Brownwood | Lampasas | US 183 | Build 4 lane divided facility | 0.46 MI. S OF LOMETA | 8.53 MI . NORTHWEST OF LAMPASAS | 6.9 |
| 253 | 408.6 | 435 | Brownwood | Mills | US 183 | Build 4 lane divided facility | FM 573 | 0.53 MI. NORTH OF SH 16 | 9.1 |
| 251 | 399.5 | 451 | Brownwood | Mills | US 183 | Build 4 lane divided facility | BROWN C/L | FM 573 | 9.5 |
| 332 | 385.4 | 483 | Brownwood | Brown | LP | CONSTRUCT 4 LANE DIVIDED RURAL ON NEW LOCATION (NW LEG OF LP | US 67/84 NE | SH 279 | 2.3 |
| 1079 | 358.4 | 513 | Brownwood | Lampasas | US 281 | Build 4 lane divided facility | FM 581 | 3.9 mi . N of US 183 | 8.6 |
| 1073 | 343.7 | 527 | Brownwood | Lampasas | LP | Construct 4 lane divided rural on new location | US 183, W | US 281 | 1 |
| 1078 | 340 | 530 | Brownwood | Lampasas | US 281 | Build 4 lane divided facility | 0.95 mi. S of Coryell C/L | FM 581 | 6.6 |
| 1071 | 324.6 | 545 | Brownwood | Lampasas | LP | Construct 4 lane divided rural on new location | US 281 N of Lampasas, SE | US 190 | 2 |
| 1072 | 257.2 | 604 | Brownwood | Lampasas | LP | Construct 4 lane divided rural on new location | US 190, S | US 183 | 1.3 |
| 198 | 764.3 | 2 | Bryan | Washington | SH 36 | CONVERT NON-FREEWAY TO FREEWAY CONSISTING OF GRADING, STRUCTURES, BASE AND SURFACE | SH 36 NORTH | US 290 WEST | 2.8 |
| 197 | 723.9 | 5 | Bryan | Walker | IH 45 | WIDEN FREEWAY CONSISTING OF GRADING, STRUCTURES, FLEXIBLE BASE, HMA, CONCRETE PAVEMENT, SIGNS AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS | THE MONTGOMERY COUNTY LINE | FM 1375 | 1.9 |
| 1096 | 700.9 | 13 | Bryan | Walker | US 190 | WIDEN TO FOUR LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | SH 19 | SAN JACINTO COUNTY LINE | 11.9 |
| 1095 | 692.1 | 17 | Bryan | Robertson | US 79 | WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | SH 6 I/C NORTH OF HEARNE | 3.3 KM W OF FM 46 | 13.7 |
| 1099 | 683.4 | 19 | Bryan | Walker | SH 30 | WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | GRIMES COUNTY LINE | FM 1791 | 13.9 |
| 347 | 661.8 | 38 | Bryan | Milam | US 190 | CONSTRUCT 4 LANE DIVIDED RURAL | US 77 IN CAMERON | 1.7 MI W OF FM 486 | 8 |
| 187 | 661.7 | 39 | Bryan | Leon | US 79 | CONSTRUCT A FOUR LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY WITH FLUSH MEDIAN | FM 1512 | IH 45 IN BUFFALO | 11.5 |
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| 195 | 569 | 163 | Bryan | Milam | US 79 | WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | 0.06 MILES WEST OF SH 36 | 2.749 MILES EAST OF SH 36 | 2.8 |
| 2002 | 563.7 | 177 | Bryan | Washington | US 290 | Reconstruct cloverleaf at SH 36/US 290 W/ BU 290 | at SH 36/US 290 W/ BU 290 |  | 0.8 |
| 196 | 561.8 | 184 | Bryan | Milam | US 79 | WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | 2.749 MILES EAST OF SH 36 | THE ROBERTSON COUNTY LINE | 11.7 |
| 346 | 554 | 194 | Bryan | Madison | SH 21 | WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | SH 90 (LOOP 174) | IH 45 | 2.1 |
| 1100 | 552.9 | 195 | Bryan | Grimes | SH 30 | WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | NAVASOTA RIVER (BRAZOS COUNTY LINE | SH 90 | 14.5 |
| 189 | 527.4 | 231 | Bryan | Madison | US 190 | WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | 0.8 MI EAST OF THE NAVASOTA RIVER | 0.1 MI EAST OF FM 39 | 5.2 |
| 1098 | 511.6 | 266 | Bryan | Grimes | SH 30 | WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | SH 90 | WALKER COUNTY LINE | 8.8 |
| 190 | 464.9 | 338 | Bryan | Madison | US 190 | WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | 3.1 MILES EAST OF FM 39 | N. WILSON STREET IN MADISONVILLE | 9.1 |
| 345 | 451.8 | 363 | Bryan | Madison | US 190 | WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | 0.1 MILES EAST OF FM 39 | 3.1 MILES EAST OF FM 39 | 3 |
| 340 | 417.3 | 423 | Bryan | Grimes | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { FM } \\ 1774 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | SH 105 IN PLANTERSVILLE | WALLER COUNTY LINE | 7.4 |
| 341 | 417.3 | 423 | Bryan | Grimes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FM } \\ & 1774 \end{aligned}$ | WIDEN NON-FREEWAY FACILITY CONSISTING OF GRADING, STRUCTURES FLEX BASE, CONCRETE PAVEMENT, SIGNS AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS | 0.2 MI.NO.OF THE WALLER COUNTY LINE | THE WALLER COUNTY LINE | 0.2 |
| 184 | 408.4 | 436 | Bryan | Burleson | FM 60 | WIDEN NON-FREEWAY FACILITY CONSISTING OF GRADING, STRUCTURES BASE AND SURFACING | FM 2039 | 2.0 KM WEST OF FM 3058 | 4.1 |
| 354 | 350 | 519 | Bryan | Walker | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { FM } \\ 3411 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | CONSTRUCT A TWO-LANE ROADWAY | BEARKAT BOULEVARD | FM 3411 | 0.8 |
| 183 | 346.3 | 521 | Bryan | Burleson | FM 60 | WIDEN NON-FREEWAY FACILITY CONSISTING OF GRADING, STRUCTURES BASE AND SURFACING | 2.0 KM WEST OF FM 3058 | SH 36 | 4.3 |
| 337 | 257.7 | 603 | Bryan | Burleson | FM 166 | REPLACE RAILROAD UNDERPASS | AT UPRR UNDERPASS 0.346 M E OF SH 3 |  | 0.1 |
| 1114 | 277.1 | 594 | Childress | Knox | US 82 | WIDEN NON-FREEWAY | KING COUNTY LINE, E | SH 6 | 11.6 |
| 356 | 210 | 629 | Childress | Foard | US 70 | NEW LOCATION NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | FM 267 E | WILBARGER C/L | 9.2 |
| 266 | 201.2 | 630 | Childress | Knox | US 82 | WIDEN NON-FREEWAY | FM 267, E | TO VERA | 11.6 |
| 374 | 773.9 | 1 | Corpus Chris | t Nueces | LP 44 | CONSTRUCT 4 LANE DIVIDED RURAL HIGHWAY ON NEW LOCATION | SH 44, APPROX. 1.5 MI W OF ROBSTOWN | US 77,APPROX. 1.24 MI S OF ROBSTOWN | 9 |
| 1121 | 682.7 | 20 | Corpus Chris | t Nueces | US 77 | CONSTRUCT MAINLANES AND OVERPASSES | FM 70 | KLEBERG CO. LINE | 2.5 |
| 2009 | 653.6 | 52 | Corpus Chris | $t$ Kleberg | US 77 | Construct mainlanes and partial frontage roads | FM 1898 | Kleberg/Nueces county line | 3.3 |
| 179 | 648.1 | 54 | Corpus Chris | t San Patricio | US 77 | 4-LANES NEW LOCATION | 0.8 MI S OF ODEM | 0.7 MI N OF ODEM (RELIEF ROUTE) | 2.9 |
| 2011 | 637.4 | 65 | Corpus Chris | t Kleberg | US 77 | Construct mainlanes and partial frontage roads | County Road 2130 | FM 1356 | 3.4 |
| 2010 | 635.8 | 69 | Corpus Chris | $t$ Kleberg | US 77 | Construct mainlanes and partial frontage roads | 1.5 miles north of SH 285 | County Road 2130 | 8.6 |
| 2008 | 621.1 | 94 | Corpus Chris | t Kleberg | US 77 | Construct mainlanes and overpass at Caesar Ave | at Caesar Ave |  | 0.8 |
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| 361 | 618.5 | 100 | Corpus Chris | t Bee | US 59 | CONSTRUCT ADDITIONAL 2 LANES | LIVE OAK COUNTY LINE | 0.3 MILES EAST OF FM 351 | 9.3 |
| 161 | 597.2 | 130 | Corpus Chris | t Kleberg | US 77 | CONSTRUCT RELIEF ROUTE AROUND RIVIERA | 1.5 MI N. OF SH 285 | SH 285 | 1.5 |
| 3090 | 582.5 | 147 | Corpus Chris | t Aransas | BS 35 | Widen to 4-Lanes | Aransas Pass | South of Rockport | 7.8 |
| 170 | 566.6 | 166 | Corpus Chris | t Nueces | US 77 | CONSTRUCT RELIEF ROUTE AROUND DRISCOLL | S OF CR 28 | CR 16 | 4.1 |
| 175 | 564.7 | 174 | Corpus Chris | t Refugio | US 77 | 4-LANES NEW LOCATION | N OF REFUGIO | S OF REFUGIO (RELIEF ROUTE) | 10.1 |
| 166 | 551.2 | 197 | Corpus Chris | t Nueces | SH 44 | CONSTRUCT MAIN LANES,CONNECTORS AND STRUCTURES | SH 44 | US 77 | 2 |
| 165 | 545.3 | 211 | Corpus Chris | t Live Oak | US 59 | CONSTRUCT ADDITIONAL 2 LANES | BEE COUNTY LINE | 1H 37 | 7.4 |
| 364 | 538 | 217 | Corpus Chris | t Jim Wells | SH 44 | CONSTRUCT 4 LANE ROADWAY ON NEW LOCATION | 0.8 MI E OF EXIST SH 359 E OF ALICE | 0.43 MI W OF US 281 RR W OF ALICE | 16.1 |
| 1120 | 536.3 | 220 | Corpus Chris | t Nueces | US 77 | CONSTRUCT NORTHBOUND FRTG LANES AND OVERPASS | CR 16 | FM 70 | 4.1 |
| 151 | 529.1 | 228 | Corpus Chris | t Bee | US 59 | CONSTRUCT ADDITIONAL 2 LANES | 2.3 MI NE OF US 181 | GOLIAD COUNTY LINE | 8.9 |
| 162 | 514.7 | 258 | Corpus Chris | t Kleberg | US 77 | CONSTRUCT RELIEF ROUTE AROUND RIVIERA | SH 285 | KENEDY/KLEBERG CO. LINE | 1.5 |
| 178 | 509.4 | 268 | Corpus Chris | t San Patricio | SH 200 | NEW LOCATION ROADWAY | SH 361 | FM 1069 | 4.8 |
| 358 | 502.9 | 282 | Corpus Chris | t Bee | US 59 | CONSTRUCT RELIEF ROUTE AROUND BEEVILLE | 2 MILES SW OF FM 351 | 2 MILES NE OF US 181 | 8 |
| 362 | 496 | 289 | Corpus Chris | t Goliad | US 59 | CONSTRUCT ADDITIONAL 2 LANES | SH 239 | GOLIAD WEST CITY LIMIT | 1 |
| 365 | 467.7 | 331 | Corpus Chris | t Karnes | US 181 | CONSTRUCT AN ADDITIONAL 2 LANES TO PROVIDE FOR A 4 LANE DIVIDED ROADWAY | THE WILSON COUNTY LINE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 0.270 KM (0.17 MI)NORTH OF } \\ & \text { FM } 1144 \end{aligned}$ | 10.7 |
| 156 | 462.1 | 344 | Corpus Chris | t Karnes | US 181 | ADD PASSING LANES | APPROX. 1000FT N. OF FM 81 | TURKEY CREEK | 4.4 |
| 154 | 457.4 | 351 | Corpus Chris | t Jim Wells | US 281 | CONSTRUCT 4 LANES NEW LOCATION | 3.0 MI . NORTH OF FM 716 | 1.0 MI. SOUTH OF FM 1538 | 8.1 |
| 181 | 454.3 | 358 | Corpus Chris | t San Patricio | SH 363 | 4 LANE ROADWAY WITH CONTINUOUS LEFT TURN LANE (NEW LOCATION) | SH 361 | SOUTH TO NEW STATE HIGHWAY | 2.2 |
| 152 | 448.9 | 370 | Corpus Chris | t Goliad | US 59 | CONSTRUCT ADDITIONAL 2 LANES | BEE COUNTY LINE | SH 239 | 15 |
| 157 | 443.9 | 380 | Corpus Chris | $t$ Karnes | US 181 | CONSTRUCT ADDITIONAL 2 LANES | SH 72 IN KENEDY | BEE COUNTY LINE | 10.5 |
| 150 | 441.8 | 385 | Corpus Chris | t Bee | US 181 | CONSTRUCT ADDITIONAL 2 LANES | 0.8 MI S OF FM 1465 N OF NORMANNA | 0.3 MI N OF BU 181-J | 5 |
| 371 | 435.1 | 399 | Corpus Chris | t Live Oak | US 59 | CONSTRUCT RELIEF ROUTE AROUND GEORGE WEST | EAST US 281 | WEST US 281 | 2.4 |
| 370 | 431.9 | 405 | Corpus Chris | t Live Oak | US 59 | CONSTRUCT ADDITIONAL TWO LANES | 25 MI SW OF LAGARTO RD. | MCMULLEN COUNTY LINE | 21 |
| 149 | 430 | 407 | Corpus Chris | t Bee | US 181 | CONSTRUCT ADDITIONAL 2 LANES | KARNES C/L | 0.8 MI S OF FM 1465 | 8.4 |
| 155 | 400 | 450 | Corpus Chris | $t$ Karnes | SH 123 | CONSTRUCT PASSING LANES | WILSON COUNTY LINE | SH 80 | 12.6 |
| 377 | 392.8 | 464 | Corpus Chris | t San Patricio | SH 363 | 2 LANE ROADWAY ON NEW LOCATION | SH 35 | SH 361 | 3.8 |
| 163 | 389.4 | 472 | Corpus Chris | t Live Oak | US 59 | CONSTRUCT NEW INTERCHANGE | 0.7 MI. EAST OF IH-37 | 0.7 MI. WEST OF IH-37 | 1.4 |
| 164 | 389.4 | 472 | Corpus Chris | t Live Oak | US 59 | CONSTRUCT DIRECTIONAL INTERCHANGE | 1.0 MILES WEST OF IH 37 | 1.0 MILES EAST OF IH 37 | 2 |
| 369 | 339.8 | 531 | Corpus Chris | t Karnes | SH 123 | CONSTRUCT ADDITIONAL 2 LANE FOR 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | WILSON COUNTY LINE | SH 80 | 12.6 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { RTP } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 367 | 339.1 | 532 | Corpus Chris | t Karnes | SH 80 | CONSTRUCT FOUR LANE FACILITY WITH LEFT TURN LANES | SH 123 | US 181 | 2.1 |
| 3071 | 296.3 | 577 | Corpus Chris | t Goliad | US 183 | Add Passing Lanes | Goliad County Line | Refugio | 40.7 |
| 3072 | 244.7 | 616 | Corpus Chris | t Goliad | SH 239 | Add Passing Lanes | SH 239 | Goliad, west | 19 |
| 3073 | 223 | 623 | Corpus Chris | t Goliad | SH 239 | Widen to 4-Lanes | SH 239 | Goliad, west | 19 |
| 199 | 584.1 | 144 | Dallas | Navarro | US 287 | RECONSTRUCT AND WIDEN TO FOUR LANES | IH 45 | COUNTY ROAD SE 2040 | 5.7 |
| 200 | 523.1 | 242 | Dallas | Navarro | SH 31 | CONSTRUCT NEW LOCATION RELIEF ROUTE ULTIMATE FOUR LANE DIVIDED LIMITED ACCESS FACILITY | 2.5 MILES WEST OF FM 2555 | IH 45, 1.2 MILES SOUTH OF 15TH ST. | 7.8 |
| 378 | 407.6 | 437 | Dallas | Navarro | SH 31 | CONSTRUCT NEW LOCATION RELIEF ROUTE, PHASE II ULTIMATE FOUR LANE DIVIDED LIMITED ACCESS FACILITY | IH 45, 1.2 MILES SOUTH OF 15TH ST | SH 31, 3.2 MILES EAST OF IH 45 | 6.3 |
| 1322 | 393.8 | 461 | El Paso | Hudspeth | IH 10 | Eliminate at-grade intersection | At 4.7 mi E of FM 34 |  | 1 |
| 1323 | 393.8 | 461 | El Paso | Hudspeth | IH 10 | Eliminate at-grade intersection | At 0.9 mi W of Laska Road (Exit 99) |  | 1 |
| 1324 | 393.8 | 461 | El Paso | Hudspeth | IH 10 | Eliminate at-grade intersection | At 2.1 mi W of Laska Road (Exit (99) |  | 1 |
| 1325 | 392.4 | 465 | El Paso | Hudspeth | IH 10 | Eliminate at-grade intersection | At 3.8 mi W of FM 1111 |  | 1 |
| 1326 | 392.4 | 465 | El Paso | Hudspeth | IH 10 | Eliminate at-grade intersection | At 2.4 mi E of FM 1111 |  | 1 |
| 1327 | 387.5 | 475 | El Paso | Hudspeth | IH 10 | Eliminate at-grade intersection | At 5.1 mi E of FM 1111 |  | 1 |
| 1328 | 387.5 | 475 | El Paso | Hudspeth | IH 10 | Eliminate at-grade intersection | At 6.9 mi E of FM 1111 |  | 1 |
| 1329 | 387.5 | 475 | El Paso | Hudspeth | IH 10 | Eliminate at-grade intersection | At 7.7 mi E of FM 1111 |  | 1 |
| 1330 | 387.5 | 475 | El Paso | Hudspeth | IH 10 | Eliminate at-grade intersection | At 11.6 mi E of FM 1111 |  | 1 |
| 1331 | 387 | 480 | El Paso | Hudspeth | IH 10 | Eliminate at-grade intersection | At 6 mi W of US 90 |  | 1 |
| 1340 | 234.9 | 619 | El Paso | Presidio | US 67 | ADDITION OF PASSING LANES AND WIDENING OF CULVERTS AND BRIDGES | 9 mi S of Marfa to | 33 miles south of Marfa | 24 |
| 390 | 632.7 | 73 | Fort Worth | Somervell | US 67 | WIDEN FROM TWO LANES TO 4 LANE DIVIDED EXCEPT BRAZOS RIVER AREA | SQUAW CREEK BRIDGE | JOHNSON C/L | 5.3 |
| 387 | 632.1 | 75 | Fort Worth | Erath | US 377 | RECONSTRUCT 2 LANES TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | BU 377J EAST OF STEPHENVILLE | 5.1 MI NE OF STEPHENVILLE | 5.1 |
| 388 | 603.6 | 122 | Fort Worth | Erath | US 377 | RECONSTRUCT 2 LANES TO 4 LANES DIVIDED | 5.1 MI NE OF BU377J IN STEPHENVILLE | HOOD C/L | 8.1 |
| 1319 | 556.5 | 192 | Fort Worth | Erath | US281 | Upgrade to Super 2 design, ext culv, add sets, overlay and pavement markings | Palo Pinto C/L | BU 377J, in Stephenville | 20 |
| 1130 | 537.4 | 218 | Fort Worth | Erath | US 67 | RECONSTRUCT US 67 ON ALTERNATE ROUTE south AND WEST OF DUBLIN | 1.75 MILES N OF COMANCHE COUNTY LIN | SH 6 | 2.8 |
| 1321 | 534.8 | 222 | Fort Worth | Erath | US281 | Upgrade to Super 2 design, ext culv, add sets, overlay and pavement markings | SH 6 | Hamilton C/L | 2.9 |
| 1316 | 509.3 | 269 | Fort Worth | Palo Pinto | US281 | Upgrade to Super 2 design, ext culv, add sets, overlay and pavement markings | Jack C/L | US 180 in Mineral Wells | 14 |
| 1320 | 507.4 | 271 | Fort Worth | Erath | US281 | Upgrade to Super 2 design, ext culv, add sets, overlay and pavement markings | BU 377J in Stephenville | SH 6 | 15.3 |
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| 385 | 498 | 287 | Fort Worth | Erath | US 67 | RECONSTRUCT FROM TWO LANES TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | COMANCHE C/L | 2.03 N OF COMANCHE C/L | 2 |
| 1317 | 465.3 | 337 | Fort Worth | Palo Pinto | US281 | Upgrade to Super 2 design, ext culv, add sets, overlay and pavement markings | US 180 in Mineral Wells | 5.5 Mi North of IH 20 | 9.3 |
| 1318 | 448.8 | 371 | Fort Worth | Palo Pinto | US281 | Upgrade to Super 2 design, ext culv, add sets, overlay and pavement markings | 5.5 Mi North of IH 20 | Erath C/L | 11.9 |
| 1315 | 402.6 | 446 | Fort Worth | Jack | US281 | Upgrade to Super 2 design, ext culv, add sets, 2" overlay and pavement markings | 0.87 mi N of Palo Pinto C/L | Palo Pinto C/L | 0.9 |
| 1314 | 228.7 | 621 | Fort Worth | Jack | US281 | Upgrade to Super 2 design, ext culv, add sets, 2" overlay and pavement markings | Archer C/L; in sections | 0.05 South of Lynn Cree | 6.9 |
| 1313 | 222 | 624 | Fort Worth | Jack | US281 | Upgrade to Super 2 design, ext culv, add sets, 2" overlay and pavement markings | at Martin Road west of Jacksboro |  | 0.4 |
| 1148 | 663.5 | 35 | Laredo | Maverick | US 277 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | 1 MILE EAST OF US 57 | 2.7 MILE EAST OF US 57 | 2.6 |
| 1149 | 661.9 | 37 | Laredo | Maverick | US 277 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | SL 480 SOUTH | 8.2 MI EAST OF SL 480 | 10.2 |
| 1194 | 636.9 | 66 | Laredo | Webb | FR LP | RELIEF ROUTE | US 59/SH 44 INT WEST | US 59/SH 44 EAST | 6.6 |
| 399 | 619 | 98 | Laredo | Val Verde | US 277 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | 2.6 MILES WEST OF SPUR 317 | SPUR 317 | 2.6 |
| 1175 | 605.9 | 119 | Laredo | Webb | SH 359 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | 5.0 MI EAST OF SL 20 | FM 2895 | 15 |
| 398 | 588 | 135 | Laredo | Maverick | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FM } \\ & 1021 \end{aligned}$ | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | 1.045 MI S OF FM 2030 N INTERSECTIO | FM 2644 | 14.7 |
| 1205 | 569.9 | 161 | Laredo | Webb | SH 359 | SUPER 2 | MP 12.9 | MP 25.3 | 12.6 |
| 1180 | 557.9 | 189 | Laredo | Val Verde | SL 79 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | US 277 NORTH | FM 2523 | 7.8 |
| 1181 | 548.2 | 204 | Laredo | Val Verde | SL 79 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | FM 2523 | US 90 | 1 |
| 1182 | 548.2 | 204 | Laredo | Val Verde | SL 79 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | US 90 | US 277 | 2.7 |
| 1179 | 537 | 219 | Laredo | Val Verde | SL 79 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | US 90 WEST | US 277 NORTH | 0.9 |
| 1188 | 522 | 245 | Laredo | Maverick | SL 480 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | FM 1021 | EAGLE PASS TRUCK ROUTE | 4.9 |
| 1160 | 521.2 | 246 | Laredo | Val Verde | US 90 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | LAUGHLIN A.F.B. | SYCAMORE CREEK | 11.5 |
| 1199 | 519.7 | 252 | Laredo | Webb | SD LP | RELIEF ROUTE | SH 44 WEST | SH 44 EAST | 4.5 |
| 1166 | 518.1 | 255 | Laredo | Webb | US 59 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | 12.65 MI E OF ARKANSAS STREET | 7.59 MI W OF FM 2895 | 19.7 |
| 394 | 512.2 | 263 | Laredo | Maverick | US 277 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | FM 131 | SL 480 NORTH | 8 |
| 1167 | 512 | 264 | Laredo | Webb | US 59 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | 7.59 MI W OF FM 2895 | WELHOUSEN ROAD | 20.4 |
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| 1153 | 511.8 | 265 | Laredo | Dimmit | US 83 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACIIITY | CARRIZO SPRINGS | ASHERTON | 7.3 |
| 1154 | 492.2 | 293 | Laredo | Dimmit | US 83 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | ASHERTON | CATARINA | 11.2 |
| 1185 | 486.8 | 304 | Laredo | Maverick | SL 480 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACIIITY | US 277 | UP RR/FM 1588 | 2.5 |
| 1186 | 486.8 | 304 | Laredo | Maverick | SL 480 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | FM 1588 /UP RR | US 57 | 3 |
| 1168 | 481.9 | 311 | Laredo | Webb | US 59 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACIIITY | WELHOUSEN ROAD | DUVAL COUNTY LINE | 2.9 |
| 1156 | 472 | 326 | Laredo | Webb | US 83 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACIIITY | WEBB/DIMMIT COUNTY LINE | SH 44 | 13 |
| 1158 | 465.5 | 336 | Laredo | Webb | US 83 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | 3.422 MI SOUTH OF SH 44 | SH 255 | 11.8 |
| 1159 | 459.7 | 349 | Laredo | Webb | US 83 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | SH 255 | IH 35 | 4.6 |
| 400 | 459.6 | 350 | Laredo | Val Verde | US 277 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACIIITY | SPUR 317 | VALVERDE/KINNEY COUNTY LINE | 6.4 |
| 1284 | 456.8 | 352 | Laredo | DIMMIT | US 83 | SUPER 2 | ZAVALA/DIMMIT COUNTY LINE | US 277 | 8.7 |
| 1157 | 453.4 | 360 | Laredo | Webb | US 83 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | SH 44 | 3.422 MI SOUTH OF SH 44 | 3.4 |
| 1147 | 447.3 | 372 | Laredo | Maverick | US 277 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | FM 1590 NORTH | FM 131 | 3.6 |
| 1150 | 443.2 | 381 | Laredo | Maverick | US 277 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACIIITY | 8.2 MI EAST OF SL 480 | MAVERICK/DIMMIT COUNTY LINE | 12 |
| 1200 | 442.3 | 383 | Laredo | Maverick | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FM } \\ & 1021 \end{aligned}$ | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACIIITY | FM 2644 | END OF PAVEMENT | 11.6 |
| 1176 | 435.9 | 397 | Laredo | Webb | SH 359 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACIIITY | FM 2895 | OILTON | 10 |
| 1169 | 429.8 | 408 | Laredo | Duval | US 59 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | WEBB COUNTY LINE EAST | 2.19 MI W OF SH 16 | 11.2 |
| 1177 | 429.7 | 409 | Laredo | Webb | SH 359 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACIIITY | OILTON | WEBB/DUVAL COUNTY LINE | 12 |
| 1206 | 423.9 | 416 | Laredo | Webb | SH 359 | SUPER 2 | MP 25.3 | MP 33.5 | 8.2 |
| 1207 | 417.7 | 421 | Laredo | Webb | SH 359 | SUPER 2 | MP 33.5 | WEBB/DUVAL COUNTY LINE | 12.5 |
| 1146 | 402.6 | 445 | Laredo | Maverick | US 277 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | KINNEY/MAVERICK COUNTY LINE | FM 1590 NORTH | 13 |
| 1283 | 402.1 | 447 | Laredo | ZAVALA | US 83 | SUPER 2 | US 57 | DIMMIT/ZAVALA COUNTY LINE | 30.6 |
| 1183 | 401.5 | 449 | Laredo | Val Verde | SL 79 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACIIITY | SPUR 239 | US 277 SOUTH | 5.8 |
| 1152 | 398.9 | 452 | Laredo | Dimmit | US 277 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | FM 191 | CARRIZO SPRINGS | 7.6 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RTP } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1208 | 398.6 | 453 | Laredo | Webb | SH 359 | SUPER 2 | WEBB/DUVAL COUNTY LINE | DUVAL/JIM HOGG COUNTY LINE | 3.9 |
| 1151 | 392 | 468 | Laredo | Dimmit | US 277 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | MAVERICK/DIMMIT COUNTY LINE | FM 191 | 10.6 |
| 1202 | 387.3 | 479 | Laredo | Webb | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { FM } \\ 1472 \end{array}$ | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | COUNTY LINE | END OF PAVEMENT | 29.6 |
| 1170 | 382.9 | 487 | Laredo | Duval | US 59 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | INTERSECTION OF US 59/E SH 44 | 1.0 MI SW OF FM 2359 | 9.1 |
| 1282 | 376.3 | 498 | Laredo | ZAVALA | US 83 | SUPER 2 | UVALDE/ZAVALA COUNTY LINE | US 57 | 10.8 |
| 1173 | 376.1 | 499 | Laredo | Duval | SH 44 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | 1.0 MILE W. OF FM 3196 | SH 359 IN SAN DIEGO | 11.7 |
| 1187 | 373.7 | 502 | Laredo | Maverick | SL 480 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | US 277 EAST | FM 1021 | 3.1 |
| 1155 | 362.3 | 507 | Laredo | Dimmit | US 83 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | CATARINA | DIMMIT/WEBB COUNTY LINE | 10.1 |
| 1143 | 360.4 | 511 | Laredo | Val Verde | US 277 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | 2.9 MILES SOUTH OF RE 2 | SL 79 NORTH | 8.1 |
| 1201 | 351.9 | 517 | Laredo | Maverick | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { FM } \\ 1021 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | END OF PAVEMENT | COUNTY LINE | 15.7 |
| 1171 | 350.5 | 518 | Laredo | Duval | US 59 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | 1.0 MI SW OF FM 2359 | MCMULLEN COUNTY LINE | 9.1 |
| 1198 | 345.8 | 524 | Laredo | Dimmit | AS LP | RELIEF ROUTE | AROUND ASHERTON |  | 3.4 |
| 3083 | 344.7 | 526 | Laredo | Val Verde | US 277 | Add Passing Lanes | 2.9 MILES SOUTH OF RE 2 | SL 79 NORTH | 8.1 |
| 1145 | 338.6 | 533 | Laredo | Kinney | US 277 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | FM 693 | KINNEY/MAVERICK COUNTY LINE | 6.2 |
| 1144 | 333.7 | 537 | Laredo | Kinney | US 277 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | VAL VERDE/KINNEY COUNTY LINE | FM 693 | 7.3 |
| 1140 | 328 | 541 | Laredo | Val Verde | US 277 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | EDWARDS COUNTY LINE | 11.742 MILES SOUTH EDWARDS CO LINE | 11.7 |
| 1178 | 326.3 | 542 | Laredo | Duval | SH 359 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | WEBB/DUVAL COUNTY LINE | DUVAL COUNTY LINE | 4 |
| 1196 | 324.9 | 544 | Laredo | Dimmit | CA LP | RELIEF ROUTE | US 277 | US 83 | 6.2 |
| 1172 | 319.1 | 548 | Laredo | Duval | SH 44 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | SH 16 IN FREER, EAST | 1.0 MILE W OF FM 3196 | 10.9 |
| 1162 | 318.7 | 549 | Laredo | Kinney | US 90 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | 7.4 MI EAST OF SYCAMORE CREEK | 1.4 MI EAST OF ELM CREEK | 7.4 |
| 3080 | 318.3 | 551 | Laredo | Val Verde | US 277 | Add Passing Lanes | EDWARDS COUNTY LINE | 11.742 MILES SOUTH EDWARDS CO LINE | 11.7 |
| 1163 | 312.6 | 556 | Laredo | Kinney | US 90 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | 1.4 MI EAST OF ELM CREEK | BRACKETTVILLE | 2.1 |
| 1184 | 300.1 | 570 | Laredo | Val Verde | SL 79 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | US 90 WEST | SPUR 239 | 9.2 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { RTP } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project <br> Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1161 | 298.1 | 574 | Laredo | Kinney | US 90 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | SYCAMORE CREEK | 7.4 MI EAST | 7.4 |
| 1142 | 297.8 | 575 | Laredo | Val Verde | US 277 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | US 277/US 377 INTERSECTION | 2.9 MILES SOUTH OF RE 2 | 9.9 |
| 1164 | 294.6 | 579 | Laredo | Kinney | US 90 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | 2.0 MILES EAST OF BRACKETTVILLE | 8.5 MILE | 8.5 |
| 1195 | 294.3 | 580 | Laredo | Dimmit | CT LP | RELIEF ROUTE | AROUND CATARINA | . | 2 |
| 3081 | 289.1 | 581 | Laredo | Val Verde | US 277 | Add Passing Lanes | 11.742 MILSES SOUTH EDWARDS COUNTY | US 277/US 377 INTERSECTION | 16.9 |
| 3082 | 288.1 | 582 | Laredo | Val Verde | US 277 | Add Passing Lanes | US 277/US 377 INTERSECTION | 2.9 MILES SOUTH OF RE 2 | 9.9 |
| 239 | 287.8 | 583 | Laredo | Maverick | SL 480 | FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF AN INTERCHANGE | 0.320 MI SOUTH OF US 57 | 0.362 MI NORTH OF US 57 | 0.7 |
| 1197 | 284 | 588 | Laredo | Kinney | BR LP | RELIEF ROUTE | AROUND BRACKETVILLE | . | 5.9 |
| 1165 | 280.1 | 591 | Laredo | Kinney | US 90 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACIIITY | 8.5 MI EAST OF BRACKETTVILLE | KINNEY/UVALDE COUNTY LINE | 10.2 |
| 1141 | 273.9 | 596 | Laredo | Val Verde | US 277 | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | 11.742 MILSES SOUTH EDWARDS COUNTY | US 277/US 377 INTERSECTION | 16.9 |
| 1203 | 257 | 605 | Laredo | Webb | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FM } \\ & 1472 \end{aligned}$ | WIDEN OF AN EXISTING NON-FREEWAY FACIIITY | END OF PAVEMENT | SH 255 | 14.6 |
| 240 | 255.3 | 612 | Laredo | Maverick | SL 480 | FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A 2 LANE UNDIVIDED FACILITY_AND RAILROAD GRADE SEPARATION | 0.362 MI NORTH OF US 57 | 0.699I SOUTH OF US 277 | 5.9 |
| 33 | 418.4 | 420 | Lubbock | Dawson | NR | NEW LOCATION FREEWAY | 1500 FT S OF INTER OF US 87 / 180 | 4300 FT N OF INTER OF US 87\&FM 825 | 6.4 |
| 1223 | 398.4 | 454 | Lubbock | Terry | US 82 | REHABILITATION OF EXISTING ROAD | YOAKUM COUNTY LINE | BROWNFIELD CITY LIMITS | 18 |
| 1222 | 389.1 | 474 | Lubbock | Yoakum | US 82 | REHABILITATION OF EXISTING ROAD | PLAINS | TERRY COUNTY LINE | 13.8 |
| 1224 | 298.7 | 572 | Lubbock | Yoakum | US 82 | REHABILITATION OF EXISTING ROAD | NEW MEXICO STATE LINE | PLAINS | 15 |
| 1221 | 285.7 | 586 | Lubbock | Yoakum | US 380 | REHABILITATION OF EXISTING ROAD | NEW MEXICO STATE LINE | PLAINS | 14.9 |
| 32 | 280.3 | 590 | Lubbock | Dawson | NR | NEW LOCATION NON-FREEWAY | CR 22 SOUTH OF LAMESA | PROPOSED US 87 | 3.7 |
| 87 | 715.7 | 8 | Lufkin | Angelina | US 69 | WIDEN FROM 2 LANES TO 4 LANE DIVIDED RURAL | HUNTINGTON | SH 63 IN ZAVALLA | 12 |
| 425 | 710.7 | 9 | Lufkin | Nacogdoches | LP 224 | WIDEN FROM 2-LANES TO 4-LANES DIVIDED 10' SHOULDERS | FM 2609 | SH 7 | 3.3 |
| 423 | 698.7 | 14 | Lufkin | Nacogdoches | LP 224 | WIDEN FROM 2-LANES TO 4-LANES DIVIDED 10' SHOULDERS | SH 7 | SH 21 | 0.8 |
| 407 | 696.1 | 15 | Lufkin | Angelina | US 59 | OVERPASS AT FM 819 AND RECONSTRUCT TO 4-LANE FREEWAY WITH FRONTAGE ROADS | FM 3482 | FROM FM 3482 TO 0.96 MI SO OF FM 819 | 1.8 |
| 95 | 690.7 | 18 | Lufkin | Shelby | US 96 | WIDNE FROM 2 LANES TO 4 LANES WITH CTWLTL | LP 500 | FROM 0.5 MI SOUTH OF LP 500 TO SH 7 IN CEN | 2.1 |
| 428 | 682 | 21 | Lufkin | Polk | US 59 | CONST 4 LANE FRWY ON WEST SIDE OF CORRIGAN (CORRIGAN RELIEF ROUTE -Combine w/ID 427) | US 287 | 0.7 MILES SOUTH OF DRY CREEK | 3.5 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RTP } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 424 | 681.1 | 22 | Lufkin | Nacogdoches | LP 224 | WIDEN FROM 2-LANES TO 4-LANES DIVIDED 10' SHOULDERS | US 59 | NORTH STREET (BU 59-F) | 1.5 |
| 451 | 672.6 | 24 | Lufkin | Shelby | US 96 | WIDEN TO 4 LANES, DIVIDED, TRUNK SYSTEM | 0.5 MI S OF LOOP 500 | FM 417 | 4.2 |
| 408 | 672.5 | 25 | Lufkin | Angelina | US 59 | CONVERTING A NON-FREEWAY SECTION TO A FREEWAY SECTION | 0.5 MI SOUTH OF FM 819 | FROM 0.96 MI S OF FM 819 TO FM 2108 | 2.4 |
| 85 | 666.9 | 32 | Lufkin | Angelina | US 59 | CONVERT NON-FREEWAY TO FWY W/ GRADE SEPARATION AT PAUL AVE. | FM 325 | SH 103 | 1.1 |
| 412 | 666.3 | 33 | Lufkin | Angelina | US 59 | CONVERT NON-FREEWAY TO FWY (PHASE II OF NNT LUFKIN) | SH 103 | OLD MOFFETT ROAD | 0.7 |
| 411 | 660.5 | 40 | Lufkin | Angelina | VA | CONSTRUCT FREEWAY ON NEW LOCATION (US 59 LUFKIN RELIEF ROUTE) | US 59 DIBOLL RELIEF ROUTE | ANGELINA / NACOGDOCHES COUNTY LINE | 22.4 |
| 1230 | 647.4 | 56 | Lufkin | Nacogdoches | VA | CONSTRUCT FREEWAY ON NEW LOCATION (US 59 NACOGDOCHES RELIEF ROUTE) | NACOGDOCHES/ANGELINA COUNTY LINE | US 259 | 17.6 |
| 429 | 641.8 | 63 | Lufkin | Polk | US 190 | WIDEN FROM 2 LANES TO 4 LANES, DIVIDED SECTION | SANDY CREEK | 4.50 MILE WEST OF LIVINGSTON | 5.7 |
| 444 | 632.3 | 74 | Lufkin | San Jacinto | US 59 | CONVERT TO 4 LANE FWY W/ FRONTAGE RDS \& GRADE SEPARATIONS | .5 MILES S OF SHEPHERD C/L | FROM JUST S OF FM 2914 TO LIBERTY COUNT | 4.1 |
| 430 | 626.4 | 88 | Lufkin | Polk | US 190 | WIDEN FROM 2 LANES TO 4 LANES, DIVIDED SECTION | LAKE LIVINGSTON | SANDY CREEK | 3.5 |
| 419 | 623.6 | 93 | Lufkin | Nacogdoches | US 59 | CONSTRUCT TWO-WAY DIRECT CONNECTION | SPRADLEY STREET IN NACOGDOCHES | SH 7 | 2.2 |
| 417 | 620.2 | 96 | Lufkin | Houston | LP 304 | WIDEN FROM 2-LANES TO 4-LANES | 0.4 MI NORTH OF US 287(E) | 0.4 MI EAST OF SH 19 | 1.5 |
| 410 | 609.8 | 116 | Lufkin | Angelina | US 69 | WIDEN TO 4 LANES, DIVIDED, TRUNK SYSTEM | SH 63 | FM 1270 | 1.4 |
| 435 | 587.1 | 136 | Lufkin | Polk | US 190 | WIDEN TO 4 LANES, DIVIDED SECTION | 6.9 MI E OF LIVINGSTON | JUST W OF FM 1276 | 3.6 |
| 86 | 587.1 | 137 | Lufkin | Angelina | US 59 | CONSTRUCT 4 LANE FREEWAY AT DIBOLL ( US 59 DIBOLL RELIEF ROUTE) | FM 2108 | 1.15 MI S OF WHITE OAK CR | 8.2 |
| 422 | 585.9 | 139 | Lufkin | Nacogdoches | US 59 | RAISE BRIDGES, CONSTRUCT DIRECT CONNECTOR FROM US 59 TO US 259N | AT US 259 INTERCHANGE |  | 0.8 |
| 452 | 581.6 | 148 | Lufkin | Shelby | US 96 | WIDEN TO 4 LANES, DIVIDED, TRUNK SYSTEM | FM 417 | SAN AUGUSTINE COUNTY LINE | 5.3 |
| 427 | 580 | 149 | Lufkin | Polk | US 59 | CONST 4 LANE FRWY ON WEST SIDE OF CORRIGAN (CORRIGAN RELIEF ROUTE -Combine w/ID 428) | PINEY CREEK | US 287 | 3.6 |
| 441 | 563.7 | 177 | Lufkin | San Augustine | US 96 | WIDEN TO 4 LANES, DIVIDED SECTION | SHELBY COUNTY LINE | SH 21 | 6.7 |
| 409 | 562.8 | 180 | Lufkin | Angelina | US 59 | OVERPASS AT FM 819 | FM 3482 (AT BRENTWOOD) | AT FM 819 | 1.2 |
| 3024 | 558.1 | 188 | Lufkin | Polk | US 190 | Add Passing Lanes | Onalaska | FM 2457 | 7.1 |
| 436 | 557.8 | 190 | Lufkin | Polk | US 190 | WIDEN TO 4 LANES, DIVIDED SECTION | JUST W OF FM 1276 | FM 2500 | 2.4 |
| 3026 | 550.4 | 200 | Lufkin | Shelby | US 96 | Add Passing Lanes | 1.06 Mi S of LP 500 | San Augustine County Line | 8.9 |
| 416 | 547.1 | 207 | Lufkin | Houston | LP 304 | WIDEN FROM 2-LANES TO 4-LANES | FM 2110 | 0.3 MI NORTH OF SH 21(W) | 1.2 |


| Texas Rural Transportation Plan - Preliminary Project Rankings by District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RTP } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| 437 | 546.5 | 208 | Lufkin | Polk | US 190 | WIDEN TO 4 LANES, DIVIDED SECTION | FM 2500 | TYLER COUNTY LINE | 7.8 |
| 431 | 524.6 | 238 | Lufkin | Polk | US 190 | CONSTRUCT NEW BRIDGE FOR 4-LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | AT LAKE LIVINGSTON | . | 2.1 |
| 439 | 522.4 | 243 | Lufkin | Sabine | US 96 | WIDEN TO 4 LANES, DIVIDED SECTION | 0.15 MI N OF FM 83 | JASPER COUNTY LINE | 7.8 |
| 447 | 516 | 256 | Lufkin | Shelby | US 96 | WIDEN \& REHABILITATE EXISTING ROADWAY | US 84 IN TENAHA | LP 500 N OF CENTER | 8.4 |
| 3025 | 514.6 | 259 | Lufkin | Polk | US 190 | Add Passing Lanes | End of 4-lane section | Tyler County Line | 13.8 |
| 434 | 505 | 278 | Lufkin | Polk | US 190 | WIDEN \& REHABILITATE EXISTING ROADWAY | 0.6 MI E OF SH 146 (LIVINGSTON C/L) | 6.9 MI E (END OF 4 LANE SECTION) | 6.9 |
| 446 | 504.5 | 279 | Lufkin | San Jacinto | US 190 | UPGRADE TO 4-LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | END OF 4-LANE IN POINT BLANK | LAKE LIVINGSTON | 5.6 |
| 443 | 491.1 | 296 | Lufkin | San Augustine | US 96 | WIDEN TO 4 LANES, DIVIDED SECTION | SH 147 | SH 103 | 8.6 |
| 91 | 489.4 | 298 | Lufkin | San Augustine | US 96 | WIDEN TO 4 LANES, DIVIDED SECTION | SH 21 | SH 147 | 1.5 |
| 90 | 474.6 | 322 | Lufkin | Polk | FM 356 | WIDEN FROM TWO LANES TO FOUR LANES WITH TWO WAY CONTINUOUS LEFT TURN LANE | 1.12 MI N OF US 190 | US 190 | 1.1 |
| 3027 | 471.7 | 327 | Lufkin | San Augustine | US 96 | Add Passing Lanes | Shelby County Line | SH 21 | 6.7 |
| 3030 | 466.3 | 333 | Lufkin | Sabine | US 96 | Add Passing Lanes | FM 83 in Pineland | Jasper County Line | 7.8 |
| 88 | 465.8 | 335 | Lufkin | Angelina | US 69 | WIDEN TO 4 LANES, DIVIDED, TRUNK SYSTEM | FM 1270 | JASPER COUNTY LINE | 7.1 |
| 3028 | 453.4 | 360 | Lufkin | San <br> Augustine | US 96 | Add Passing Lanes | SH 21 | Sabine County Line | 13.7 |
| 433 | 446.2 | 377 | Lufkin | Polk | US 190 | CONSTRUCTING A NEW FOUR LANE HIGHWAY (US 190 RELIEF ROUTE -Combine w/ ID 432) | BU 59-J | 2.6 MI. E. OF THE E. LIVINGSTON CL | 3.3 |
| 438 | 439.1 | 392 | Lufkin | Sabine | US 96 | WIDEN TO 4 LANES, DIVIDED SECTION | 0.03 MI N OF SH 184 | 0.15 MI N OF FM 83 | 6.7 |
| 415 | 437.2 | 396 | Lufkin | Houston | LP 304 | CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE | INTERCHANGE AT SH 19 |  | 0.8 |
| 3021 | 435.5 | 398 | Lufkin | Polk | US 287 | Add Passing Lanes and Paved Shoulders | 3 Mi E of US 59 | Tyler County Line | 9 |
| 432 | 433.3 | 402 | Lufkin | Polk | US 190 | CONSTRUCTING A NEW FOUR LANE HIGHWAY (US 190 RELIEF ROUTE -Combine w/ ID 433) | 1.8 MILES NORTHWEST OF LIVINGSTON | BU 59-J | 2.8 |
| 442 | 422.5 | 418 | Lufkin | San <br> Augustine | US 96 | WIDEN TO 4 LANES, DIVIDED SECTION | SH 103 | SABINE COUNTY LINE | 3.6 |
| 440 | 416.7 | 425 | Lufkin | Sabine | US 96 | WIDEN TO 4 LANES, DIVIDED SECTION | SAN AUGUSTINE COUNTY LINE | 0.03 MI N OF SH 184 | 1.4 |
| 3029 | 407.5 | 438 | Lufkin | Sabine | US 96 | Add Passing Lanes | San Augustine County Line | FM 83 in Pineland | 8 |
| 445 | 403 | 444 | Lufkin | San Jacinto | LP 424 | WIDENING TO ADD CONTINUOUS LEFT TURN LANE | 0.21 MI N OF SH 150 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.16 \mathrm{MI} \text { S OF SHEPHERD HIGH } \\ & \text { SCHOOL } \end{aligned}$ | 1.4 |
| 2003 | 391.7 | 469 | Lufkin | Nacogdoches | SH 204 | WIDEN TO 4-LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | From Angelina River/from District Line | to US 259 | 17.2 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { RTP } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3023 | 380.6 | 488 | Lufkin | Polk | SH 146 | Add Passing Lanes | FM 1988 | Liberty County Line | 13.4 |
| 3020 | 378.4 | 495 | Lufkin | Houston | US 287 | Add Paved Shoulders | LP 304 in Crockett | Trinity County Line | 14.4 |
| 3011 | 352.1 | 516 | Lufkin | Nacogdoches | SH 204 | Add Passing Lanes and Paved Shoulders | 0.1 Mi E of FM 2783 | Trawick | 5.9 |
| 3012 | 342.7 | 528 | Lufkin | Nacogdoches | SH 21 | Add Passing Lanes and Paved Shoulders | FM 3276 in Melrose | FM 95 in Chireno | 8.8 |
| 3022 | 324.6 | 545 | Lufkin | San Jacinto | SH 150 | Add Passing Lanes and Paved Shoulders | Walker County Line | FM 945(N) | 7.6 |
| 3013 | 316.1 | 552 | Lufkin | Nacogdoches | SH 21 | Add Passing Lanes and Paved Shoulders | FM 95 in Chireno | San Augustine County Line | 3.1 |
| 89 | 313.8 | 554 | Lufkin | Houston | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FM } \\ & 2110 \end{aligned}$ | EXTEND EXISTING FM ROAD | END OF EXISTING FM 2110 | FM 1280 AT INTERSECTION OF FM 3151 | 4.5 |
| 3010 | 310.8 | 561 | Lufkin | Nacogdoches | SH 204 | Add Passing Lanes and Paved Shoulders | 0.77 Mi E of Cherokee County Line | West of Cushing City Limits | 5 |
| 454 | 303.1 | 568 | Lufkin | Shelby | LP 500 | COMPLETE LOOP 500 AROUND CENTER (US 96 RELIEF ROUTE ON WEST SIDE OF CENTER) | US 96 (N) | US 96(S) | 7.5 |
| 3019 | 296.3 | 576 | Lufkin | Trinity | US 287 | Add Passing Lanes and Paved Shoulders | Houston County Line | SH 94 | 9.2 |
| 3017 | 283.6 | 589 | Lufkin | Trinity | SH 94 | Add Passing Lanes and Paved Shoulders | Trinity | US 287 | 15.7 |
| 3018 | 280 | 592 | Lufkin | Trinity | SH 94 | Add Passing Lanes and Paved Shoulders | Groveton C/L | W of Neches River Relief Bridge \#4 | 19.5 |
| 3015 | 274.7 | 595 | Lufkin | Sabine | SH 103 | Add Passing Lanes and Paved Shoulders | San Augustine County Line | SH 21 | 9.3 |
| 3014 | 256.7 | 606 | Lufkin | San <br> Augustine | SH 21 | Add Passing Lanes and Paved Shoulders | Nacogdoches County Line | US 96 in San Augustine | 10.9 |
| 3016 | 185.1 | 634 | Lufkin | San <br> Augustine | SH 21 | Add Passing Lanes and Paved Shoulders | FM 3483 | FM 1 | 6.5 |
| 2004 | 446.6 | 374 | Odessa | Andrews | SH 176 | SUPER 2 PASSING LANES | SH 115 | NEW MEXICO STATE LINE | 31.6 |
| 455 | 262 | 601 | Odessa | Ector | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FM } \\ & 1601 \end{aligned}$ | EXTENSION OF FM, CONSTRUCT RAILROAD UNDERPASS | 0.5 MI NORTH OF IH 20 | 1H 20 | 0.5 |
| 35 | 259.6 | 602 | Odessa | Crane | US 385 | WIDENING TO AN ULTIMATE 4-LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | UPTON COUNTY LINE | IN CRANE AT LILLEY LANE | 13.2 |
| 458 | 193.1 | 632 | Odessa | Upton | US 385 | WIDENING TO AN ULTIMATE 4-LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | IN MCCAMEY AT NIMITZ STREET | CRANE COUNTY LINE | 6.2 |
| 3001 | 654 | 50 | Paris | Hopkins | I-30 | Widen 4-Lane Freeway to 6 Lane Freeway | HUNT COUNTY LINE | . 21 Miles East of FM 2297 | 14.9 |
| 3002 | 654 | 50 | Paris | Hopkins | I-30 | Widen 4-Lane Freeway to 6 Lane Freeway | . 21 Miles East of FM 2297 | Caney Creek Bridge | 10.7 |
| 477 | 634.8 | 71 | Paris | Lamar | LP 286 | WIDEN FROM 2-LANE TO 5-LANE | US 271 | FM 1497 | 2.9 |
| 472 | 630.6 | 76 | Paris | Lamar | US 82 | WIDEN FROM 2-LANE TO 4-LANE DIVIDED | FM 38 | LOOP 286 | 5.9 |
| 6 | 629.7 | 79 | Paris | Lamar | US 271 | WIDEN FROM 2-LANE TO 4-LANE DIVIDED | LP 286 IN PARIS | PATTONVILLE | 8 |
| 3095 | 609.1 | 118 | Paris | LAMAR | US 82 | Widen to 4-Lane Divided Highway | FM 196 SOUTH | RED RIVER COUNTY LINE | 4.1 |
| 3003 | 600.5 | 125 | Paris | Hopkins | I-30 | Widen 4-Lane Freeway to 6 Lane Freeway | Caney Creek Bridge | Franklin County Line | 7.9 |
| 469 | 594.1 | 133 | Paris | Lamar | US 82 | CONST 2 ADDITIONAL LNS TO PROVIDE 4 LANES AND I/C AT LP 286 | FANNIN-LAMAR C/L | LOOP 286 | 15.8 |
| 463 | 586.5 | 138 | Paris | Fannin | SH 121 | UPGRADE TO 5 LANE ROADWAY WITH SHOULDERS | SH 11 | SH 56 | 7.2 |
| 1240 | 584.4 | 141 | Paris | GRAYSON | US 377 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 | DENTON COUNTY LINE | SH 56 | 18.7 |


| Texas Rural Transportation Plan - Preliminary Project Rankings by District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RTP } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| 1247 | 584 | 145 | Paris | LAMAR | US 82 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 | FM 196 SOUTH | RED RIVER COUNTY LINE | 4.1 |
| 1245 | 574.4 | 156 | Paris | GRAYSON | US 69 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 | US 82 | SH 11 | 7.9 |
| 3004 | 557.2 | 191 | Paris | Franklin | I-30 | Widen 4-Lane Freeway to 6 Lane Freeway | Franklin County Line | Titus County Line | 10.7 |
| 471 | 551.9 | 196 | Paris | Lamar | US 82 | WIDEN FROM 2-LANE TO 4-LANE DIVIDED | FANNIN COUNTY LINE | FM 38 | 9.9 |
| 1244 | 551.1 | 198 | Paris | GRAYSON | US 69 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 | Sherman-Denison MPO | US 82 | 5.4 |
| 462 | 545.7 | 209 | Paris | Fannin | US 82 | ADD TWO LANES WITH SHOULDERS | 0.478 MI E OF SH 78 | HONEY GROVE | 14.5 |
| 1241 | 542.1 | 214 | Paris | GRAYSON | US 377 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 | US 82 | OKLAHOMA STATE LINE | 14.3 |
| 473 | 539 | 215 | Paris | Lamar | US 82 | WIDEN NON-FREEWAY | 0.045 MI E OF CR 320 (BLOSSOM C/L) | 0.3 MI W OF FM 196 NORTH | 0.6 |
| 470 | 538.3 | 216 | Paris | Lamar | US 82 | SUPER 2 | FANNIN COUNTY LINE | FM 1510 | 14.5 |
| 475 | 529.7 | 226 | Paris | Lamar | US 271 | SUPER 2 | SL 286 | FM 196 | 7.9 |
| 3062 | 527.3 | 232 | Paris | Hopkins | SH 19 | Widen to 4-Lane Divided Highway | 1H 30 | Van Zandt County Line | 25 |
| 468 | 526.9 | 233 | Paris | Hopkins | SH 19 | RECONSTRUCT EXISTING ROADWAY AND ADD 2 ADDITIONAL LANES | SH 154 \& SH 19, N OF SULPHUR SPRING | ST LOUIS SOUTHWESTRN RAILROAD | 2.8 |
| 1 | 522.1 | 244 | Paris | Delta | SH 24 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 | FM 64 | FM 904 | 10.8 |
| 1246 | 520.9 | 247 | Paris | GRAYSON | US 69 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 | SH 11 | FANNIN COUNTY LINE | 1.7 |
| 3096 | 520.6 | 249 | Paris | RED RIVER | US 82 | Widen to 4-Lane Divided Highway | LAMAR COUNTY LINE | FM 2825 | 13.4 |
| 1248 | 506.9 | 272 | Paris | RED RIVER | US 82 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 | LAMAR COUNTY LINE | FM 2825 | 13.4 |
| 474 | 502 | 283 | Paris | Lamar | US 271 | ADD 2 ADDITIONAL LANES TO PROVIDE 4 LANE FACILITY | PATTONVILLE | RED RIVER COUNTY LINE | 6 |
| 480 | 499.5 | 285 | Paris | Red River | US 271 | ADD 2 LANES TO PROVIDE FOR A 4 LANE FACILITY | LAMAR COUNTY LINE | BU 271-D | 5.4 |
| 478 | 496.2 | 288 | Paris | Rains | US 69 | WIDEN NON-FREEWAY | FM 47 | SH 19 | 10.6 |
| 7 | 491.4 | 295 | Paris | Rains | US 69 | WIDEN FROM 2-LANE WITH SHOULDERS TO 4-LANE, DIVIDED MEDIAN, WITH SHOULDERS ROADWAY | HUNT COUNTY LINE | FM 47 | 4.5 |
| 464 | 489.4 | 299 | Paris | Fannin | SH 121 | UPGRADE TO 5 LANE ROADWAY WITH SHOULDERS | SH 11 | COLLIN COUNTY LINE | 9.8 |
| 479 | 489 | 300 | Paris | Rains | US 69 | WIDEN NON-FREEWAY | SH 19 | FM 2795, SE | 14.4 |
| 1242 | 480.5 | 314 | Paris | FANNIN | US 69 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 | SH 121 | HUNT COUNTY LINE | 8.9 |
| 3097 | 478.3 | 315 | Paris | RED RIVER | US 82 | Widen to 4-Lane Divided Highway | FM 1159 | BOWIE COUNTY LINE | 17.7 |
| 1249 | 477.1 | 317 | Paris | RED RIVER | US 82 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 | FM 1159 | BOWIE COUNTY LINE | 17.7 |
| 481 | 475.9 | 320 | Paris | Red River | US 271 | ADD 2 LANES TO PROVIDE A 4 LANE FACILITY | BU 271-D, 0.76 MI WEST OF SH 37, S | 0.283 MI N OF TRENT LAKE BRIDGE | 7.7 |
| 461 | 472.2 | 325 | Paris | Fannin | US 82 | WIDEN FROM 2-LANE TO 4-LANE | HONEY GROVE | LAMAR COUNTY LINE | 2.6 |
| 2005 | 464.3 | 341 | Paris | Fannin | US 69 | WIDEN FROM 2-LANE TO 4-LANE DIVIDED | From BU 69 D | to SH 121 | 8.7 |
| 8 | 449.9 | 369 | Paris | Rains | US 69 | WIDEN FROM 2-LANE TO 4-LANE DIVIDED | FM 2795, SE | 0.45 MI N OF SH 182 (WOOD C/L) | 1.6 |
| 466 | 439.7 | 390 | Paris | Hopkins | SH 11 | UPGRADE TO NON-FREEWAY STANDARDS | HUNT COUNTY LINE | SH 19 | 14.9 |
| 1243 | 439 | 393 | Paris | FANNIN | US 69 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 | GRAYSON COUNTY LINE | SH 121 | 4.7 |
| 3050 | 390.1 | 471 | Paris | Franklin | SH 37 | Add Passing Lanes | Mt. Vernon City Limits | Wood County Line | 15.6 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RTP } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 476 | 230.1 | 620 | Paris | Lamar | VA | NEW LOCATION 2-LANE | US 271 AT FM 196 NORTHBOUND | 0.214 MI SOUTH | 0.2 |
| 230 | 659 | 43 | Pharr | Starr | VA | CONSTRUCT 4 LANE DIVIDED ROADWAY | ON NEW LOCATION EAST OF RGC @ US 8 | FM 755 | 5.7 |
| 492 | 584.3 | 142 | Pharr | Willacy | US 77 | CONSTRUCT MAINLANES \& OVERPASS | BUSINESS 77 | WILLACY/KENEDY COUNTY LINE | 5.8 |
| 491 | 568.1 | 164 | Pharr | Starr | VA | CONSTRUCT NEW LOOP AROUND RIO GRANDE CITY/ROMA | ON NEW LOCATION, FM 755 | FM 649 | 10.5 |
| 493 | 508 | 270 | Pharr | Cameron | PR 100 | RECONFIGURE PARKING \& CONVERT TO 4 LN DIV W/BIKE LANES \& SIDEWALKS | PADRE BLVD | 4.71 MILES NORTH OF PADRE BLVD | 4.7 |
| 487 | 476.1 | 318 | Pharr | Kenedy | US 77 | CONSTRUCT MAINLANES \& OVERPASSES | NORIAS RD, NORTH | 9.6 MILES (ARMSTRONG) | 9.6 |
| 488 | 476.1 | 318 | Pharr | Kenedy | US 77 | CONSTRUCT MAINLANES \& OVERPASSES | WILLACY/KENNEDY COUNTY LINE, NORTH | NORIAS RD | 13 |
| 485 | 474.7 | 321 | Pharr | Kenedy | US 77 | CONSTRUCT MAINLANES \& OVERPASSES | 8 MILES S. OF LA PARRA AVE | KENEDY/KLEBERG CL | 11.7 |
| 227 | 464.6 | 339 | Pharr | Cameron | CR | CONSTRUCTING NEW CAUSEWAY AT NEW LOCATION | SH 100 (MAINLAND) | PR 100 (SPI) | 17.6 |
| 486 | 464.4 | 340 | Pharr | Kenedy | US 77 | CONSTRUCT MAINLANES \& OVERPASSES | 9.6 MILES N. OF NORIAS RD,NORTH | 8 MILES S. OF LA PARRA AVE | 12.7 |
| 490 | 441.5 | 386 | Pharr | Starr | VA | CONSTRUCT NEW LOOP AROUND RIO GRANDE CITY/ROMA | ON NEW LOCATION, FM 649 | 1.26MI NW OF US83/LOMA BLANCA INTSE | 7.8 |
| 489 | 440.5 | 389 | Pharr | Starr | US 83 | CONSTRUCT NEW 4 LANE CONTROLLED ACCESS TOLL FACILITY | 2.3 MILES W OF HIDALGO COUNTY LINE | ON NEW LOCATION EAST TO HID CO CL | 2.3 |
| 507 | 529.7 | 226 | San Angelo | Kimble | US 83 | ADD PASSING LANES | MENARD COUNTY LINE | US 377 | 13.5 |
| 502 | 529 | 229 | San Angelo | Glasscock | SH 158 | WIDEN TO 4-LANE UNDIVIDED | MIDLAND COUNTY LINE | RM 33 | 17.8 |
| 500 | 506.6 | 274 | San Angelo | Glasscock | SH 158 | REHABILITATE AND WIDEN TO 4-LANE DIVIDED | MIDLAND C/L | 6.3 MILES WEST OF RM 33 | 11.5 |
| 501 | 494.5 | 290 | San Angelo | Glasscock | SH 158 | REHABILITATE AND WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | 6.3 MILES WEST OF RM 33 | 0.210 MI WEST OF RM 33 | 6.3 |
| 513 | 473.3 | 323 | San Angelo | Menard | US 83 | ADD PASSING LANES | SH 29 | KIMBLE COUNTY LINE | 11.8 |
| 506 | 467.9 | 330 | San Angelo | Kimble | US 83 | REHABILITATE AND WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | KIMBLE/MENARD COUNTY LINE | 4.1 MI SOUTH | 4.1 |
| 516 | 466.2 | 334 | San Angelo | Runnels | US 83 | ADD PASSING LANES | CONCHO COUNTY LINE | US 67 IN BALLINGER | 11.4 |
| 504 | 461.8 | 345 | San Angelo | Kimble | US 83 | REHABILITATE AND WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | 5.9 MI NORTH OF IH 10 | 3.2 MI NORTH OF IH 10 | 2.7 |
| 503 | 456.4 | 354 | San Angelo | Kimble | US 83 | REHABILITATE AND WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | 3.2 MI NORTH OF IH 10 | IH 10 | 3.2 |
| 510 | 441.8 | 384 | San Angelo | Menard | US 83 | REHAB PAVE \& ADD SUPER 2 PASS LANES | CONCHO COUNTY LINE | US 190 NORTH OF MENARD | 10.7 |
| 505 | 440.8 | 388 | San Angelo | Kimble | US 83 | REHABILITATE AND WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | 4.1 MI SOUTH OF MENARD COUNTY LINE | 5.9 MI NORTH OF IH 10 | 4.9 |
| 499 | 438.2 | 395 | San Angelo | Glasscock | SH 158 | ADD SUPER 2 PASSING LANES | RM 33 | STERLING COUNTY LINE | 13.7 |
| 523 | 431.4 | 406 | San Angelo | Sutton | US 277 | ADD PASSING LANES | ST. ANN'S STREET IN SONORA | 12.373 MI NORTH OF EDWARDS CO LINE | 8.3 |


| Texas Rural Transportation Plan - Preliminary Project Rankings by District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RTP } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| 512 | 429.2 | 410 | San Angelo | Menard | US 83 | REHABILITATE AND WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | INTERSECTION OF US 83 \& SH 29 | FM 1773 | 6.4 |
| 514 | 422.9 | 417 | San Angelo | Runnels | US 83 | ADD PASSING LANES | SH 153 IN WINTERS | 5.6 MILES SOUTH OF SH 153 | 5.6 |
| 515 | 422 | 419 | San Angelo | Runnels | US 83 | ADD PASSING LANES | 5.6 MILES SOUTH OF SH 153 | RUNNELS COUNTY ROAD 261 | 7.7 |
| 3077 | 415.2 | 426 | San Angelo | Sutton | US 277 | WIDEN TO 4-LANE UNDIVIDED HIGHWAY | ST. ANN'S STREET IN SONORA | 12.373 MI NORTH OF EDWARDS CO LINE | 8.3 |
| 521 | 413.8 | 429 | San Angelo | Sterling | SH 158 | ADD SUPER 2 PASSING LANES | GLASSCOCK COUNTY LINE | US 87 | 14.9 |
| 494 | 411.1 | 433 | San Angelo | Concho | US 83 | ADD PASSING LANES | 13.0 MILES NORTH OF FM 2402 | RUNNELS COUNTY LINE | 11.4 |
| 498 | 411 | 434 | San Angelo | Glasscock | SH 158 | REHABILITATE AND WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | 10.0 MILES WEST OF STERLING C/L | STERLING C/L | 10 |
| 509 | 405.4 | 439 | San Angelo | Menard | US 83 | REHABILITATE AND WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | MENARD/CONCHO COUNTY LINE | RM 3463 | 5.3 |
| 497 | 404.9 | 440 | San Angelo | Glasscock | SH 158 | REHABILITATE AND WIDEN TO 4-LANE DIVIDED | 0.286 MI EAST OF RM 33 | 10.0 MILES WEST OF STERLING C/L | 3.7 |
| 495 | 396.1 | 457 | San Angelo | Concho | US 83 | ADD PASSING LANES | FM 2402 NORTH OF EDEN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13.0 MILES NORTH OF FM } \\ & 2402 \end{aligned}$ | 14.2 |
| 511 | 395.4 | 458 | San Angelo | Menard | US 83 | REHABILITATE AND WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | FM 1773 | MENARD/KIMBLE COUNTY LINE | 5.1 |
| 519 | 385.9 | 482 | San Angelo | Sterling | SH 158 | REHABILITATE AND WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | 5.1 MILES EAST OF GLASSCOCK C/L | GLASSCOCK C/L | 5.1 |
| 520 | 380.5 | 489 | San Angelo | Sterling | SH 158 | REHABILITATE AND WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | 4.75 MILES WEST OF US 87 | 5.1 MILES EAST OF GLASSCOCK C/L | 4.8 |
| 524 | 379.5 | 492 | San Angelo | Sutton | US 277 | ADD PASSING LANES | 12.373 MI NORTH OF EDWARDS CO LINE | EDWARDS COUNTY LINE | 12.4 |
| 41 | 370.9 | 504 | San Angelo | Menard | US 83 | REHABILITATE AND WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | MENARD | BEGINNING OF DIVIDED SECTION | 1.1 |
| 42 | 362.2 | 508 | San Angelo | Menard | US 83 | REHABILITATE AND WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | RM 3463 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.094 \text { MILES SOUTH OF US } \\ & 190 \end{aligned}$ | 5.5 |
| 43 | 345.9 | 523 | San Angelo | Sterling | SH 158 | RECONSTRUCT AND WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | 4.75 MI WEST OF US 87 | US 87 | 4.8 |
| 3078 | 328.5 | 539 | San Angelo | Sutton | US 277 | WIDEN TO 4-LANE UNDIVIDED HIGHWAY | 12.373 MI NORTH OF EDWARDS CO LINE | EDWARDS COUNTY LINE | 12.4 |
| 508 | 295.9 | 578 | San Angelo | Kimble | US 290 | WIDEN ROADWAY TO 4-LANE DIVIDED | IH 10 | GILLESPIE COUNTY LINE | 14.6 |
| 496 | 255.2 | 613 | San Angelo | Edwards | US 277 | ADD PASSING LANES | SUTTON COUNTY LINE | VAL VERDE COUNTY LINE | 9.3 |
| 1277 | 244.8 | 615 | San Angelo | REAL | US 83 | WIDEN TO PROVIDE PASSING LANES | 15.9 MI S OF KERR/REAL COUNTY LINE | FM 337 | 12 |
| 1278 | 242.9 | 617 | San Angelo | REAL | US 83 | WIDEN TO PROVIDE PASSING LANES | FM 337 | REAL/UVALDE COUNTY LINE | 6.9 |
| 1276 | 235 | 618 | San Angelo | REAL | US 83 | WIDEN TO PROVIDE PASSING LANES | KERR/REAL COUNTY LINE | 15.9 MI SOUTH OF KERR/REAL C/L | 15.9 |
| 1272 | 210.4 | 628 | San Angelo | KIMBLE | US 83 | WIDEN TO PROVIDE PASSING LANES | IH 10 | KIMBLE/KERR COUNTY LINE | 10.8 |
| 3076 | 174.9 | 635 | San Angelo | Edwards | US 277 | WIDEN TO 4-LANE UNDIVIDED HIGHWAY | SUTTON COUNTY LINE | VAL VERDE COUNTY LINE | 9.3 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RTP } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project <br> Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1274 | 171.3 | 636 | San Angelo | EDWARDS | US 83 | WIDEN TO PROVIDE PASSING LANES | NORTH KERR/EDWARDS COUNTY LINE | SOUTH KERR/EDWARDS COUNTY LINE | 3.5 |
| 1261 | 741 | 3 | San Antonio | COMAL | FM 725 | EXPAND FROM 2 TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | IH 35 | GUADALUPE/COMAL COUNTY LINE | 1.1 |
| 138 | 707.3 | 11 | San Antonio | Comal | LP 337 | EXPAND FROM 2 TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | 0.852 MI EAST OF SH 46 | HILLCREST DRIVE | 4.8 |
| 536 | 673.8 | 23 | San Antonio | Guadalupe | IH 10 | EXPAND FROM 4 TO 6 LANE EXPRESSWAY | BEXAR/GUADALUPE COUNTY LINE | 1.7 MI S OF GUADALUPE RIVER | 9.2 |
| 1267 | 672 | 26 | San Antonio | COMAL | SH 46 | EXPAND FROM 2 TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | KENDALL/COMAL COUNTY LINE | US 281 | 8.8 |
| 1262 | 656.9 | 45 | San Antonio | GUADALUPE | FM 725 | EXPAND FROM 2 TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | COMAL/GUADALUPE COUNTY LINE | FM 78 | 7.6 |
| 539 | 654.7 | 48 | San Antonio | Guadalupe | IH 10 | EXPAND FROM 4 TO 6 LANE EXPRESSWAY | 1.7 MI S OF THE GUADALUPE RIVER | US 90 EAST OF SEGUIN | 8.4 |
| 1266 | 647.6 | 55 | San Antonio | KENDALL | SH 46 | EXPAND FROM 2 TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | US 87 IN BOERNE | COMAL/KENDALL COUNTY LINE | 11.7 |
| 538 | 636.8 | 67 | San Antonio | Guadalupe | SH 123 | EXPAND 2 TO 4 LANES DIVIDED | FM 466 | WILSON/GUADALUPE COUNTY LINE | 13.1 |
| 1268 | 633.1 | 72 | San Antonio | COMAL | SH 46 | EXPAND FROM 2 TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | US 281 | 1.2 MI E OF FM 3009 | 9.7 |
| 527 | 630.3 | 77 | San Antonio | Atascosa | IH 35 | EXPAND FROM 4 TO 6 LANE EXPRESSWAY | ATASCOSA/ MEDINA COUNTY LINE | ATASCOSA/ BEXAR COUNTY LINE | 2.5 |
| 549 | 628.6 | 82 | San Antonio | Medina | IH 35 | EXPAND FROM 4 TO 6 LANE EXPRESSWAY | SH 173 | MEDINA/ATASCOSA COUNTY LINE | 8 |
| 544 | 624.7 | 91 | San Antonio | Kendall | IH 10 | EXPAND FROM 4 TO 6 LANE EXPRESSWAY | US 87 SOUTH "Y" | BEXAR/KENDALL COUNTY LINE | 2.7 |
| 1260 | 624 | 92 | San Antonio | COMAL | FM 306 | EXPAND FROM 2 TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | FM 2673 | 0.5 MI NORTH OF HUNTER ROAD | 9.8 |
| 531 | 618 | 104 | San Antonio | Comal | LP 337 | EXPAND FROM 2 TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | SH 46, SOUTHERLY | IH 35 | 3 |
| 548 | 611.6 | 112 | San Antonio | Kerr | SH 27 | WIDEN ROADWAY TO PROVIDE PASSING LANES AND OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS | SPUR 100 | FM 1350 | 8.7 |
| 541 | 579.9 | 150 | San Antonio | Kendall | IH 10 | EXPAND FROM 4 TO 6 LANE EXPRESSWAY | US 87 AT NORTH "Y" | CIBOLO CREEK | 0.6 |
| 543 | 578.6 | 151 | San Antonio | Kendall | IH 10 | EXPAND FROM 4 TO 6 LANE EXPRESSWAY | CIBOLO CREEK | US 87 AT SOUTH "Y" | 4.2 |
| 528 | 574.9 | 154 | San Antonio | Atascosa | IH 37 | EXPAND FROM 4 TO 6 LANE EXPRESSWAY | US 281 | ATASCOSA/BEXAR COUNTY LINE | 15 |
| 1281 | 556 | 193 | San Antonio | UVALDE | US 83 | WIDEN TO PROVIDE PASSING LANES | US 90 | ZAVALA/UVALDE COUNTY LINE | 9.3 |
| 1271 | 550.6 | 199 | San Antonio | Comal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FM } \\ & 3351 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | EXPAND FROM 2 TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | KENDALL /COMAL COUNTY LINE | BEXAR /COMAL COUNTY LINE | 3.1 |
| 3059 | 534.6 | 223 | San Antonio | Comal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FM } \\ & 1863 \end{aligned}$ | Add Passing Lanes | Mission Valley Rd | US 281 | 14.5 |
| 1265 | 525.4 | 236 | San Antonio | BANDERA | SH 16 | EXPAND FROM 2 TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | SH 173 | FM 1283 | 9 |
| 1264 | 514.8 | 257 | San Antonio | BANDERA | SH 16 | EXPAND FROM 2 TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | FM 1283 | MEDINA/BANDERA COUNTY LINE | 8.1 |


| Texas Rural Transportation Plan - Preliminary Project Rankings by District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RTP } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| 1269 | 504.4 | 280 | San Antonio | COMAL | SH 46 | EXPAND FROM 2 TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | 1.2 MI E OF FM 3009 | 0.25 MI W OF RANGE RD | 3.1 |
| 3056 | 473.2 | 324 | San Antonio | Comal | FM 306 | Add Passing Lanes | FM 2673 | US 281 | 18.4 |
| 1263 | 467.6 | 332 | San Antonio | MEDINA | SH 16 | EXPAND FROM 2 TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | BANDERA/MEDINA COUNTY LINE | BEXAR/MEDINA COUNTY LINE | 2.7 |
| 556 | 456 | 355 | San Antonio | Wilson | SH 123 | EXPAND 2 TO 4 LANES DIVIDED | GUADALUPE/WILSON COUNTY LINE | B 87 IN STOCKDALE | 9.9 |
| 1270 | 450.6 | 367 | San Antonio | Kendall | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { FM } \\ 3351 \end{array}$ | EXPAND FROM 2 TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | SH 46 | COMAL/KENDALL COUNTY LINE | 3.7 |
| 550 | 403.8 | 443 | San Antonio | Uvalde | US 90 | EXPAND 2 TO 4 LANES DIVIDED | KINNEY CO LINE | FM 481 | 18.6 |
| 540 | 394.4 | 460 | San Antonio | Guadalupe | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { FM } \\ 1044 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | CONSTRUCT 2 LANE ROADWAY ON NEW LOCATION | COMAL COUNTY LINE | EXISTING FM 1044/WEIL ROAD | 2.4 |
| 137 | 376.6 | 496 | San Antonio | Comal | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { FM } \\ 1044 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | CONSTRUCT 2 LANE ROADWAY ON NEW LOCATION | IH 35/ RUECKLE RD | GUADALUPE COUNTY LINE | 1.1 |
| 558 | 372 | 503 | San Antonio | Wilson | SH 123 | EXPAND 2 TO 4 LANES DIVIDED | KARNES/WILSON COUNTY LINE COUNTY L | B 87 IN STOCKDALE | 11.7 |
| 1280 | 360.7 | 510 | San Antonio | UVALDE | US 83 | WIDEN TO PROVIDE PASSING LANES | 1.65 MI N OF FM 1051 | US 90 | 21.3 |
| 1279 | 272.1 | 597 | San Antonio | UVALDE | US 83 | WIDEN TO PROVIDE PASSING LANES | REAL/UVALDE COUNTY LINE | 1.65 MI N OF FM 1051 | 11.4 |
| 1273 | 256.2 | 607 | San Antonio | KERR | US 83 | WIDEN TO PROVIDE PASSING LANES | KIMBLE/KERR COUNTY LINE | EDWARDS/KERR COUNTY LINE | 6.4 |
| 1275 | 256.2 | 607 | San Antonio | KERR | US 83 | WIDEN TO PROVIDE PASSING LANES | EDWARDS/KERR COUNTY LINE | REAL/KERR COUNTY LINE | 8 |
| 59 | 723.4 | 6 | Tyler | Anderson | US 79 | WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED RURAL (DEPRESSED MEDIAN) | 1.6 MI SW OF LP 256 IN PALESTINE, S | 0.4 MI SW OF FM 645 | 5.3 |
| 61 | 717.7 | 7 | Tyler | Cherokee | US 79 | RECONSTRUCT AS 4-LANE DIVIDED RURAL WITH FLUSH MEDIAN | 2.7 MI W OF SH 110, W | 0.1 MI E OF SH 204 IN JACKSONVILLE | 4.9 |
| 77 | 694.3 | 16 | Tyler | Smith | 1H20 | FEASIBILTY STUDY FOR ADDING MANAGED LANES TO IH 20 IN THE TYLER DISTRICT | ON IH 20 FROM THE KAUFMAN C/L, E | THE HARRISON C/L | 83.4 |
| 573 | 671.7 | 27 | Tyler | Rusk | US 79 | WIDEN 2 LN ROADWAY TO SUPER-2 (3 LANE) CRITERIA | 0.4 MI SW OF LP 571 (CR 403), SW | 1.5 MI NE OF SH 42 | 5.8 |
| 563 | 668.9 | 30 | Tyler | Anderson | US 79 | WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED RURAL (DEPRESSED MEDIAN) | 0.4 MI SW OF FM 645 | 0.7 MI W OF SH 294 | 3.3 |
| 566 | 662.1 | 36 | Tyler | Cherokee | US 79 | WIDEN 2 LN ROADWAY TO SUPER-2 (3 LANE) CRITERIA | 1.2 MI NE OF FM 747, SW | ANDERSON C/L AT NECHES RIVER | 9.5 |
| 65 | 654 | 49 | Tyler | Cherokee | US 69 | WIDEN 2 LANE ROADWAY TO 4 LANE DIVIDED CURB \& GUTTER ROADWAY | 2.0 MI N OF FM 1247,NEAR WELLS,S | 0.9 MI S OF FM 1247 (ANGELINA C/L) | 2.9 |
| 64 | 642.6 | 61 | Tyler | Cherokee | US 175 | RECONSTRUCT AS 4-LANE DIVIDED RURAL WITH FLUSH MEDIAN | 2 MI NW OF FM 855 (ANDERSON C/L) SE | FM 347, IN JACKSONVILLE | 10.6 |
| 567 | 639.5 | 64 | Tyler | Cherokee | US 79 | RECONSTRUCT AS 4-LANE DIVIDED RURAL WITH FLUSH MEDIAN | 0.8 MI E OF SH 110, W | 2.7 MI W OF SH 110 IN NEW SUMMERFLD | 3.5 |
| 76 | 628.2 | 84 | Tyler | Rusk | US 79 | WIDEN 2 LN ROADWAY TO SUPER-2 (3 LANE) CRITERIA | 1.5 MI NE OF SH 42, SW | CHEROKEE C/L | 6.4 |
| 57 | 627.1 | 86 | Tyler | Anderson | US 79 | WIDEN 2 LN ROADWAY TO SUPER-2 (3 LANE) CRITERIA | 2.8 MI NE OF FM 2574, SW | 0.5 MI NE OF LP 256 IN PALESTINE | 9 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RTP } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | 572.5 | 159 | Tyler | Anderson | US 175 | RECONSTRUCT AS 4-LANE DIVIDED RURAL W/FLUSH MEDIDAN | 0.4 MI SE OF SH 155, SE | CHEROKEE C/L AT NECHES RIVER | 3.8 |
| 63 | 564.9 | 169 | Tyler | Cherokee | US 79 | WIDEN 2 LN ROADWAY TO SUPER-2 (3 LANE) CRITERIA | 1.3 MI N OF FM 2274(S) | (RUSK C/L), S | 5.8 |
| 569 | 564.7 | 171 | Tyler | Henderson | US 175 | RECONSTRUCT AS 4-LANE DIVIDED RURAL W/DEPRESSED MEDIAN | 1.4 MI S OF FM 804 (CR 4712), SE | 1.1 MI E OF LP 60E, AT LARUE | 5.4 |
| 3061 | 564.7 | 173 | Tyler | Van Zandt | SH 19 | Widen to 4-Lane Divided Highway | Van Zandt Countly Line | 1H20 | 14 |
| 70 | 564.1 | 175 | Tyler | Henderson | US 175 | RECONSTRUCT AS 4-LANE DIVIDED RURAL WITH DEPRESSED MEDIAN | 1.1 MI E OF LP 60E @ LARUE | 1.9 MI SE OF FM 315 (ANDERSON C/L) | 5.7 |
| 572 | 563.9 | 176 | Tyler | Henderson | SH 334 | REPLACE EXISTING 2-LN FACILITIES W/4-LN STRUCTURES | W END PERSIMMON CRK BR(IN 7 PTS), E | E END CEDAR CRK BR, IN GUN BARL CTY | 1.6 |
| 58 | 562.6 | 181 | Tyler | Anderson | US 79 | WIDEN 2 LN ROADWAY TO SUPER-2 (3 LANE) CRITERIA | CHEROKEE C/L AT NECHES RIVER, SE | 2.8 MI NE OF FM 2574 | 4.1 |
| 1291 | 562.1 | 182 | Tyler | Smith | US 69 | CONSTRUCT 2 LNS CONTROLLED ACCESS TOLL ROAD ON NEW LOCATION (ULTIMATE 4-LANE FACILITY) (TOLL) | US 69, NORTH OF LINDALE, S | IH 20 AT LP 49 (LP 49 EXTENSION) | 5.2 |
| 69 | 558.3 | 187 | Tyler | Henderson | US 175 | RECONSTRUCT AS 4-LANE DIVIDED RURAL WITH DEPRESSED MEDIAN | 0.1 MI SE OF FM 804, SE | CR 4712 (END OF C-S) | 1.3 |
| 562 | 550.1 | 202 | Tyler | Anderson | US 175 | RECONSTR AS 4-LANE DIVIDED RURAL W/ FLUSH MEDIAN | 1.9 MI S OF FM 315 (HENDERSON C/L) | 0.5 MI NW OF SH 155 AT FRANKSTON | 3.3 |
| 78 | 528 | 230 | Tyler | Smith | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FM } \\ & 2493 \end{aligned}$ | WIDEN FROM 2 LANES TO 4 LANES WITH FLUSH MEDIAN | FM 346 IN FLINT, S | 0.3 MI S OF FM 344 (CHEROKEE C/L) | 5.2 |
| 71 | 519.9 | 251 | Tyler | Henderson | SH 198 | RECONSTRUCT AS 4-LN DIVIDED URBAN FACILITY W/FLUSH MEDIAN | CANEY CRK BR(. 6 MI S OF FM01214), S | 1.0 MI N OF SH 31 IN MALAKOFFF | 1.6 |
| 73 | 513.7 | 260 | Tyler | Henderson | SH 334 | RECONSTRUCT AS 4 LANE DIVIDED URBAN (FLUSH MEDIAN) | SH 198, E | US 175 IN GUN BARREL CITY | 4.1 |
| 75 | 505.2 | 277 | Tyler | Rusk | SH 64 | WIDEN 2 LN ROADWAY TO SUPER-2 (3 LANE) CRITERIA | 0.2 MI E OF FM 15 (SMITH C/L), E | WCL OF HENDERSON, 0.2 MI W OF SL 571 | 9.4 |
| 79 | 489.5 | 297 | Tyler | Smith | SH 64 | WIDEN 2 LN ROADWAY TO SUPER-2 (3 LANE) CRITERIA | SH 135, IN ARP, SE | 0.16 MI SE OF 15 (SMITH C/L) | 4.4 |
| 68 | 484.2 | 307 | Tyler | Gregg | SH 135 | WIDEN FROM 2 LANE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED ROADWAY | 1.8 MI N OF FM 1252 IN LIBRTY CTY,N | US 271, IN GLADEWATER | 4.5 |
| 72 | 469.4 | 329 | Tyler | Henderson | SH 198 | RECONSTRUCT AS 4 LN DIVIDED URBAN FACILITY W/FLUSH MEDIAN | CLEAR CRK BR (. 6 MI N OF RM 3054),S | CANEY CRK BR (. 3 MI S OF FM 1214) | 1.7 |
| 565 | 427.7 | 413 | Tyler | Cherokee | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FM } \\ & 2493 \end{aligned}$ | WIDEN FROM 2 LANES TO 4 LANES WITH FLUSH MEDIAN | 0.3 MI S OF FM 344 (SMITH C/L) | US 69 NEAR BULLARD | 1.4 |
| 571 | 367.6 | 505 | Tyler | Henderson | SH 198 | REPLACE EXISTING STRUCTURE | AT CLEAR CRK BR, 1 MI N OF RM 3054 | STR\# 026, CEDAR CREEK RESERVOIR | 0.3 |
| 74 | 304.2 | 567 | Tyler | Rusk | LP 571 | CONSTRUCT 2 LANE ROADWAY ON NEW LOCATION (PH 1 OF 4 LN DIVIDED HIGHWAY) | US 79, SW OF HENDERSON, SE \& E | US 259, S OF HENDERSON | 3 |
| 54 | 620.2 | 95 | Waco | Hill | SH 22 | WIDEN FROM TWO LANE TO 4 LANE WITH LEFT TURN LANE | SH 81 IN HILLSBORO | 0.9 MI EAST | 0.9 |


| Texas Rural Transportation Plan - Preliminary Project Rankings by District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RTP } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| 56 | 610 | 115 | Waco | Hill | SH 31 | CONSTRUCT SUPER 2 NEW LOCATION BYPASS OF HUBBARD | CR 3344 | NAVARRO CO LINE | 7.9 |
| 586 | 602.6 | 124 | Waco | Limestone | US 84 | WIDEN FROM TWO LANE TO FOUR LANE DIVIDED | FM 1365 | 1.05 MI E OF FM 1365 (MEXIA C/L) | 1 |
| 1306 | 532.9 | 224 | Waco | LIMESTONE | SH 14 | ADD PASSING LANES | FREESTONE COUNTY LINE | TO ROBERTSON CO LN | 41.2 |
| 580 | 524.6 | 237 | Waco | Falls | US 77 | PLANING, SURFACING, ADD PASSING LANES | MCLENNAN CO LINE | FM 935 | 9 |
| 578 | 505.3 | 276 | Waco | Coryell | SH 36 | WIDEN TO FOUR LANE DIVIDED FREEWAY | LEON RV (N FT HOOD) | FM 1829 | 5.2 |
| 52 | 501.4 | 284 | Waco | Hill | SH 22 | REHABILITATE ROADWAY AND ADD PASSING LANES | FM 933 | SH 171 | 12.5 |
| 581 | 487.6 | 303 | Waco | Falls | US 77 | PLANING, SURFACING, ADD PASSING LANES | FM 935 | FM 431 | 7.8 |
| 1308 | 484 | 308 | Waco | Limestone | US 84 | ADD PASSING LANES | MCLENNAN COUNTY LINE | FREESTONE COUNTY LINE | 27.4 |
| 55 | 481.4 | 312 | Waco | Hill | FM 933 | WIDEN FROM TWO LANES TO FOUR LANES WITH CONTINUOUS LEFT TURN LANE | FM 2604 | FM 1713 | 2.9 |
| 47 | 480.6 | 313 | Waco | Coryell | FM 116 | ADD PASSING LANES AND 10' SHOULDERS | SH 9 | US 84 | 17 |
| 1302 | 462.5 | 343 | Waco | Coryell | SH 36 | WIDEN TO FOUR LANE DIVIDED FREEWAY | FM 1829 | SH 236 | 8.1 |
| 583 | 460.4 | 348 | Waco | Hamilton | US 281 | UPGRADE OF A NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | 0.8 MI N OF SH 36 | SH 36 | 0.8 |
| 1307 | 455 | 357 | WACO | LIMESTONE | SH 164 | ADD PASSING LANES | MCLENNAN COUNTY LINE | FREESTONE COUNTY LINE | 31.2 |
| 1305 | 452.7 | 362 | WACO | BOSQUE | SH6 | ADD PASSING LANES | FM 217 NORTH OF VALLEY MILLS | SH 22 IN MERIDIAN | 20.8 |
| 577 | 441.4 | 387 | Waco | Coryell | SH 36 | REHABILITATE ROADWAY AND ADD PASSING LANES | BU 36E IN GATESVILLE | FM 217 IN JONESBORO | 12.3 |
| 48 | 427.6 | 414 | Waco | Coryell | SH 36 | WIDEN TO FOUR LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | BELL CO LINE | SH 236 | 0.8 |
| 1300 | 424.6 | 415 | Waco | Hill | VA | CONSTRUCT STATE HIGHWAY ON NEW LOCATION FOR SH 22 RELIEF ROUTE NORTHEAST OF WHITNEY | SH 22 | FM 933 | 1.8 |
| 585 | 417.5 | 422 | Waco | Hill | VA | CONSTRUCT STATE HIGHWAY ON NEW LOCATION FOR SH 22 RELIEF ROUTE NORTH OF HILLSBORO | SH 171 E OF HILLSBORO | FM 309 W OF HILLSBORO | 5.8 |
| 2007 | 413 | 431 | Waco | Falls | SH 7 | CONSTRUCT GRADE SEPARATION AT RR IN MARLIN | BU 6N | 1 MILE EAST OF BU 6N | 1 |
| 584 | 411.7 | 432 | Waco | Hamilton | US 281 | UPGRADE OF A NON-FREEWAY FACILITY | SH 36 | 0.9 MI S OF SH 36 | 0.9 |
| 2006 | 386.7 | 481 | Waco | Falls | SH 6 | CONSTRUCT CONTINUOUS ONE-WAY SOUTHBOUND FRONTAGE ROAD AND REALIGN RAMPS | FM 147 | SH 7 | 1.2 |
| 50 | 380.4 | 490 | Waco | Hamilton | US 281 | REHAB ROADWAY AND ADD PASSING LANES | SOUTH HAMILTON C/L | US 84 IN EVANT | 14.5 |
| 51 | 361 | 509 | Waco | Hamilton | US 281 | ADD PASSING LANES | HICO CITY LIMIT | HAMILTON CITY LIMIT | 18.9 |
| 1304 | 318.6 | 550 | Waco | Coryell | VA | CONSTRUCT STATE HIGHWAY ON NEW LOCATION | FM 1690 IN LAMPASSAS COUNTY | FM 580 | 9.9 |
| 1303 | 312.2 | 557 | Waco | Limestone | VA | CONSTRUCT STATE HIGHWAY ON NEW LOCATION | SH 7 | FM 2954 IN ROBERTSON COUNTY | 5.5 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RTP } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1301 | 308.4 | 565 | Waco | Hamilton | VA | CONSTRUCT STATE HIGHWAY ON NEW LOCATION FOR US 281 RELIEF ROUTE NORTH OF HAMILTON. | 2.00 miles west of City of Hamilton | 2.00 miles east of City of Hamilton | 8.5 |
| 582 | 255.8 | 610 | Waco | Hamilton | US 84 | ADD PASSING LANES | MILLS CO LINE | US 281 IN EVANT | 9.6 |
| 593 | 636.6 | 68 | Wichita Falls | Cooke | IH 35 | WIDENING OF A FREEWAY FACILITY | DENTON COUNTY LINE | 0.2 MILES SOUTH OF US 82 | 15.2 |
| 592 | 619 | 98 | Wichita Falls | Cooke | IH 35 | WIDENING OF A FREEWAY FACILITY | RED RIVER BRIDGE | 0.2 MILES SOUTH OF US 82 | 6.4 |
| 594 | 604.6 | 121 | Wichita Falls | Cooke | VA | GRADING, CONCRETE PAVEMENT AND STRUCTURES | ON IH 35 AT TEXAS/OKLAHOMA STATE LN | EXIT 1 IN OKLAHOMA | 1 |
| 591 | 591.8 | 134 | Wichita Falls | Cooke | IH 35 | WIDENING OF A FREEWAY FACILITY | ON IH 35 AT THE RED RIVER BRIDGE |  | 0.2 |
| 1310 | 460.9 | 347 | Wichita Falls | Wichita | US 82 | UPGRADE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED FACILITY | ARCHER COUNTY LINE | 0.7 MILES WEST OF FM 369 | 4.4 |
| 13 | 404.5 | 442 | Wichita Falls | Clay | US 82 | UPGRADE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED FACILITY | US 287 | MONTAGUE CL | 14 |
| 11 | 394.6 | 459 | Wichita Falls | Baylor | US 277 | UPGRADE TO 4 LANE FACILITY | KNOX COUNTY LINE | 2.155 MILES WEST OF US 183 | 11.3 |
| 14 | 378.6 | 494 | Wichita Falls | Montague | US 82 | UPGRADE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED FACILITY | NOCONA, WEST | NEAR FM 1816 | 5.7 |
| 587 | 337.8 | 534 | Wichita Falls | Archer | US 277 | UPGRADE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED FACILITY | 2.083 MILES WEST OF FM 2846 | 1.69 MILES WEST OF SH 25 | 5.3 |
| 9 | 335.2 | 535 | Wichita Falls | Archer | US 277 | UPGRADE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED FACILITY | 1.69 MILES WEST OF SH 25 | 0.795 MILES EAST OF FERGUSON ROAD | 5.9 |
| 595 | 334.8 | 536 | Wichita Falls | Montague | US 82 | UPGRADE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED FACILITY | 0.5 MI EAST OF US 81 | NEAR FM 1816 | 5.8 |
| 1311 | 328.4 | 540 | Wichita Falls | Archer | US 281 | UPGRADE TO SUPER 2 | SH 25 | JACK COUNTY LINE | 8.7 |
| 15 | 313 | 555 | Wichita Falls | Montague | US 82 | UPGRADE TO 4 LANE DIVIDED FACILITY | CLAY C/L | APPROX 0.5 MI. E OF US 81 (RINGGOLD | 2.8 |
| 1312 | 255.8 | 609 | Wichita Falls | Baylor | US 82 | UPGRADE TO SUPER 2 | KNOX COUNTY LINE | BU 183 B | 12.6 |
| 99 | 729.7 | 4 | Yoakum | Austin | IH 10 | ADD LANES FOR 6-LANE FACILITY | COLORADO C/L | FM 3538 | 8.9 |
| 601 | 708.8 | 10 | Yoakum | Austin | IH 10 | ADD TWO LANES FOR 6 LANE FACILITY | BRAZOS RIVER | SH 36 IN SEALY | 7.2 |
| 107 | 670.9 | 28 | Yoakum | Colorado | 1H 10 | ADD LANES FOR 6-LANE FACILITY | SH 71 | COLORADO RIVER BRIDGE | 2.7 |
| 108 | 669.2 | 29 | Yoakum | Colorado | IH 10 | ADD LANES FOR 6-LANE FACILITY | COLORADO RIVER BRIDGE | AUSTIN C/L | 13.7 |
| 103 | 665.4 | 34 | Yoakum | Austin | SH 36 | CONSTRUCT 4-LANE DIVIDED FACIIITY | 1H 10 | CR 380 | 4.5 |
| 118 | 659.3 | 41 | Yoakum | Wharton | BU 59-R | WIDEN TO 4 LANE DIVIDED | US 59 | NORTH OF JOAN STREET IN WHARTON | 1.8 |
| 3066 | 659.2 | 42 | Yoakum | Colorado | US 90A | Add Two-Way Left turn lanes | SH 71 | Eagle Lake | 6.9 |
| 596 | 645.7 | 57 | Yoakum | Austin | SH 36 | CONSTRUCT AUXILLARY LANES for Super 2 | ALLENS CREEK IN SEALY | CR 380 (MIXVILLE ROAD) | 4.1 |
| 611 | 619.7 | 97 | Yoakum | Jackson | US 59 | UPGRADE TO RURAL FREEWAY | FM 710 | SH 111 | 9.4 |
| 604 | 618.2 | 102 | Yoakum | Fayette | US 77 | ADD 2 LANES FOR 4-LANE UNDIVIDED | LEE C/L | SH 71 NORTH OF LAGRANGE | 11.7 |
| 98 | 618.2 | 103 | Yoakum | Austin | IH 10 | RECONSTRUCT RAMPS \& ADD FRONTAGE ROAD | REXVILLE ROAD | SH 36 | 1.3 |
| 598 | 613.5 | 110 | Yoakum | Austin | SH 36 | CONSTRUCT 4 LANE DIVIDED FACIIITY | CR 380 | 1.0 MI NORTH OF WALLIS | 5 |
| 599 | 610.6 | 113 | Yoakum | Austin | SH 36 | CONSTRUCT AUXILLARY LANES for Super 2 | CR 380 (MIXVILLE ROAD) | FM 1093 | 6 |
| 121 | 600.4 | 126 | Yoakum | Wharton | SH 71 | ADD 2 LANES FOR 4 LANE DIVIDED URBAN SECTION | FIRST ST | US 59 LP IN EL CAMPO | 1.2 |
| 104 | 598.9 | 128 | Yoakum | Austin | SH 36 | ADD 2 LANES FOR 4-LANE DIVIDED FACILITY | WASHINGTON C/L | SH 159 | 10.8 |


| Texas Rural Transportation Plan - Preliminary Project Rankings by District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RTP } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Score | Rank | District | County | Highway | Project Description | Limit From | Limit To | Project Length |
| 610 | 596.2 | 131 | Yoakum | Jackson | US 59 | UPGRADE TO RURAL FREEWAY | SH 111 | VICTORIA C/L | 9.3 |
| 102 | 585.7 | 140 | Yoakum | Austin | SH 36 | ADD 2 LANES FOR 4-LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | 1.0 MI. N. OF WALLI | FT. BEND C/L | 4.1 |
| 615 | 577.7 | 152 | Yoakum | Wharton | US 59 | UPGRADE TO RURAL FREEWAY | FT. BEND C/L | CANEY CREEK | 9.9 |
| 609 | 570.7 | 160 | Yoakum | Gonzales | US 183 | ADD 2 LANES FOR 4-LANE DIVIDED FACILITY | CALDWELL C/L | 0.29 MI. NORTH OF BU 183 | 10.5 |
| 97 | 569.7 | 162 | Yoakum | Austin | SH 36 | CONSTRUCT AUXILLARY LANES for Super 2 | WASHINGTON COUNTY LINE | SH 159 NORTH OF BELLVILLE | 10.9 |
| 600 | 563.5 | 179 | Yoakum | Austin | IH 10 | RECONSTRUCT RAMPS \& ADD FRONTAGE ROADS | SH 36 | BNSF RAILROAD | 0.2 |
| 607 | 550.2 | 201 | Yoakum | Fayette | US 77 | REPLACE 3 UNDERPASSES \& APPRS. | AT UPRR, N. \& S. MAIN UNDERPASSES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STR \#0269-01-001, } 036 \text { AND } \\ & 037 \end{aligned}$ | 0.2 |
| 109 | 547.9 | 206 | Yoakum | Colorado | SH 71 | CONSTRUCT GRADE SEPARATION INTERCHANGE | 0.5 MI. NORTH OF US 90A | 0.16 MI. NORTH OF US 90A | 0.3 |
| 3065 | 535.3 | 221 | Yoakum | Colorado | US 90A | Add Two-Way Left turn lanes | Eagle Lake | FM 2764 | 3.8 |
| 116 | 520.8 | 248 | Yoakum | Lavaca | US 77 | ADD 2 LANES FOR 4 LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY | FM 318 | FM 531 | 9.5 |
| 613 | 520.1 | 250 | Yoakum | Lavaca | US 77 | ADD 2 LANES FOR 4-LANE UNDIVIDED | FAYETTE C/L | 1.0 MI. NORTH OF HALLETTSVILE C-L | 11.6 |
| 115 | 519.2 | 253 | Yoakum | Lavaca | US 77 | ADD 2 LANES FOR 4-LANE DIVIDED | FM 531 | 0.9 MI N OF SH 111 | 5.6 |
| 614 | 513.2 | 261 | Yoakum | Wharton | US 59 | UPGRADE TO RURAL FREEWAY | FM 1163 | JACKSON C/L | 12.8 |
| 602 | 492.5 | 292 | Yoakum | Colorado | SH 71 | CONSTRUCT GRADE SEPARATION INTERCHANGE | 0.16 MI . NORTH OF US 90A | 0.5 MI. SOUTH OF US 90A | 0.7 |
| 605 | 491.5 | 294 | Yoakum | Fayette | US 77 | ADD 2 LANES FOR 4-LANE DIVIDED | 1.0 MI. NORTH OF FM 2436 | 1.03 MI . SOUTH OF FM 2436 | 2 |
| 101 | 478.1 | 316 | Yoakum | Austin | SH 36 | CONSTRUCT 2 LANES OF ULTIMATE 4 LANE FACILITY | SH 36 N OF BELLVILLE | SH 36 S OF BELLVILLE | 6 |
| 120 | 470.4 | 328 | Yoakum | Wharton | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { FM } \\ 1301 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EXTEND ROAD ON NEW LOCATION | SH 60 IN WHARTON | US 59 | 1.8 |
| 113 | 446.3 | 375 | Yoakum | Fayette | US 77 | CONSTRUCT 2 LANE UNDIVIDED RURAL SECTION | INT US 77 NEAR HOSTYN, NW | SH 71 W OF FM 609 | 4.8 |
| 123 | 446.2 | 376 | Yoakum | Wharton | US 59 | UPGRADE TO RURAL FREEWAY | CANEY CREEK | FM 1163 | 15.5 |
| 122 | 445.7 | 378 | Yoakum | Wharton | US 59 | CONSTRUCT FRONTAGE ROAD | 0.17 MI. WEST OF SH 71 | 0.12 MI. EAST OF FM 1163 | 0.7 |
| 606 | 438.6 | 394 | Yoakum | Fayette | US 77 | ADD 2 LANES FOR 4-LANE DIVIDED | 1.03 MI . SOUTH OF FM 2436 | IH 10 IN SCHULENGURG | 9.7 |
| 612 | 392.3 | 467 | Yoakum | Lavaca | US 77 | CONSTRUCT 2 LANES OF UTLTIMATE 4 LANE FACILITY | NORTH OF HALLETTSVILLE | SOUTH OF HALLETTSVILLE | 7 |
| 597 | 376.3 | 497 | Yoakum | Austin | SH 36 | CONSTRUCT RELIEF ROUTE AROUND SEALY | SH 36 NORTH OF SEALY | SH 36 SOUTH OF SEALY | 5.3 |
| 106 | 346.8 | 520 | Yoakum | Calhoun | SH 185 | CONSTRUCT OVERPASS AT SH 35 | . 8 MI SOUTH OF SH 35 | 1.2 MI NORTH OF SH 35 | 2 |
| 3070 | 346.1 | 522 | Yoakum | DeWitt | US 183 | Add Passing Lanes | US 87 | Goliad County Line | 10.2 |
| 117 | 321.8 | 547 | Yoakum | Matagorda | SH 35 | CONSTRUCT 2 LANE RURAL HIGHWAY ON NEW LOCATION | SH 35 NE OF BAY CITY | SH 35 W OF BAY CITY | 11.4 |
| 603 | 311.9 | 558 | Yoakum | DeWitt | US 87 | REPLACE BRIDGE AND APPROACHES | AT GUADALUPE RIVER | STR \# 0143-08-037 | 0.3 |
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## 2011 Urban Mobility Report

The table on the next page gives a summary of delay costs in time and dollars in urban areas as reported by the Texas Transportation Institute.

Note the wasted fuel, cost per commuter for travel delay, and the " 28 Year Travel Delay per Auto Commuter." Review the effect on specific communities.

The Texas Transportation's 2011 Urban Mobility Report can be found at http://tti.tamu.edu/documents/mobility-report-2011.pdf

## The Texas Transportation Institute's 2011 Urban Mobility Report shows the increase in time and cost to the public due to travel delay caused by congestion.

| Urban Area | What congestion means to you, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | What this means to your town, 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yearly Delay per Auto Commuter |  | Travel Time Index |  | Excess Fuel per Auto Commuter |  | Congestion Cost per Auto Commuter |  | 28 Year Travel Delay per Auto Commuter (Hrs) |  | Travel Delay | Excess Fuel Consumed | Truck Congestion Cost | Total Congestion Cost |
|  | Hours | Rank | Value | Rank | Gallons | Rank | Dollars | Rank | 1982 | 2010 | (1000 Hrs) | (1000 Gal) | (\$ million) | (\$ million) |
| Houston | 57 | 4 | 1.27 | 6 | 28 | 4 | 1,171 | 4 | 24 | 57 | 153,391 | 76,531 | 688 | 3,203 |
| Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington | 45 | 10 | 1.23 | 16 | 22 | 7 | 924 | 11 | 7 | 45 | 163,585 | 80,587 | 666 | 3,365 |
| Austin | 38 | 15 | 1.28 | 3 | 10 | 27 | 743 | 23 | 9 | 38 | 31,038 | 8,425 | 119 | 617 |
| San Antonio | 30 | 34 | 1.18 | 26 | 9 | 31 | 591 | 35 | 4 | 30 | 30,207 | 8,883 | 105 | 593 |
| El Paso TX-NM | 21 | 60 | 1.16 | 37 | 4 | 66 | 427 | 60 | 3 | 21 | 10,452 | 1,971 | 52 | 214 |
| McAllen TX | 7 | 101 | 1.1 | 56 | 1 | 100 | 125 | 101 | 1 | 7 | 2,598 | 475 | 9 | 50 |
| Beaumont TX | 22 | 57 | 1.08 | 73 | 4 | 66 | 445 | 58 | 5 | 22 | 3,814 | 615 | 17 | 77 |
| Brownsville TX | 15 | 83 | 1.04 | 99 | 2 | 89 | 321 | 81 | 1 | 15 | 2,323 | 326 | 15 | 50 |
| Laredo TX | 12 | 93 | 1.07 | 79 | 2 | 89 | 264 | 91 | 1 | 12 | 2,041 | 378 | 15 | 46 |
| Corpus Christi TX | 10 | 96 | 1.07 | 79 | 2 | 89 | 194 | 98 | 5 | 10 | 2,432 | 469 | 13 | 50 |

The increase in delay and resulting wasted gallons of fuel and total cost over the past 30 years is significant.
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## Future Mobility in Texas 2010

The Road Information Program (TRIP, founded in 1971) is a nonprofit organization that researches, evaluates and distributes economic and technical data on surface transportation issues. TRIP promotes transportation policies that relieve traffic congestion, improve road and bridge conditions, improve air quality, make surface travel safer and enhance economic productivity. An excerpt from TRIP's 2010 report Future Mobility in Texas is provided below.

TRIP estimates that Texas' roadways that lack some desirable safety features, have inadequate capacity to meet travel demands or have poor pavement conditions cost the state's drivers approximately $\$ 22.6$ billion annually in the form of traffic crashes, additional vehicle operating costs and congestion-related delays.

TRIP has calculated the cost to motorists of driving on roads that are deteriorated, congested and lack some desirable safety features in Austin, Dallas/Fort Worth, El Paso, Houston and San Antonio. The following chart shows the cost breakdown for these areas.

| Area | VOC* | Congestion | Safety | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Austin | $\$ 322$ | $\$ 812$ | $\$ 259$ | $\$ 1,393$ |
| Dallas / Fort Worth | $\$ 539$ | $\$ 1,077$ | $\$ 353$ | $\$ 1,969$ |
| El Paso | $\$ 396$ | $\$ 382$ | $\$ 248$ | $\$ 1,026$ |
| Houston | $\$ 438$ | $\$ 1,112$ | $\$ 328$ | $\$ 1,878$ |
| San Antonio | $\$ 549$ | $\$ 765$ | $\$ 296$ | $\$ 1,610$ |
| STATEWIDE | $\$ 5.3$ billion | $\$ 10.8$ billion | $\$ 6.5$ billion | $\$ 22.6$ billion |
| *VOC -Vehicle Operating Costs |  |  |  |  |

The report can be found at the following link:
http://www.tripnet.org/docs/Texas_TRIP_Report_Nov_2010.pdf
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## Pavement Conditions

a. Pavement Condition Projections Center for Transportation Research (CTR)—The Center for Transportation Research's (CTR) Texas Pavement Preservation Center predicted pavement conditions underfunding as presented in TxDOT's 201310 year Unified Transportation Plan.

According to CTR, by 2022 pavement conditions will be $30 \%$ worse under current funding compared to conditions under the proposed $\$ 1.75$ billion annual amount. While under both funding scenarios pavement conditions worsen, underfunding (current known funding levels) will increase total costs for pavement preservation and restoration an additional $\$ 6.5$ billion.

If funding for maintenance is insufficient, the taxpayers will end up paying an additional $\$ 6.5$ billion to restore pavement conditions and endure significantly reduced pavement conditions.
b. Energy Sector Road Damage-The recent oil and gas field traffic, as well as ongoing wind energy traffic, has had a significant impact to the condition of the highway system. The impact of this traffic has turned some paved roads to gravel roads. In addition, traffic accidents have increased. TxDOT and industry have been discussing the impacts and resolution through and Energy Sector Task Force. Preliminary projections are that the funding needs are $\$ 100$ million to $\$ 270$ million per year. Total additional funding needs may exceed $\$ 1.5$ billion.
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The Center for Transportation Research performed an analysis comparing pavement conditions and needs under current known funding (TxDOT's 2013 UTP) to a proposed $\$ 1.75$ billion per year funding. Using the currently projected funding (TxDOT's 2013 UTP) scenario, the $86.6 \%$ Good or better percentage in 2011 would decrease to $77.3 \%$ in 2016 and $43.2 \%$ by 2022 . The currently projected annual funding for pavement maintenance in nominal dollars is approximately $\$ 1.2$ billion per year for the next 10 years and varies only slightly across the years since no changes in existing funding mechanisms were assumed.

CTR analyzed the effects of a future pavement maintenance-funding scenario that would provide $\$ 1.75$ billion annually for the next 10 years. In order to enable comparison to the currently projected funding scenario, the same procedures were used and funding was assumed to be $\$ 1.75$ billion annually in nominal dollars. The $\$ 1.75$ billion per year would produce good or better percentages of $83 \%$ in 2016 $61 \%$ by 2022 . The change in the fraction of the pavement system with good or better pavement scores for the currently projected funding and the $\$ 1.75$ billion annual funding is illustrated in Figure 1.


Figure 1. Percentage of pavement system with good or better condition score for the currently projected funding and $\$ 1.75$ billion annual funding scenarios

Compared to the currently projected funding scenario, the $\$ 1.75$ billion in annual maintenance funding would save highway users $\$ 12$ billion over the next 15 years if the pavement condition is to be restored to the 2011 level through a five year restoration period beginning in 2023 (the end of the current UTP funding projection). That is, the highway user savings would be roughly twice as much as the additional maintenance expenditure, as shown in Table 1.

In summary, by 2022 pavement conditions will be $30 \%$ worse under current funding compared to conditions under the proposed $\$ 1.75$ billion annual amount. While under both funding scenarios pavement conditions worsen, underfunding (current known funding levels) will increase total costs for pavement preservation and restoration an additional $\$ 6.5$ billion.

| Restoration | Funding <br> Scenario | FY 2012- <br> 2022 <br> Funding <br> (Billions) | Cost to <br> Restore <br> to 2011 <br> Condition <br> (Billions) | Total <br> Maintenance <br> Cost (Billions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Restore <br> to 2011 <br> Condition in <br> 5 Years | Currently <br> Projected <br> Funding | $\$ 13.62$ | $\$ 45.75$ | $\$ 59.37$ |
| Restoration <br> beginning <br> 2023 ) | $\$ 1.75 B /$ Year | $\$ 19.25$ | $\$ 33.63$ | $\$ 52.88$ |

## Energy Sector Road Damage

While the recent oil and gas activity has been a boon for the economy, there has been a significant impact to the condition of the state highway system. The damage sustained in some cases was severe enough to create gravel roads where previously paved highways existed.

It is recognized by the energy sector industry that funding is needed to address the highway system and TxDOT has put together a Task Force to develop recommendations to address concerns. At the time of this writing, TxDOT continues to assess repair needs of these roadways.
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## Annual Cost per Household versus User Fees

The following chart shows the average cost to a household in delay and vehicle costs and compares that to the user fees. For instance, the chart shows implementation of several options available such as recapturing diversions.

The chart shows a significant reduction in cost to the household (Congestion Cost) is found with only slight increase in fees (Implementation Costs). Benefits far outweigh increases in user fees according to the study by the Texas Transportation Institute.

Texas Inansponation
listitue
Annual Cost per Household
Strategic Solutions 2010 to 2035


## 10 <br> Delay Projections 2030 Committee 2011 Report

10

## Delay Projections 2030 Committee 2011 Report

The 2030 Committee levels of funding are shown in colors. Refer to section on Annual Cost per Household versus User Fees. The unacceptable level is current funding. Delay hours in urban areas and the percent of congested rural roads will double in 15 years.

Annual Hours of Delay per Commuter (Urban)


Percent of Congested Rural Roads
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# Effect of Inflation on Fuel Tax and Revenue and Actual and Projected Revenue from Motor Fuel Taxes 

From TTI, the first graph in this section shows the effect of inflation on fuel taxes with a base year of 1991, the last time state fuel taxes were increased.

The Texas Transportation Institute also projected the effect of increased vehicle mileage per gallon on total revenue. The second and third charts show average mile per gallons and the resulting reductions in fuel tax revenues in future years. The beginnings of this reduced revenue from fuel user fees were first seen in 2009.

## Effective Texas and Federal Fuel Tax Rate Adjusted by Inflation in Roadway Constructions Costs




Motor Fuel Revenue (Billions of \$ 2012)
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## Fund 6 Diversions

Fund 6 diversions, not considering constitutionally dedicated school funding, has cumulated to $\$ 10$ billion since 1987 and are approaching $\$ 700$ million per year for the 2012-2013 biennium.


## Fund 6 Diversions

| Purpose | AY 1987 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { TxDOT } \\ & \text { Appn } \end{aligned}$ | AY 1988-1989 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { TxDOT } \\ & \text { Appn } \end{aligned}$ | AY 1990-1991 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { TxDOT } \\ & \text { Appn } \end{aligned}$ | AY 1992-1993 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { TxDOT } \\ & \text { Appn } \end{aligned}$ | AY 1994-1995 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { TxDOT } \\ & \text { Appn } \end{aligned}$ | AY 1996-1997 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { TxDOT } \\ \text { Appn } \end{gathered}$ | AY 1998-1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attorney General - Mineral Rights Litigation 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health and Human Services Commission |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas Education Agency School Buses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas Transportation Institute |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Department of Public Safety | \$51,599,555 | 1.87\% | \$242,605,427 | 4.69\% | \$284,975,598 | 5.58\% | \$361,236,753 | 7.49\% | \$419,400,711 | 6.72\% | \$460,394,175 | 7.29\% | \$620,449,119 |
| Texas Workforce Commission - Client Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Weight Axle Fees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commission on the Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,340,000 |
| Historical Commission |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,000,000 |
| State Office of Administrative Hearings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lufkin Tourist Information Center |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas Dept of Insurance TexasSure Motor Vehicle Financial Responsibility Verification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salary Increase for Schedule C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regulation of Controlled Substances |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Silver Alert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Client Transportation Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical Trans - Medicaid Match |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auto Theft Prevention |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$51,599,555 |  | \$242,605,427 |  | \$284,975,598 |  | \$361,236,753 |  | \$419,400,711 |  | \$460,394,175 |  | \$622,789,119 |
| Total TxDOT Appropriation1, 2 | \$2,756,758,296 | 1.87\% | \$5,171,869,638 | 4.69\% | \$5,111,027,020 | 5.58\% | \$4,822,052,406 | 7.49\% | \$6,242,068,935 | 6.72\% | \$6,314,150,659 | 7.29\% | \$7,044,545,066 |
| TxDOT and TxDMV3 State Highway Fund Appropriation 1 | \$1,676,810,108 | 3.08\% | \$3,294,924,293 | 7.36\% | \$3,176,896,521 | 8.97\% | \$2,795,376,934 | 12.92\% | \$3,651,413,139 | 11.49\% | \$3,656,419,808 | 12.59\% | \$4,207,257,742 |

## 1987-2013

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { TxDOT } \\ & \text { Appn } \end{aligned}$ | AY 2000-2001 | \% of TxDOT Appn | AY 2002-2003 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { TxDOT } \\ \text { Appn } \end{gathered}$ | AY 2004-2005 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { TxDOT } \\ \text { Appn } \end{gathered}$ | AY 2006-2007 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { TxDOT } \\ \text { Appn } \end{gathered}$ | AY 2008-2009 | \% of TxDOT <br> Appn | AY 2010-2011 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { TxDOT } \\ \text { Appn } \end{gathered}$ | AY 2012-13 <br> HB Conf Comm. | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { TxDOT } \\ \text { Appn } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1,700,000 | 0.02\% | \$1,700,000 | 0.01\% | \$1,700,000 | 0.01\% | \$1,700,000 | 0.01\% | \$1,700,000 | 0.01\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$20,000,000 | 0.13\% | \$20,000,000 | 0.12\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$100,000,000 | 0.66\% | \$100,000,000 | 0.60\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | \$1,000,000 | 1.00\% | 10,865,294 | 10.00\% | \$13,045,764 | 0.09\% | \$14,317,605 | 0.09\% | \$14,937,767 | 0.08\% | \$15,335,546 | 0.08\% |
| 8.81\% | \$658,454,169 | 7.65\% | \$708,553,311 | 6.91\% | 912,958,506 | 8.68\% | \$985,104,602 | 6.50\% | \$1,263,024,785 | 7.47\% | \$1,125,019,694 | 6.01\% | \$1,310,359,267 | 6.62\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$13,658,704 | 0.09\% | \$13,658,704 | 0.08\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$9,400,000 | 0.06\% | \$10,800,000 | 0.06\% |  |  | \$15,000,000 | 0.08\% |
| 0.02\% | \$1,340,000 | 0.02\% | \$1,340,000 | 0.01\% | 1,340,000 | 0.01\% | \$1,340,000 | 0.01\% | \$1,340,000 | 0.01\% | \$1,340,000 | 0.01\% |  |  |
| 0.01\% | \$1,000,000 | 0.01\% | \$1,000,000 | 0.01\% | 1,000,000 | 0.01\% | \$1,000,000 | 0.01\% | \$1,000,000 | 0.01\% | \$1,000,000 | 0.01\% |  |  |
|  |  |  | \$5,000,000 | 0.05\% | 5,932,806 | 0.06\% | \$6,549,314 | 0.04\% | \$6,736,395 | 0.04\% | \$6,885,647 | 0.04\% | \$6,875,500 | 0.03\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$150,000 | 0.00\% | \$150,000 | 0.00\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$8,454,532 | 0.04\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$69,335,198 | 0.45\% | \$22,291,710 | 0.13\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$804,972 | 0.00\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$224,990 | 0.00\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$26,033,955 | 0.17\% | \$22,363,606 | 0.13\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$58,244,717 | 0.38\% | \$85,381,725 | 0.51\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | \$20,455,255 | 0.20\% | 20,455,255 | 0.19\% | \$25,465,255 | 0.17\% | \$27,558,755 | 0.17\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$660,794,169 |  | \$737,348,566 |  | 954,251,861 |  | \$1,330,877,509 |  | \$1,591,353,247 |  | \$1,151,033,108 |  | \$1,357,724,845 |  |
| 8.84\% | \$8,606,597,911 | 7.68\% | \$10,248,281,541 | 7.19\% | 10,521,242,311 | 9.07\% | \$15,162,095,408 | 8.78\% | \$16,678,016,740 | 9.54\% | \$18,720,448,879 | 6.15\% | \$19,801,159,662 | 6.86\% |
| 14.80\% | \$4,612,761,950 | 14.33\% | \$5,527,572,412 | 13.34\% | 5,792,644,050 | 16.47\% | \$6,096,419,466 | 21.83\% | \$5,643,425,735 | 28.20\% | \$5,711,558,500 | 20.15\% | \$6,282,811,421 | 21.61\% |
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## Cost and Funding

a. How Much Does it Cost to Build? - This chart shows an estimate of how much it currently cost to build a highway.
b. How Much is Needed? - The chart shows the amount needed based on the 2030 Committee 2009 Report. The 2009 version of the report showed total needs to address condition and reduce congestion.
c. Comparison of Gas Tax Rates? - The following chart shows comparative states' gas tax rates.
d. Where Does the Money Go?-The chart explains the TxDOT budget and where the money goes. This chart is based on the 2012-2013 Appropriations as the 2014-2015 Legislative Appropriations Request has not been developed at the time this document was published. From the Appropriations, only $\$ 1.15$ billion is available for new construction, not including Proposition 12 and 14 funds and special regional funds (funds not expected to be available in the next biennium). For the biennium, the amount for new construction is only $5 \%$ of the budget.
e. What do Other States' Drivers Pay? - The chart compares Texas taxes and user fees to other states. Texas ranks 44th in the total annual vehicle fees and taxes (ranked by total fees paid).

## What DOES IT COST To Build?



FREEWAY INTERCHANGE \$250 million


WIDEN EXISTING URBAN FREEWAY
\$11 million per lane mile


FULL REHABILITATION FM ROAD
\$1.5 million per lane mile

## Estimated Needs for Construction and Maintenance

This map is a visual depiction of statewide transportation funding needs through 2035 as adapted from the 2030 Committee Report.


## Annual Diversions Since 1987



## Texas Department of Transportation Budget 2012-2013



Assumptions made for calculations: All passenger fees based on a 2008 Ford Taurus SEL Sedan having a market value of $\$ 15,880$, a curb weight of $3,643 \mathrm{lbs}$, and an average fuel economy of 23 mpg .

Table 1: Total Annual Vehicle Fees and Taxes (Ranked by Total Fees Paid)

| STATE | PASSENGER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vehicle Registration Fee Passenger | Property Tax | Other Vehicle Tax | Gas Tax Rate | Average Annual Gas Tax Paid (12,000 miles) | Total Annual Vehicle Fees | Total Fees Rank |
| Connecticut | \$62.50 | \$1,155.91 | \$0.00 | 0.250 | \$130.43 | \$1,348.84 | 1 |
| Rhode Island | \$30.00 | \$758.59 | \$0.00 | 0.300 | \$156.52 | \$945.11 | 2 |
| South Carolina | \$12.00 | \$363.34 | \$0.00 | 0.160 | \$83.48 | \$458.82 | 3 |
| Mississippi | \$27.75 | \$328.29 | \$0.00 | 0.184 | \$96.00 | \$452.04 | 4 |
| New Hampshire | \$43.20 | \$0.00 | \$285.84 | 0.196 | \$102.26 | \$431.30 | 5 |
| Montana | \$217.00 | \$54.79 | \$0.00 | 0.278 | \$144.78 | \$416.57 | 6 |
| Missouri | \$54.75 | \$265.44 | \$0.00 | 0.170 | \$88.70 | \$408.88 | 7 |
| Maine | \$35.00 | \$0.00 | \$214.38 | 0.284 | \$148.17 | \$397.55 | 8 |
| West Virginia | \$30.00 | \$190.56 | \$0.00 | 0.322 | \$168.00 | \$388.56 | 9 |
| Nebraska | \$75.50 | \$0.00 | \$162.00 | 0.260 | \$135.65 | \$373.15 | 10 |
| Virginia | \$38.75 | \$235.02 | \$0.00 | 0.175 | \$91.30 | \$365.08 | 11 |
| Arkansas | \$25.00 | \$223.91 | \$0.00 | 0.215 | \$112.17 | \$361.08 | 12 |
| Colorado | \$77.50 | \$0.00 | \$161.98 | 0.220 | \$114.78 | \$354.26 | 13 |
| California | \$77.00 | \$0.00 | \$182.62 | 0.180 | \$93.91 | \$353.53 | 14 |
| Georgia | \$20.00 | \$0.00 | \$290.61 | 0.075 | \$39.13 | \$349.74 | 15 |
| Nevada | \$33.00 | \$0.00 | \$190.08 | 0.240 | \$125.22 | \$348.30 | 16 |
| lowa | \$222.32 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.210 | \$109.57 | \$331.89 | 17 |
| Wyoming | \$253.20 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.140 | \$73.04 | \$326.24 | 18 |
| Utah | \$43.50 | \$0.00 | \$150.00 | 0.245 | \$127.83 | \$321.33 | 19 |
| Massachusetts | \$50.00 | \$0.00 | \$158.80 | 0.210 | \$109.57 | \$318.37 | 20 |
| Arizona | \$8.00 | \$0.00 | \$193.14 | 0.180 | \$93.91 | \$295.05 | 21 |
| Kentucky | \$21.00 | \$154.99 | \$0.00 | 0.225 | \$117.39 | \$293.38 | 22 |
| Minnesota | \$175.05 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.225 | \$117.39 | \$292.44 | 23 |
| Washington | \$43.75 | \$0.00 | \$47.64 | 0.375 | \$195.65 | \$287.04 | 24 |
| North Carolina | \$28.00 | \$97.50 | \$0.00 | 0.302 | \$157.30 | \$282.81 | 25 |
| Indiana | \$21.05 | \$0.00 | \$156.00 | 0.180 | \$93.91 | \$270.96 | 26 |
| Kansas | \$39.00 | \$105.71 | \$0.00 | 0.240 | \$125.22 | \$269.93 | 27 |
| Hawaii | \$151.18 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.170 | \$88.70 | \$239.88 | 28 |
| New York | \$29.50 | \$0.00 | \$80.00 | 0.245 | \$127.57 | \$237.07 | 29 |
| Wisconsin | \$75.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.309 | \$161.22 | \$236.22 | 30 |
| North Dokata | \$93.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.230 | \$120.00 | \$213.00 | 31 |
| Ohio | \$34.50 | \$0.00 | \$20.00 | 0.280 | \$146.09 | \$200.59 | 32 |
| Maryland | \$77.50 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.235 | \$122.61 | \$200.11 | 33 |
| Illinois | \$99.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.190 | \$99.13 | \$198.13 | 34 |
| Alabama | \$23.00 | \$76.22 | \$0.00 | 0.180 | \$93.91 | \$193.14 | 35 |
| Pennsylvania | \$36.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.300 | \$156.52 | \$192.52 | 36 |
| Idaho | \$56.25 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.250 | \$130.43 | \$186.68 | 37 |
| Michigan | \$86.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.190 | \$99.13 | \$185.13 | 38 |
| Tennessee | \$24.00 | \$0.00 | \$55.00 | 0.200 | \$104.35 | \$183.35 | 39 |
| Oklahoma | \$92.50 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.170 | \$88.70 | \$181.20 | 40 |
| Vermont | \$68.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.210 | \$109.57 | \$177.57 | 41 |
| South Dakota | \$43.00 | \$0.00 | \$12.00 | 0.220 | \$114.78 | \$169.78 | 42 |
| Oregon | \$43.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.240 | \$125.22 | \$168.22 | 43 |
| Texas | \$62.75 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.200 | \$104.35 | \$167.10 | 44 |
| New Mexico | \$62.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.189 | \$98.48 | \$160.48 | 45 |
| Delaware | \$40.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.230 | \$120.00 | \$160.00 | 46 |
| Alaska | \$50.00 | \$0.00 | \$60.50 | 0.080 | \$41.74 | \$152.24 | 47 |
| Florida | \$70.75 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.156 | \$81.39 | \$152.14 | 48 |
| New Jersey | \$84.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.105 | \$54.78 | \$138.78 | 49 |
| Louisiana | \$16.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.200 | \$104.35 | \$120.35 | 50 |

Assumptions made for calculations: All passenger fees based on a 2008 Ford Taurus SEL Sedan having a market value of $\$ 15,880$, a curb weight of $3,643 \mathrm{lbs}$, and an average fuel economy of 23 mpg .

Table 2: Total Annual Vehicle Fees and Taxes (Ranked by Vehicle Registration Fees)

| STATE | PASSENGER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vehicle Registration Fee Passenger | Property Tax | Other Vehicle Tax | Gas Tax Rate | Average Annual Gas Tax Paid (12,000 miles) | Total Annual Vehicle Fees | Total Fees Rank |
| Wyoming | \$253.20 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.140 | \$73.04 | \$326.24 | 1 |
| lowa | \$222.32 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.210 | \$109.57 | \$331.89 | 2 |
| Montana | \$217.00 | \$54.79 | \$0.00 | 0.278 | \$144.78 | \$416.57 | 3 |
| Minnesota | \$175.05 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.225 | \$117.39 | \$292.44 | 4 |
| Hawaii | \$151.18 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.170 | \$88.70 | \$239.88 | 5 |
| Illinois | \$99.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.190 | \$99.13 | \$198.13 | 6 |
| North Dakota | \$93.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.230 | \$120.00 | \$213.00 | 7 |
| Oklahoma | \$92.50 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.170 | \$88.70 | \$181.20 | 8 |
| Michigan | \$86.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.190 | \$99.13 | \$185.13 | 9 |
| New Jersey | \$84.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.105 | \$54.78 | \$138.78 | 10 |
| Colorado | \$77.50 | \$0.00 | \$161.98 | 0.220 | \$114.78 | \$354.26 | 11 |
| Maryland | \$77.50 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.235 | \$122.61 | \$200.11 | 11 |
| California | \$77.00 | \$0.00 | \$182.62 | 0.180 | \$93.91 | \$353.53 | 13 |
| Nebraska | \$75.50 | \$0.00 | \$162.00 | 0.260 | \$135.65 | \$373.15 | 14 |
| Wisconsin | \$75.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.309 | \$161.22 | \$236.22 | 15 |
| Florida | \$70.75 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.156 | \$81.39 | \$152.14 | 16 |
| Vermont | \$68.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.210 | \$109.57 | \$177.57 | 17 |
| Texas | \$62.75 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.200 | \$104.35 | \$167.10 | 18 |
| Connecticut | \$62.50 | \$1,155.91 | \$0.00 | 0.250 | \$130.43 | \$1,348.84 | 19 |
| New Mexico | \$62.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.189 | \$98.48 | \$160.48 | 20 |
| Idaho | \$56.25 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.250 | \$130.43 | \$186.68 | 21 |
| Missouri | \$54.75 | \$265.44 | \$0.00 | 0.170 | \$88.70 | \$408.88 | 22 |
| Massachusetts | \$50.00 | \$0.00 | \$158.80 | 0.210 | \$109.57 | \$318.37 | 23 |
| Alaska | \$50.00 | \$0.00 | \$60.50 | 0.080 | \$41.74 | \$152.24 | 23 |
| Washington | \$43.75 | \$0.00 | \$47.64 | 0.375 | \$195.65 | \$287.04 | 25 |
| Utah | \$43.50 | \$0.00 | \$150.00 | 0.245 | \$127.83 | \$321.33 | 26 |
| New Hampshire | \$43.20 | \$0.00 | \$285.84 | 0.196 | \$102.26 | \$431.30 | 27 |
| South Dakota | \$43.00 | \$0.00 | \$12.00 | 0.220 | \$114.78 | \$169.78 | 28 |
| Oregon | \$43.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.240 | \$125.22 | \$168.22 | 28 |
| Delaware | \$40.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.230 | \$120.00 | \$160.00 | 30 |
| Kansas | \$39.00 | \$105.71 | \$0.00 | 0.240 | \$125.22 | \$269.93 | 31 |
| Virginia | \$38.75 | \$235.02 | \$0.00 | 0.175 | \$91.30 | \$365.08 | 32 |
| Pennsylvania | \$36.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.300 | \$156.52 | \$192.52 | 33 |
| Maine | \$35.00 | \$0.00 | \$214.38 | 0.284 | \$148.17 | \$397.55 | 34 |
| Ohio | \$34.50 | \$0.00 | \$20.00 | 0.280 | \$146.09 | \$200.59 | 35 |
| Nevada | \$33.00 | \$0.00 | \$190.08 | 0.240 | \$125.22 | \$348.30 | 36 |
| Rhode Island | \$30.00 | \$758.59 | \$0.00 | 0.300 | \$156.52 | \$945.11 | 37 |
| West Virginia | \$30.00 | \$190.56 | \$0.00 | 0.322 | \$168.00 | \$388.56 | 37 |
| New York | \$29.50 | \$0.00 | \$80.00 | 0.245 | \$127.57 | \$237.07 | 39 |
| North Carolina | \$28.00 | \$97.50 | \$0.00 | 0.302 | \$157.30 | \$282.81 | 40 |
| Mississippi | \$27.75 | \$328.29 | \$0.00 | 0.184 | \$96.00 | \$452.04 | 41 |
| Arkansas | \$25.00 | \$223.91 | \$0.00 | 0.215 | \$112.17 | \$361.08 | 42 |
| Tennessee | \$24.00 | \$0.00 | \$55.00 | 0.200 | \$104.35 | \$183.35 | 43 |
| Alabama | \$23.00 | \$76.22 | \$0.00 | 0.180 | \$93.91 | \$193.14 | 44 |
| Indiana | \$21.05 | \$0.00 | \$156.00 | 0.180 | \$93.91 | \$270.96 | 45 |
| Kentucky | \$21.00 | \$154.99 | \$0.00 | 0.225 | \$117.39 | \$293.38 | 46 |
| Georgia | \$20.00 | \$0.00 | \$290.61 | 0.075 | \$39.13 | \$349.74 | 47 |
| Louisiana | \$16.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.200 | \$104.35 | \$120.35 | 48 |
| South Carolina | \$12.00 | \$363.34 | \$0.00 | 0.160 | \$83.48 | \$458.82 | 49 |
| Arizona | \$8.00 | \$0.00 | \$193.14 | 0.180 | \$93.91 | \$295.05 | 50 |

Assumptions made for calculations: All passenger fees based on a 2008 Ford Taurus SEL Sedan having a market value of $\$ 15,880$, a curb weight of $3,643 \mathrm{lbs}$, and an average fuel economy of 23 mpg .

Table 3: Total Annual Vehicle Fees and Taxes (Ranked by State Gas Tax Rate)

| STATE | PASSENGER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vehicle Registration Fee Passenger | Property Tax | Other Vehicle Tax | Gas Tax Rate | Average Annual Gas Tax Paid (12,000 miles) | Total Annual Vehicle Fees | Total Fees Rank |
| Washington | \$43.75 | \$0.00 | \$47.64 | 0.375 | \$195.65 | \$287.04 | 1 |
| West Virginia | \$30.00 | \$190.56 | \$0.00 | 0.322 | \$168.00 | \$388.56 | 2 |
| Wisconsin | \$75.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.309 | \$161.22 | \$236.22 | 3 |
| North Carolina | \$28.00 | \$97.50 | \$0.00 | 0.302 | \$157.30 | \$282.81 | 4 |
| Pennsylvania | \$36.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.300 | \$156.52 | \$192.52 | 5 |
| Rhode Island | \$30.00 | \$758.59 | \$0.00 | 0.300 | \$156.52 | \$945.11 | 5 |
| Maine | \$35.00 | \$0.00 | \$214.38 | 0.284 | \$148.17 | \$397.55 | 7 |
| Ohio | \$34.50 | \$0.00 | \$20.00 | 0.280 | \$146.09 | \$200.59 | 8 |
| Montana | \$217.00 | \$54.79 | \$0.00 | 0.278 | \$144.78 | \$416.57 | 9 |
| Nebraska | \$75.50 | \$0.00 | \$162.00 | 0.260 | \$135.65 | \$373.15 | 10 |
| Connecticut | \$62.50 | \$1,155.91 | \$0.00 | 0.250 | \$130.43 | \$1,348.84 | 11 |
| Idaho | \$56.25 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.250 | \$130.43 | \$186.68 | 11 |
| Utah | \$43.50 | \$0.00 | \$150.00 | 0.245 | \$127.83 | \$321.33 | 13 |
| New York | \$29.50 | \$0.00 | \$80.00 | 0.245 | \$127.57 | \$237.07 | 13 |
| Oregon | \$43.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.240 | \$125.22 | \$168.22 | 15 |
| Kansas | \$39.00 | \$105.71 | \$0.00 | 0.240 | \$125.22 | \$269.93 | 15 |
| Nevada | \$33.00 | \$0.00 | \$190.08 | 0.240 | \$125.22 | \$348.30 | 15 |
| Maryland | \$77.50 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.235 | \$122.61 | \$200.11 | 18 |
| North Dakota | \$93.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.230 | \$120.00 | \$213.00 | 19 |
| Delaware | \$40.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.230 | \$120.00 | \$160.00 | 19 |
| Minnesota | \$175.05 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.225 | \$117.39 | \$292.44 | 21 |
| Kentucky | \$21.00 | \$154.99 | \$0.00 | 0.225 | \$117.39 | \$293.38 | 21 |
| Colorado | \$77.50 | \$0.00 | \$161.98 | 0.220 | \$114.78 | \$354.26 | 23 |
| South Dakota | \$43.00 | \$0.00 | \$12.00 | 0.220 | \$114.78 | \$169.78 | 23 |
| Arkansas | \$25.00 | \$223.91 | \$0.00 | 0.215 | \$112.17 | \$361.08 | 25 |
| lowa | \$222.32 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.210 | \$109.57 | \$331.89 | 26 |
| Vermont | \$68.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.210 | \$109.57 | \$177.57 | 26 |
| Massachusetts | \$50.00 | \$0.00 | \$158.80 | 0.210 | \$109.57 | \$318.37 | 26 |
| Texas | \$62.75 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.200 | \$104.35 | \$167.10 | 29 |
| Tennessee | \$24.00 | \$0.00 | \$55.00 | 0.200 | \$104.35 | \$183.35 | 29 |
| Louisiana | \$16.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.200 | \$104.35 | \$120.35 | 29 |
| New Hampshire | \$43.20 | \$0.00 | \$285.84 | 0.196 | \$102.26 | \$431.30 | 32 |
| Illinois | \$99.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.190 | \$99.13 | \$198.13 | 33 |
| Michigan | \$86.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.190 | \$99.13 | \$185.13 | 33 |
| New Mexico | \$62.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.189 | \$98.48 | \$160.48 | 35 |
| Mississippi | \$27.75 | \$328.29 | \$0.00 | 0.184 | \$96.00 | \$452.04 | 36 |
| California | \$77.00 | \$0.00 | \$182.62 | 0.180 | \$93.91 | \$353.53 | 37 |
| Alabama | \$23.00 | \$76.22 | \$0.00 | 0.180 | \$93.91 | \$193.14 | 37 |
| Indiana | \$21.05 | \$0.00 | \$156.00 | 0.180 | \$93.91 | \$270.96 | 37 |
| Arizona | \$8.00 | \$0.00 | \$193.14 | 0.180 | \$93.91 | \$295.05 | 37 |
| Virginia | \$38.75 | \$235.02 | \$0.00 | 0.175 | \$91.30 | \$365.08 | 41 |
| Hawaii | \$151.18 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.170 | \$88.70 | \$239.88 | 42 |
| Oklahoma | \$92.50 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.170 | \$88.70 | \$181.20 | 42 |
| Missouri | \$54.75 | \$265.44 | \$0.00 | 0.170 | \$88.70 | \$408.88 | 42 |
| South Carolina | \$12.00 | \$363.34 | \$0.00 | 0.160 | \$83.48 | \$458.82 | 45 |
| Florida | \$70.75 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.156 | \$81.39 | \$152.14 | 46 |
| Wyoming | \$253.20 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.140 | \$73.04 | \$326.24 | 47 |
| New Jersey | \$84.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0.105 | \$54.78 | \$138.78 | 48 |
| Alaska | \$50.00 | \$0.00 | \$60.50 | 0.080 | \$41.74 | \$152.24 | 49 |
| Georgia | \$20.00 | \$0.00 | \$290.61 | 0.075 | \$39.13 | \$349.74 | 50 |
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## Potential Revenue Source Comparisons

The following sheets provide a listing of possible revenue sources identified in the 2030 Committee 2011 Report. These revenues sources are summarized on the chart below.

## Potential Revenue Sources



| F-1. CAPTURE EXISTING REVENUE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAX/FEE | CURRENT FUND | 2010 COLLECTIONS <br> (THOUSANDS of \$) |
| 1 Automobile Burglary and Theft Prevention Authority (ABTPA) Assessment | 100\% General |  |
| 2 Motor Vehicle Gross Rental Receipts Tax | 75\% General <br> 25\% Foundation School | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 134,070 \\ & \$ 44,690 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 Motor Vehicle Sales and Use Tax | 100\% General Property Tax Relief | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,319,959 \\ & \$ 1,308 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 Motor Vehicle Seller Financed Sales Tax | 100\% General | \$111,902 |
| 5 Motor Vehicle Sales and Use Tax Motor | 100\% General | \$2 |
| 6 Motor Vehicle Registration Surcharge | 100\% TERP | \$9,316 |
| 7 Motor Vehicle T.E.R.P. Surcharge | 100\% TERP | \$8,299 |
| 8 Oil Production Tax | 75\% General <br> 25\% Foundation School | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 756,056 \\ & \$ 252,019 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 Oil Regulation Tax | 100\% General | \$590 |
| 10 Oil Well Service Tax | 75\% General <br> 25\% Foundation School | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 19,988 \\ & \$ 6,663 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 Petroleum Products Delivery Fee (repealed effective 09/01/11) | 2\% General <br> 98\% Petrol Store Tnk | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 581 \\ & \$ 28,448 \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 School Fund Benefit Fee on Diesel Fuel | 100\% General Available School Fund Acct | \$342 |
| 13 T.E.R.P. Off Road Heavy Duty Diesel Surcharge | 100\% TERP | \$26,770 |
| 14 Automotive Oil Sales Fee | General (Admin) <br> Used Oil Recycling 100\% Acct | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50 \\ & \$ 1,622 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 Battery Sales Fee | General (Admin) <br> Haz \& Sol Wst 100\% Remed Acct | $\$ 721$ $\$ 17,314$ |
| 16 Motor Vehicle Local Sports \& Community | 100\% Venue Project | \$25 |
| 17 Oversize/ Overweight Permit Fees | Varies General | \$26,018 |
| TOTAL REVENUE RECAPTURED FROM GENERAL FUND: |  | \$3,370,279 |
| TOTAL REVENUE RECAPTURED FROM FOUNDATION SCHOOL FUND: |  | \$303,371 |
| TOTAL REVENUE RECAPTURED FROM TERP FUND: |  | \$44,385 |
| TOTAL REVENUE RECAPTURED FROM OTHER ACCOUNTS: |  | \$48,716 |
|  | TOTAL: | \$3,766,751 |


| F-2. STATE HIGHWAY FUND REVENUES |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DISBURSED TO OTHER AGENCIES |  |

F-3. SYSTEM-WIDE SOLUTIONS ${ }^{1}$

|  |  |  | YEARLY <br> (THOUS | YIELD NDS) |  | TION NEEDED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Motor Fuel Tax | Index Tax Increase Tax Sales Tax of Fuel | 1\% Increase 1¢/Gallon Current Rates | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 20,000 \\ & \$ 152,000 \\ & \$ 3,576,840 \end{aligned}$ | Legislative Legislative Leg/Local | Tax Code, Title2, §162 <br> Tax Code, Title2, §162 <br> TaxCode, Title2, §151,162 |
| 2 | Registration Fee | Increase <br> Based on Value | \$20/vehicle | \$428,920 | Legislative <br> Legislative | Transportation Code, Title 7, Chapter 502 <br> Transportation Code, Title 7, Chapter 502 |
| 3 | Vehicle Fuel Equalization Fee | Based on Vehicles MPG rating |  | See Tables F-7 \& F-8 | Legislative | Transportation Code (New) |
| 4 | Energy Use Fee | Graduated User <br> Fee <br> Based on Vehicles' <br> Energy Use, Indexed to Inflation |  |  | Legislative | Transportation Code (New) |
| 5 | Vehicle Property Tax/Ad Valorem Tax | Min. \$100*; <br> Depreciated over 10 years | 15-30\% of Market Value | \$2,144,600 | Legislative | Tax Code, Title 1, §11.02 |
| 6 | Motor Vehicle Luxury Tax | New Vehicles over $\$ 45,000$ | 0.4\% Yearly/ One-Time |  | Legislative | Tax Code, Title 2, §152 |
| 7 | VMT Charge | 1.35 $\$$ per Mile (To Replace Fuel Tax) | 0.1¢/ Mile | \$200,000 | Legislative | Tax Code, Title 2, §162 |
| 8 | Statewide Sales Tax | Increase | 1\% Increase | \$1,300,000 | Legislative | Tax Code, Title 2, §151 |
| 9 | Vehicle Sales Tax (6.25\% of sales price) | Increase | 1\% Increase | \$470,880 | Legislative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tax Code, Title 2, } \\ & \$ 152.021,152.028, \\ & 152.121 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | Vehicle Related Sales Tax | Create (lubricants/ battery/oil...) |  |  | Legislative | Tax Code, Title 2, §162 |
| 11 | Freight Waybill Tax | Sales Tax on Freight <br> Shipping Costs |  |  | Legislative | Tax Code, Title 2, §162 |
| 12 | Carbon Tax | Increase Gas Tax | 27.5¢/ Gallon | \$1,700,000 | Legislative | Tax Code, Title 2, §162 |
| 13 | Value Added Tax |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Tire Fee | New Car and After Market Tires | \$1/ Tire |  | Legislative | Tax Code, Title 2, §162 |
| 15 | Drivers License Surcharge | Added to Current Fee | \$5/ License | \$107,230 | Legislative | Transportation Code, Title7, Chapter 521 |
| 16 | Weight Distance Tax | Ton-Based Tax or Ton-Mile Tax | 1¢/ Ton |  | Legislative | Tax Code, Title2, §162 |
| 17 | Permit Fees | Increase | Varies By Permit |  | Legislative | Transportation Code |
| 1- "Findings and Analysis" Texas Transportation Funding Challenge, Dye Management Group, Inc., 2009; "Paying Our Way" A New Framework for Transportation Finance, National Surface Transportation Infrastructure Financing Commission, 2009; "A Guide to Transportation Funding Options", University Transportation Center for Mobility, TTI; Greene, David,et.al., Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions from U.S. Transportation, Pew Center on Global Climate Change, 2010. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

F-4. TARGETED SOLUTIONS1

|  |  |  | YEARLY YIELD |  | ACTION NEEDED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Increase Tolls | Current Facilities | 10¢/ trip | \$50,000,000 | Local |  |
| 2 | New Tolls (Electronically) | New/Existing Lanes |  |  | Legislative/ Local |  |
| 3 | Land Development Charge | Non-Residential Building Permits | $1 \%$ increase | \$75,000,000 | Legislative | Local Govt Code, Title 12 Chapter 395 |
| 4 | Congestion Charge | Metro and Urban Areas | \$15/ day | \$500,000,000 | Legislative | (New) Transportation Code |
| 5 | Transportation Reinvestment Zone | Bond Against Anticipated Increase |  | Varies | Local |  |
| 6 | Container Fee | Houston/Galveston | \$30/TEU | \$2,400,000 | Local | Create a Regional Mobility Authority in Houston Area |
| 7 | Local Option Tax | Sales <br> Fuel Tax Vehicle/Property Tax Income | 1\% Increase 1 ¢/Gallon | See Table F-5 <br> See Table F-6 | Local | Tax Code, Title 3, Sub C |

1- "Findings and Analysis" Texas Transportation Funding Challenge, Dye Management Group, Inc., 2009; "Paying Our Way" A New Framework for Transportation Finance, National Surface Transportation Infrastructure Financing Commission, 2009; "A Guide to Transportation Funding Options", University Transportation Center for Mobility, TTI.

| F-5. MPO LOCAL OPTION SALES TAX |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| MSA | TAXABLE SALES <br> (MILLIONS) | REVENUE PER 1\% of <br> TAXABLE SALES |
| Abilene | $\$ 1,512.22$ | $\$ 15.12$ |
| Amarillo | $\$ 2,674.80$ | $\$ 26.75$ |
| Austin-Round Rock | $\$ 20,554.77$ | $\$ 205.55$ |
| Beaumont-Port Arthur | $\$ 4,094.57$ | $\$ 40.95$ |
| Brownsville-Harlingen | $\$ 2,560.95$ | $\$ 25.61$ |
| Bryan-College Station | $\$ 2,070.05$ | $\$ 20.70$ |
| Corpus Christi | $\$ 4,097.97$ | $\$ 40.98$ |
| Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington | $\$ 7,613.55$ | $\$ 786.14$ |
| El Paso | $\$ 5,765.65$ | $\$ 57.66$ |
| Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown | $\$ 73,143.69$ | $\$ 731.44$ |
| Killeen-Temple-Fort Hood | $\$ 2,714.35$ | $\$ 27.14$ |
| Laredo | $\$ 1,784.76$ | $\$ 17.85$ |
| Longview | $\$ 2,622.50$ | $\$ 26.23$ |
| Lubbock | $\$ 3,099.85$ | $\$ 31.00$ |
| McAllen-Edinburg-Mission | $\$ 5,105.98$ | $\$ 51.06$ |
| Midland | $\$ 2,612.60$ | $\$ 26.13$ |
| Odessa | $\$ 1,967.77$ | $\$ 19.68$ |
| San Angelo | $\$ 1,081.14$ | $\$ 10.81$ |
| San Antonio | $\$ 21,670.37$ | $\$ 216.70$ |
| Sherman-Denison | $\$ 1,001.02$ | $\$ 10.01$ |
| Texarkana | $\$ 857.06$ | $\$ 8.57$ |
| Tyler | $\$ 1,306.44$ | $\$ 23.25$ |
| Victoria | $\$ 1,264.84$ | $\$ 13.06$ |
| Waco |  | $\$ 120.31$ |
| Wichita Falls |  | $\$ 2,465.33$ |
|  |  |  |

F-6. MPO LOCAL FUEL TAX 2012

| MPO | 1¢ GASOLINE TAX REVENUE (MILLIONS) | 1¢ DIESEL TAX REVENUE (MILLIONS) | TOTAL 1¢ FUEL TAX REVENUE (MILLIONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abilene | \$0.71 | \$0.41 | \$1.13 |
| Amarillo | \$0.96 | \$0.52 | \$1.48 |
| Beaumont | \$1.94 | \$0.92 | \$2.86 |
| Brownsville | \$0.51 | \$0.18 | \$0.69 |
| Bryan/CollegeStation | \$0.74 | \$0.23 | \$0.97 |
| CapitalArea | \$6.78 | \$2.31 | \$9.10 |
| CorpusChristi | \$2.03 | \$0.81 | \$2.84 |
| ElPaso | \$2.48 | \$0.99 | \$3.47 |
| Harlingen/SanBenito | \$0.76 | \$0.28 | \$1.04 |
| Hidalgo | \$2.24 | \$0.73 | \$2.97 |
| Houston/Galveston | \$23.61 | \$7.66 | \$31.27 |
| Killeen/Temple | \$1.52 | \$0.81 | \$2.33 |
| Laredo | \$0.63 | \$0.43 | \$1.05 |
| Longview | \$1.50 | \$1.02 | \$2.53 |
| Lubbock | \$0.99 | \$0.39 | \$1.39 |
| Midland/Odessa | \$1.04 | \$0.62 | \$1.65 |
| NCTCOG | \$25.52 | \$9.23 | \$34.75 |
| SanAngelo | \$0.36 | \$0.13 | \$0.49 |
| SanAntonio | \$8.04 | \$2.79 | \$10.83 |
| Sherman/Denison | \$0.60 | \$0.28 | \$0.88 |
| Texarkana | \$0.44 | \$0.43 | \$0.88 |
| Tyler | \$1.04 | \$0.54 | \$1.58 |
| Victoria | \$0.41 | \$0.27 | \$0.69 |
| Waco | \$1.13 | \$0.69 | \$1.82 |
| WichitaFalls | \$0.54 | \$0.29 | \$0.84 |
| TOTAL | \$86.53 | \$33.00 | \$119.53 |

## F-7. VEHICLE FUEL EQUALIZATION FEE EXAMPLE CALCULATIONS

| MODEL | FUEL EFFICIENCY*** | GALLONS <br> USED | ANNUAL STATE <br> FUEL TAX | AMOUNT OVER <br> BASE VEHICLE** |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Vehicle* | 22.8 | 658 | $\$ 132$ | $\$ 0.00$ |  |
| 2008 Ford Taurus | 20.5 | 732 | $\$ 146$ | $\$ 0.00$ |  |
| 2005 Ford Focus | 23 | 652 | $\$ 130$ | $\$ 1.26$ |  |
| 2008 Toyota Corolla | 29 | 517 | $\$ 103$ | $\$ 28.25$ |  |
| 2008 Honda Civic | 30 | 500 | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 31.69$ |  |
| 2009 Toyota Prius | 50 | 300 | $\$ 60$ | $\$ 71.69$ |  |
| *Base vehicle assumes 22.8 average miles per gallon fuel economy, with an average of 15,000 miles traveled |  |  |  |  |  |


| Diesel |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MODEL | FUEL EFFICIENCY*** | GALLONS <br> USED | ANNUAL STATE <br> FUEL TAX | AMOUNT OVER <br> BASE VEHICLE** |
| Base Vehicle* | 6.1 | 13,115 | $\$ 2,622.95$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| 2010 Kenworth T700 | 7.9 | 10,127 | $\$ 2,025.32$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| 2002 Kenworth T600 | 6.5 | 12,308 | $\$ 2,461.54$ | $\$ 436.22$ |
| Mack CH613 | 6.8 | 11,765 | $\$ 2,352.94$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Mack CH600 | 4.5 | 17,778 | $\$ 3,555.56$ | $\$ 1,202.61$ |
| *Base vehicle assumes 6.1 average miles per gallon fuel economy, with an average of 80,000 miles traveled per year <br> ** This is the amount in motor fuels tax that the vehicle owner does not normally pay due to increased fuel efficiency <br> ***Combined city and highway fuel economy |  |  |  |  |

## F-8. ESTIMATED VEHICLE FUEL EQUALIZATION REVENUE

| F-8. ESTIMATED VEHICLE FUEL EQUALZATION REVENUE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| F-9. HOUSEHOLD COSTS1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue Type | Rate | Average Annual Household Cost |
| State Motor Fuel Tax ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| Gasoline | 20ヶ/ Gal <br> $1 \Phi / \mathrm{Gal}$ <br> $5 ¢ / \mathrm{Gal}$ <br> 10¢/ Gal | $\begin{gathered} \$ 180 \\ \$ 9 \\ \$ 45 \\ \$ 90 \end{gathered}$ |
| Diesel | $\begin{gathered} 20 \llbracket / \mathrm{Gal} \\ 1 \varangle / \mathrm{Gal} \\ 5 \llbracket / \mathrm{Gal} \\ 10 \llbracket / \mathrm{Gal} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 180 \\ \$ 9 \\ \$ 45 \\ \$ 90 \end{gathered}$ |
| Registration Fee | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50.75 / \mathrm{Veh} \\ \$ 5 / \mathrm{Veh} \\ \$ 25 / \mathrm{Veh} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 76 \\ & \$ 8 \\ & \$ 38 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Vehicle Fuel Equalization Fee3 |  | \$23 |
| Statewide Sales Tax Increase | 1\% | \$134 |
| Motor Fuel Sales Tax (Gasoline)4 | $\begin{gathered} 6.25 \% \\ 1 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 151 \\ & \$ 24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| VMT Fee5 | 1 $¢ /$ Mile | \$180 |
| Vehicle Property Tax/ Ad Valorem Tax6 | 2.400 Tax Rate | \$300 |
| Carbon Tax2 | 27.5¢/ Gal | \$248 |
| Drivers Lecense Surcharge | \$5/License | \$8 |
| 1 Estimated at 1.5 vehicles per household <br> 2 Assumed 12,000 annual miles with a fuel efficieny of 20 mpg <br> 3 Assumes an average midsize sedan combined fuel efficiency of 22.9 mpg . <br> 4 Fuel price is the annual statewide average obtained from the Energy Information <br> 5 Assumes 12,000 annual vehicle miles traveled <br> 6 Calculation based on a 2006 Ford Taurus SE with a suggested value of $\$ 8,325$ <br> 7 FHWA Highway Statistics Publication |  |  |

## Possible Revenue Sources

From the 2030 Committee 2011 Report, the following provides examples for methods to generate revenue to address funding shortfalls. They can be summarized as follows;

## Capture Existing Revenue

Some transportation-related taxes and fees are directed to other state funds; these monies could be "captured" by directing them into the State Highway Fund from the fund(s) to which they are currently dedicated. Revenues directed to the general revenue fund each year include:

- $\$ 750$ million from Fund 6 diversion
- $\$ 100$ million from various fees for oversized- and overweight-truck permits.
- $\$ 111$ million from the motor vehicle seller-financed sales tax.
- $\$ 130$ million from the motor vehicle rental gross receipts tax.
- $\$ 756$ million from 75 percent of the oil production tax.
- $\$ 2.3$ billion from the motor vehicle sales and use tax.


## Systemwide Sources

- Increasing the state fuel tax 5 cents per gallon would generate an estimated $\$ 420$ million in 2012 and $\$ 280$ million in 2030. The decline in this amount is due to the expected increase in the miles per gallon that vehicles will achieve over time.
- Indexing the state fuel tax to inflation would yield $\$ 42$ million in 2012 and $\$ 41$ million in 2030.
- An increase to the registration fee of $\$ 25$ per vehicle produces an estimated $\$ 570$ million in 2012 and $\$ 770$ million in 2030.
- Increasing the state sales tax by one-quarter of 1 percent and dedicating the increase to transportation would yield $\$ 750$ million in 2012 and as much as $\$ 1.3$ billion by 2030.
- Increasing the state vehicle sales tax by 1 percent and dedicating it to transportation would provide $\$ 510$ million in 2012 and $\$ 760$ million in 2030.
- Imposing a driver's license surcharge of $\$ 10$ would yield $\$ 220$ million in 2012 and $\$ 310$ million in 2030.
- A vehicle fuel equalization fee imposed on vehicles with high fuel efficiency could compensate for the loss of fuel tax revenue. Annual revenue by 2030 is estimated to be $\$ 180$ million. The effect on the average Texas motorist would be $\$ 160$ per year and would be paid only by those who drive cars with fuel efficiencies greater than the Texas fleet-wide average.
- Vehicle inspection fee surcharge for non-attainment areas.


## Targeted Options

Targeted options consist of taxes and fees that are raised by defined projects (such as toll roads) or areas and used only for improvements within that project or area. The revenues generated by these options would not be deposited into the State Highway Fund. They would be instituted and collected at the local or regional level. These options include increasing tolls, charging freight container fees or charging a fee to drive in congested areas.

## Local-Level Approaches

Local-level approaches include a range of possible taxes imposed at the local level to generate revenues for transportation projects in the immediate locale. A 1 percent increase in the local sales tax or an additional 1 cent increase in motor fuel taxes paid are some examples of these local approaches.
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## Appropriations versus Spent

The following sheets explain why appropriations don't always match what is spent. In the example from 2009, the amount appropriated was over $\$ 2$ billion more than was spent. In FY 2011, the amount was over $\$ 3$ billion.

TxDOT operates on a cash flow basis based on revenue from federal and state user fees and therefore must maintain a positive balance. TxDOT spends funds available and obligates and spends all federal funds appropriated, including funds from other states unable to match their federal funds. TxDOT makes sure its highway funds are spent to their fullest amount and appropriations are a best guess based on funding sources.

## 2009 TxDOT Expenditures Compared to Appropriations



Source: USAS
Note: Not all charges have been applied so this is not a final report. August 2009

## Texas Department of Transportation

Fiscal Year 2009

| Fund Source | Approp | Expend | Variance | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GR | 2,504,000 | 2,115,000 | 389,000 | Associated with MCD activities |
| GR - Insurance Tax \& Fees | 750,000 | 750,000 | - | Associated with CRIS |
| GR - Tx Hwy Beautification | 635,000 | 365,000 | 270,000 | Outdoor advertising \& junkyard control |
| Federal Reimbursements | 3,188,400,000 | 2,750,500,000 | 437,900,000 | Reimbursement lower than projected due to expenditures being lower during the year. Is a timing issue (funds may have been received sooner or later than expected) as all federal funds were obligated. |
| ARRA | 662,200,000 | 157,705,000 | 504,495,000 | Legislature appropriated more in FY 2009 than we could ever spend. Believe they took the $\$ 2.25$ billion we received and subtracted the projected expenditures for FY 2010-11 to arrive at the figure for FY 2009. This ignores that much of ARRA will be spent in FY 2013 and after. |
| Prop 14 | 733,230,000 | 500,362,000 | 232,868,000 | Expenditures were lower than originally projected due to overall timing of project progress. |
| TMF | 1,219,120,000 | 592,633,000 | 626,487,000 | Expenditures lower than projected due to expenditures being higher in previous years (2007 and 2008) and therefor proceeds were not available as they had been previously spent; and due to overall timing of project progress. |
| TMF - Debt Service | 262,080,000 | 262,080,000 | - | Debt service for TMF. |
| SHF | 2,832,041,000 | 2,703,331,000 | 128,710,000 | ** |
| SHF - Prop 14 Debt Service | 230,105,000 | 230,105,000 | - | Debt service for Prop 14. |
| Interagency Contracts | 2,850,000 | 2,850,000 | - | Associated with Flight Services. |
| SH 121 | 605,205,000 | 605,205,000 | - | From the $\$ 3.2$ billion payment from NTTA. |
| TxDOT Total | 9,739,120,000 | 7,808,001,000 | 1,931,119,000 |  |
| * All figures are rounded <br> ** Includes the following: <br> Contracted Routine Mainte <br> Routine Maintenance - Cap <br> Central Administration - Op <br> Information Resources - Ca <br> Regional Administration - C | apital budget of t of \$33M and \$6M <br> et of $\$ 2.5 \mathrm{M}$ and get of $\$ 1.5 \mathrm{M}$ an | 71M <br> perating of \$4M <br> Operating of \$3 <br> Operating of \$ |  |  |

## 2011 TxDOT Expenditures Compared to Appropriations

| Legislative Appropriation Goal |  |  | Budget <br> Strategy | $2011$ <br> Appropriation | Expenditures as of $12 / 01 / 11$ | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alpha | Numeric | Description |  |  |  |  |
| A | Transportation Planning |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.1.1 | PLAN/DESIGN/MANAGE | 101 | 385,994,564.00 | 300,010,765.00 | 85,983,799.00 |
|  | 1.1.2 | CONTRACTED PLANNING AND DESIGN | 111 | 279,407,077.00 | 195,007,014.00 | 84,400,063.00 |
|  | 1.1.3 | RIGHT-OF-WAY | 102 | 520,842,195.00 | 300,151,348.00 | 220,690,847.00 |
|  | 1.1.4 | RESEARCH | 116 | 22,112,282.00 | 21,074,507.00 | 1,037,775.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | 1,208,356,118.00 | 816,243,634.00 | 392,112,484.00 |
| B | Transportation Construction |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.1.1 | Existing Construction Contracts (est) | 103 | 1,343,192,490.00 | 625,954,178.00 | 717,238,312.00 |
|  | 2.1.2 | New Construction Contracts (est) | 113 | 1,184,928,181.00 | 808,831,488.00 | 376,096,693.00 |
|  | 2.1.3 | Construction Grants \& Services (est) | 114 | 865,320,266.00 | 694,833,507.00 | 170,486,759.00 |
|  | 2.1.4 | Aviation Services | 106 | 99,405,120.00 | 98,302,928.56 | 1,102,191.44 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | 3,492,846,057.00 | 2,227,922,101.56 | 1,264,923,955.44 |
| C | Maintenance and Preservation |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.1.1 | Exinting Maintenance Contracts | 104 | 1,156,419,751.00 | 440,819,440.00 | 715,600,311.00 |
|  | 3.1.2 | New Maintenance Contracts | 142 | 1,544,614,895.00 | 1,298,157,148.00 | 246,457,747.00 |
|  | 3.1.3 | Contracted Routine Maintenance | 144 | 584,308,089.00 | 585,119,874.00 | -811,785.00 |
|  | 3.1.4 | Routine Maintenance | 105 | 649,959,345.00 | 609,405,626.00 | 40,553,719.00 |
|  | 3.1.5 | Gulf Waterway | 108 | 977,177.00 | 190,549.00 | 786,628.00 |
|  | 3.1 .6 | Ferry System | 109 | 36,907,245.00 | 35,926,661.00 | 980,584.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | 3,973,186,502.00 | 2,969,619,298.00 | 1,003,567,204.00 |
| D | Optimize Services and Systems |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.1.1 | Public Transportation | 107 | 106,736,337.00 | 106,547,463.00 | 188,874.00 |
|  | 4.2.1 | Traffic Safety | 201 | 51,917,272.00 | 51,684,462.00 | 232,810.00 |
|  | 4.3.1 | Travel Information | 301 | 19,436,075.00 | 17,836,899.00 | 1,599,176.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | 178,089,684.00 | 176,068,824.00 | 2,020,860.00 |
| E | * Enhance Rail Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.1.1 | Rail Plan/Design/Manage | 204 | 3,494,151.00 | 1,829,106.00 | 1,665,045.00 |
|  | 5.1.2 | Rail Contracted Plan/Design | 205 | 5,436,952.00 | 5,436,952.00 | - |
|  | 5.1.3 | Rail Construction | 206 | 2,100,000.00 | 1,678,819.00 | 421,181.00 |
|  | 5.1.4 | Rail Maintenance | 207 | - | - | - |
|  | 5.1.5 | Rail Safety | 202 | 1,128,150.00 | 1,060,687.00 | 67,463.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | 12,159,253.00 | 10,005,564.00 | 2,153,689.00 |
| F | Indirect Administration |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.1.1 | Central Administration | 601 | 60,217,591.00 | 52,945,535.00 | 7,272,056.00 |
|  | 6.1 .2 | Information Resources | 602 | 93,531,220.00 | 66,370,368.00 | 27,160,852.00 |
|  | 6.1 .3 | Other Support Services | 603 | 40,572,299.00 | 34,098,878.00 | 6,473,421.00 |
|  | 6.1.4 | Regional Administration | 604 | 62,857,188.00 | 52,035,769.00 | 10,821,419.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | 257,178,298.00 | 205,450,550.00 | 51,727,748.00 |
| G | Debt Service Payments |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.1.1 | General Obligation Bonds | 620 | 86,249,102.00 | 22,503,786.06 | 63,745,315.94 |
|  | 7.1.2 | State Highway Fund Bonds | 621 | 498,924,939.00 | 288,368,571.00 | 210,556,368.00 |
|  | 7.1.3 | Texas Mobility Fund Bonds | 622 | 370,508,100.00 | 326,999,071.00 | 43,509,029.00 |
|  | 7.1.4 | Other Debt Service | 623 | 70,411,259.00 | 70,411,259.00 | - |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | 1,026,093,400.00 | 708,282,687.06 | 317,810,712.94 |
| H | Deliver Transportation Projects through SH 121 Toll Project Funds |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8.1.1 | Plan/Design/Manage - SH 121 | 161 | 7,245,914.00 | 7,245,914.00 | - |
|  | 8.1.2 | Contract Plan/Design/Manage - SH 121 | 162 | 72,478,162.00 | 10,000,000.00 | 62,478,162.00 |
|  | 8.1.3 | Right-of-ROW Acquisition - SH121 | 163 | 85,923,967.00 | 85,923,967.00 | - |
|  | 8.1.4 | Existing Construction - SH 121 | 164 | 140,539,989.00 | 132,092,934.00 | 8,447,055.00 |
|  | 8.1.5 | New Construction - SH 121 | 165 | 254,334,603.00 | 65,800,823.00 | 188,533,780.00 |
|  | 8.1.6 | Existing SH 121 Maintenance - SH 121 | 166 | 34,517,138.00 | 18,157,548.00 | 16,359,590.00 |
|  | 8.1.7 | New Maintenance - SH 121 | 167 | 134,714,830.00 | 7,350,991.00 | 127,363,839.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | 729,754,603.00 | 326,572,177.00 | 403,182,426.00 |
| 1 | Deliver Transportation Projects through SH 130 Toll Project Funds |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9.1.2 | New Construction - SH 130 | 171 | 8,000,000.00 | 6,027,947.00 | 1,972,053.00 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | 8,000,000.00 | 6,027,947.00 | 1,972,053.00 |
|  |  |  | Total | 10,885,663,915.00 | 7,446,192,782.62 | 3,439,471,132.38 |

[^0]
## Texas Department of Transportation

 Appropriation Year 2011Fund Source
General Revenue
Insurance Companies
Dedicated - Highway Beautification

## ARRA

## Federal Funds

Federal Reimbursements

State Highway Funds

Appropriated Receipts
Interagency Contracts
Bond Proceeds
Bond Proceeds - Texas Mobility Fund

| Appropriation | Expended | Variance | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82,798,457 | 18,971,233 | 63,827,224 | Associated with Debt Service |
| 750,000 | 750,000 | - | Associated with CRIS |
| 782,202 | 731,451 | 50,751 | Outdoor advertising \& junkyard control |
| 1,258,470,473 | 762,229,598 | 496,240,875 | All but $\$ 1.1$ million is attributable to the Hwy \& Bridge Stimulus funding. The majority occurred in strategy B.1.1 Existing Construction Contracts. The lapsed funds were reappropriated in the next biennium. |
| 47,364,245 | 47,364,245 | - |  |
| 3,551,747,474 | 2,455,182,914 | 1,096,564,560 | Reimbursements were lower than projected in B.1.2 New Construction Contracts and C.1.1 Existing Maintenance Contracts due to expenditures being lower than planned. |
| 2,692,737,698 | 2,128,053,496 | 564,684,202 | The majority of the lapses were in B.1.1 Existing Construction Contracts and B.1.3 Construction Grants \& Services. We did not complete as many projects as budgeted. |
| 87,417 | 87,417 | - |  |
| 5,139,346 | 5,139,346 | - | Associated with flight services |
| 25,928,982 | 20,922,365 | 5,006,617 | Associated with Colonias bonds |
| 239,413,431 | 141,794,472 | 97,618,959 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 727,894,650 | 595,275,335 | 132,619,315 | The lapse was due to projects that meet bond funding criteria not progressing as expected. |
| 551,617,106 | 341,060,738 | 210,556,368 | We didn't issue any new SHF/Prop 14 debt in FY 2011 as might have been planned so debt service was lower than budgeted. |
| 347,204,166 | 303,695,137 | 43,509,029 |  |
| 729,754,603 | 326,572,177 | 403,182,426 | Associated with SH 121 |
| 8,000,000 | 6,027,947 | 1,972,053 | Associated with SH 130 |
| 615,973,665 | 292,334,912 | 323,638,753 | The lapse was due to projects that meet bond funding criteria not progressing as expected. |
| 10,885,663,915 | 7,446,192,783 | 3,439,471,132 |  |

## 16 Federal Fuel Tax Rate of Return

## Federal Fuel Tax Rate of Return

The following documents are included in this section.

1. Federal fuel tax rate of return 1957-2010 - This report from the Federal Highway Statistics shows that Texas has received the lowest rate of return of its federal taxes sent to Washington.
2. Federal rate of return for highways 2000-2010 - This sheet shows the rate of return for highways for Texas.

| STATE | PAYMENTS INTO THE FUND $2 /$ |  |  |  | APPORTIONMENTS AND ALLOCATIONS FROM THE FUND 3/ |  |  |  | RATIO OFAPPORTIONMENTS ANDALLOCATIONS TO PAYMENTS |  | TOTAL RATE OF RETURN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { FISCAL YEAR } \\ 2010 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | PERCENT $0 F$ TOTAL | CUMULATED SINCE 7-1-56 | $\begin{gathered} \text { PERCENT } \\ 0 F \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FISCAL } \\ & \text { YEAR } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | PERCENT OF TOTAL | CUMULATED SINCE <br> 7-1-56 | PERCENT <br> OF <br> TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FISCAL } \\ & \text { YEAR } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | CUMULATED <br> SINCE 7-1-56 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ \text { Gain/ } \\ & \text { (Loss) } \end{aligned}$ | \% Gain/ (Loss) |
| Texas | 2,851,077 | 9.449 | 61,467,289 | 8.366 | 3,465,384 | 7.979 | 57,252,931 | 6.760 | 1.22 | 0.93 | $(4,214,358)$ | -6.86\% |
| Indiana | 763,887 | 2.532 | 19,628,648 | 2.672 | 1,042,196 | 2.400 | 18,55, 250 | 2.202 | 1.36 | 0.95 | $(978,098)$ | -4.98\% |
| North Carolina | 912,879 | 3.025 | 21,932,269 | 2.985 | 1,149,695 | 2.647 | 20,972,918 | 2.476 | 1.26 | 0.96 | (959,351) | -4.37\% |
| Michigan | 897,316 | 2.974 | 25,285,030 | 3.442 | 1,163,503 | 2.679 | 24,561,117 | 2.900 | 1.30 | 0.97 | (723,913) | -2.86\% |
| South Carolina | 583,364 | 1.933 | 12,513,689 | 1.703 | 687,554 | 1.583 | 12,044,269 | 1.422 | 1.18 | 0.96 | (469,420) | -3.75\% |
| Georgia | 1,067,167 | 3.537 | 26,059,048 | 3.547 | 1,433,381 | 3.300 | 25,602,003 | 3.023 | 1.34 | 0.98 | $(457,045)$ | -1.75\% |
| Ohio | 1,121,130 | 3.715 | 30,021,371 | 4.086 | 1,473,065 | 3.392 | 29,59,339 | 3.494 | 1.31 | 0.99 | $(431,032)$ | -1.44\% |
| Florida | 1,590,250 | 5.270 | 36,261,335 | 4.935 | 2,062,252 | 4.748 | 36,268,719 | 4.882 | 1.30 | 1.00 | 7,384 | 0.02\% |
| Oklahoma | 472,069 | 1.564 | 12,202,954 | 1.661 | 670,233 | 1.543 | 12,210,446 | 1.442 | 1.42 | 1.00 | 7,492 | 0.06\% |
| Tennessee | 700,014 | 2.320 | 17,500,893 | 2.382 | 948,059 | 2.183 | 17,865,432 | 2.109 | 1.35 | 1.02 | 364,539 | 2.08\% |
| New Jersey | 864,243 | 2.864 | 21,269,326 | 2.895 | 1,074,263 | 2.473 | 21,707,543 | 2.563 | 1.24 | 1.02 | 438,217 | 2.06\% |
| Maine | 154,216 | 0.511 | 3,879,792 | 0.528 | 221,680 | 0.510 | 4,452,358 | 0.526 | 1.44 | 1.15 | 572,566 | 14.76\% |
| Missouri | 743,615 | 2.464 | 18,620,585 | 2.534 | 1,077,106 | 2.480 | 19,218,699 | 2.269 | 1.45 | 1.03 | 598,114 | 3.21\% |
| Nebraska | 230,412 | 0.764 | 5,776,311 | 0.786 | 326,414 | 0.752 | 6,576,509 | 0.776 | 1.42 | 1.14 | 800,198 | 13.85\% |
| Wisconsin | 559,794 | 1.855 | 14,131,073 | 1.923 | 821,197 | 1.891 | 15,023,883 | 1.774 | 1.47 | 1.06 | 892,810 | 6.32\% |
| New Hampshire | 132,949 | 0.441 | 3,075,448 | 0.419 | 186,587 | 0.430 | 4,019,240 | 0.475 | 1.40 | 1.31 | 943,792 | 30.69\% |
| Kentucky | 538,081 | 1.783 | 13,160,604 | 1.791 | 744,958 | 1.715 | 14,184,942 | 1.675 | 1.38 | 1.08 | 1,024,338 | 7.78\% |
| Arizona | 595,936 | 1.975 | 12,740,591 | 1.734 | 810,783 | 1.867 | 13,777,721 | 1.627 | 1.36 | 1.08 | 1,037,130 | 8.14\% |
| Arkansas | 380,384 | 1.261 | 9,604,776 | 1.307 | 577,539 | 1.330 | 10,655,964 | 1.259 | 1.52 | 1.11 | 1,061,188 | 11.05\% |
| Kansas | 318,679 | 1.056 | 8,418,644 | 1.146 | 435,388 | 1.002 | 9,557,841 | 1.128 | 1.37 | 1.14 | 1,139,197 | 13.53\% |
| lowa | 401,067 | 1.329 | 9,376,364 | 1.276 | 537,751 | 1.238 | 10,640,375 | 1.256 | 1.34 | 1.13 | 1,264,011 | 13.88\% |
| Nevada | 251,430 | 0.833 | 4,869,839 | 0.663 | 410,421 | 0.945 | 6,321,917 | 0.746 | 1.63 | 1.30 | 1,452,078 | 29.82\% |
| Delaware | 83,461 | 0.277 | 2,058,898 | 0.280 | 200,054 | 0.461 | 3,513,225 | 0.415 | 2.40 | 1.71 | 1,454,327 | 70.64\% |
| Mississippi | 389,926 | 1.292 | 9,618,046 | 1.309 | 544,862 | 1.254 | 11,231,991 | 1.326 | 1.40 | 1.17 | 1,613,945 | 16.78\% |
| Colorado | 461,516 | 1.529 | 10,007,514 | 1.362 | 598,641 | 1.378 | 11,663,319 | 1.377 | 1.30 | 1.17 | 1,655,805 | 16.55\% |
| Utah | 270,959 | 0.898 | 5,829,412 | 0.793 | 366,401 | 0.844 | 7,640,584 | 0.902 | 1.35 | 1.31 | 1,811,172 | 31.07\% |
| New Mexico | 268,995 | 0.891 | 6,217,261 | 0.846 | 416,431 | 0.959 | 8,074,687 | 0.953 | 1.55 | 1.30 | 1,857,426 | 29.88\% |
| Oregon | 368,176 | 1.220 | 9,273,437 | 1.262 | 561,463 | 1.293 | 11,233,094 | 1.326 | 1.52 | 1.21 | 1,959,657 | 21.13\% |
| Virginia | 856,743 | 2.839 | 19,961,221 | 2.717 | 1,108,700 | 2.553 | 22,056,058 | 2.604 | 1.29 | 1.10 | 2,094,837 | 10.49\% |
| Alabama | 579,307 | 1.920 | 14,492,310 | 1.973 | 833,407 | 1.919 | 16,631,096 | 1.964 | 1.44 | 1.15 | 2,138,786 | 14.76\% |
| Vermont | 64,523 | 0.214 | 1,763,892 | 0.240 | 225,843 | 0.520 | 4,061,517 | 0.480 | 3.50 | 2.30 | 2,297,625 | 130.26\% |
| Califoria | 2,990,437 | 9.910 | 74,574,207 | 10.150 | 3,999,691 | 9.209 | 76,923,483 | 9.082 | 1.34 | 1.03 | 2,349,276 | 3.15\% |
| Wyoming | 140,614 | 0.466 | 3,360,334 | 0.457 | 303,800 | 0.699 | 5,876,413 | 0.694 | 2.16 | 1.75 | 2,516,079 | 74.88\% |
| Idaho | 162,845 | 0.540 | 3,816,756 | 0.519 | 321,107 | 0.739 | 6,382,555 | 0.754 | 1.97 | 1.67 | 2,565,799 | 67.22\% |
| Minnesota | 539,355 | 1.787 | 12,298,318 | 1.674 | 797,416 | 1.836 | 15,040,745 | 1.776 | 1.48 | 1.22 | 2,742,427 | 22.30\% |
| 1 llinois | 1,120,449 | 3.713 | 28,137,714 | 3.830 | 1,527,179 | 3.516 | 31,049,482 | 3.666 | 1.36 | 1.10 | 2,911,768 | 10.35\% |
| Rhode Island | 74,049 | 0.245 | 2,078,606 | 0.283 | 254,072 | 0.585 | 5,070,501 | 0.599 | 3.43 | 2.44 | 2,991,895 | 143.94\% |
| North Dakota | 108,638 | 0.360 | 2,517,726 | 0.343 | 381,812 | 0.879 | 5,646,134 | 0.667 | 3.51 | 2.24 | 3,128,408 | 124.26\% |
| Mayland | 575,336 | 1.907 | 12,947,333 | 1.762 | 694,160 | 1.598 | 16,145,726 | 1.906 | 1.21 | 1.25 | 3,198,393 | 24.70\% |
| South Dakota | 120,878 | 0.401 | 2,706,337 | 0.368 | 326,838 | 0.752 | 5,956,863 | 0.703 | 2.70 | 2.20 | 3,250,526 | 120.11\% |
| Dist. of Col. | 22,491 | 0.075 | 964,362 | 0.131 | 172,458 | 0.397 | 4,248,437 | 0.502 | 7.67 | 4.41 | 3,284,075 | 340.54\% |
| Louisiana | 535,850 | 1.776 | 13,015,493 | 1.772 | 870,966 | 2.005 | 16,58,531 | 1.954 | 1.63 | 1.27 | 3,533,038 | 27.14\% |
| Hawaii | 80,690 | 0.267 | 1,872,896 | 0.255 | 199,864 | 0.460 | 5,564,606 | 0.657 | 2.48 | 2.97 | 3,691,710 | 197.11\% |
| Washington | 567,226 | 1.880 | 13,592,082 | 1.850 | 798,105 | 1.838 | 18,24,,593 | 2.154 | 1.41 | 1.34 | 4,651,511 | 34.22\% |
| Montana | 138,295 | 0.458 | 3,429,422 | 0.467 | 431,969 | 0.995 | 8,481,823 | 1.001 | 3.12 | 2.47 | 5,052,401 | 147.33\% |
| Connecticut | 301,142 | 0.998 | 7,940,276 | 1.081 | 553,993 | 1.275 | 13,384,859 | 1.580 | 1.84 | 1.69 | 5,444,583 | 68.57\% |
| WestVirginia | 200,621 | 0.665 | 5,449,928 | 0.742 | 493,738 | 1.137 | 10,917,738 | 1.289 | 2.46 | 2.00 | 5,467,810 | 100.33\% |
| Massachusetts | 527,269 | 1.747 | 13,794,541 | 1.878 | 679,614 | 1.565 | 19,433,330 | 2.294 | 1.29 | 1.41 | 5,638,789 | 40.88\% |
| Pennsylvania | 1,158,416 | 3.839 | 30,841,617 | 4.198 | 1,784,735 | 4.109 | 38,23,592 | 4.514 | 1.54 | 1.24 | 7,391,975 | 23.97\% |
| Alaska | 115,125 | 0.382 | 1,742,898 | 0.237 | 604,383 | 1.392 | 10,55,354 | 1.246 | 5.25 | 6.06 | 8,810,456 | 505.51\% |
| New York | 1,221,504 | 4.048 | 32,605,924 | 4.338 | 1,752,770 | 4.035 | 41,993,674 | 4.958 | 1.43 | 1.29 | 9,387,750 | 28.79\% |
| Total | 30,174,995 | 100.000 | 734,704,640 | 100.000 | 43,123,881 | 99.286 | 842,966,726 | 99.527 | 1.43 | 1.15 | 108,262,086 | 14.74\% |
| American Samoa | - | - | - | - | 21,720 | 0.050 | 186,245 | 0.022 | - | - |  |  |
| Guam | - | - | - | - | 16,598 | 0.038 | 448,186 | 0.053 | - | - |  |  |
| N. Marianas | - | - |  |  | 6,426 | 0.015 | 123,719 | 0.015 | - | - |  |  |
| Puerto Rico | - | - | - | - | 248,323 | 0.572 | 2,822,771 | 0.333 | - | - |  |  |
| Virgin Islands | - | - | - | - | 16,840 | 0.039 | 424,073 | 0.050 | - | - |  |  |
| Grand Total | 30,174,795 | 100.000 | 734,704,640 | 100.000 | 43,433,788 | 10.000 | 846,971,720 | 100.000 | 1.44 | 1.15 |  |  |

[^1]

1 Payments into the Fund include only the net highway user tax receipts and fines and penalties deposited in the Highway Account of the Federal Highway Trust Fund. The $\$ 14,700,000,000$ transfer from the General Fund to the Highway Trust Fund is not included in the data. Excluded are motor fuel tax amounts transferred to: the Mass Transit Account of the Highway Trust Fund; and the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund. In addition, amounts representating motor boat use of gasoline are transferred to the Aquatice Resources Trust fund and the Land and Water Conservation Fund.

2 Total Federal Highway Trust Fund receipts (for apportionment purposes only) are reported by the U.S. Department of the Treasury. Payments into the Highway Trust Fund attributable to
highway users in each State are estimated by the Federal Highway Administration. highway users in each State are estimated by the Federal Highway Administration.

3 Includes all funds apportioned or allocated from the Highway Trust Fund except where FHWA does not directly allocate the funds to the States, e.g., portions of Indian Reservation Roads and safety programs.


## TEXAS <br> INFRASTRUCTURE <br> INVESTING IN OUR FUTURE

INFRASTRUCTURETEXAS.ORG

For an electronic version of this and other resources on how highway funding can help your bottom line, visit our web site at www.infrastructuretexas.org


[^0]:    Source: 2012 Operating Budget.

    * The Rail goal was not added to our structure until the 2012-13 biennium, however we are showing it here since we showed it in the Operating Budget.

[^1]:    1/ Payments into the Fund include only the net highway user tax receipts and fines and penalties deposited in the Highway Account of the Federal Highway Trust Fund. The $\$ 14,700,000,000$ transfer from the General Fund to the Highway Trust Fund is not included in the data. Excluded are motor fuel tax amounts transferred to: the Mass Transit Account of the Highway Trust Fund; and the Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund. In addition, amounts representating motor boat use of gasoline are transferred to the Aquatice Resources Trust fund and the Land and Water Conservation Fund.
    $2 /$ Total Federal lighway Trust Fund receipts (for apportionment purposes only) are reported by the U.S. Department of the Treasury. Payments into the Highway Trust Fund attributable to highway users in each State are estimated by the Federal Highway Administration.
    3/ Includes all funds apportioned or allocated from the Highway Trust Fund except where FHWA does not directly allocate the funds to the States, e.g., portions of Indian Reservation Roads and safety programs.

